include use of drains measuring less than 10 mm in external diameter, use of "Z" insertion method, and making a purse-string for closure of the defect after removal of the drain [5].

Drains are not a substitute for good surgical techniques and must be used with caution. Careful insertion, and regular post-operative and post-removal inspection is recommended.

# $^st$ Pradyumna Pan and $^st$ Rajnish Nema

Departments of Pediatrics Surgery, \*Ashish Hospital and #Chirayu Hospital, Jabalpur, India. \*dr\_pan@rediffmail.com

### REFERENCES

- 1. Moss JP. Historical and current perspective on surgical drainage. Surg Gynecol Obstet. 1981;152:517-27.
- Kulkarni S, Krijgsman B, Sharma D, Kaisary AV. Incarcerated small bowel hernia through drain site. Ann R Coll Surg Engl. 2004;86:24-5.
- 3. Warble Jr J. Small bowel incarceration in a drain site hernia. N Engl J Med. 1986;83:181-2.
- 4. Lee R, Raftery AT. Evisceration of small bowel at the site of an intra abdominal drain. A complication of steroid therapy. Br J Clin Pract. 1982;36:282-3.
- Joong JY, Seung HL, Byung KA, Sung UB. Strangulated small bowel hernia through a drain site. J Korean Surg Soc. 2007;73:447-8.

# Simultaneous Two Site Blood Culture in Diagnosis of Neonatal Sepsis: Few Concerns

We read with interest the recent research paper by Tomar, *et al.* [1] in *Indian Pediatrics*. We have following comments and queries:

- 1. In the present study, authors mentioned that there was no polymicrobial growth in any of the cultures; what was the reason for this finding? Most of the studies in neonates report a frequency of 4% to 25% polymicrobial infections out of all bloodstream infections [2,3].
- 2. The results of this study differ from study by Sarkar, *et al.* [4], and author attributed it to small sample size and inclusion of inborn babies only in the study; however, to us it seems more due to gross differences in rates of culture positivity in two studies (9.2% *vs* 46%).
- 3. In the present study, incidence of candidemia was very high (one-third of total culture positive infections); is there any peculiarity in the study population for this heterogeneous result?
- 4. Although sending two blood cultures simultaneously improves diagnostic yield, it will add cost to patient care, demands more manpower, and will cause more pain to neonate. The problem of false positivity can be overcome by time to positivity (TTP) of blood culture. Various studies have given time to positivity for individual class of organism beyond which it can be considered as contaminant [5].

# JOGENDER KUMAR AND \*AMITABH SINGH

Department of Pediatrics, Chacha Nehru Bal Chikitasalay, New Delhi, India. \*dramit\_amy@yahoo.co.in

#### REFERENCES

- Tomar P, Garg A, Gupta R, Singh A, Gupta NK, Upadhyay A. Simultaneous two site blood culture in diagnosis of neonatal sepsis. Indian Pediatr. 2017;54:199-203.
- Pammi M, Zhong D, Johnson Y, Revell P, Versalovic J. Polymicrobial bloodstream infections in the neonatal intensive care unit are associated with increased mortality: A case-control study. BMC Infect Dis. 2014;14:390.
- 3. Hall KK, Lyman JA. Updated review of blood culture contamination. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2006;19:788-802.
- 4. Sarkar S, Bhagat I, DeCristofaro JD, Wiswell TE, Spitzer AR. A study of the role of multiple site blood cultures in the evaluation of neonatal sepsis. J Perinatol. 2005;26:18-22.
- 5. Biondi EA, Mischler M, Jerardi KE, Statile AM, French J, Evans R, *et al.*; for the Pediatric Research in Inpatient Settings (PRIS) Network. Blood culture time to positivity in febrile infants with bacteremia. JAMA Pediatr. 2014;168:844-9.

## AUTHORS' REPLY

We are thankful to the readers for giving us the opportunity to provide clarifications on our research.

- Polymicrobial bacterial infections are often related to surgical interventions, complex congenital cardiac diseases, abdominal surgeries and lipid infusions [1].
  We have a separate unit for surgical patients and we do not use lipid infusions for parenteral nutrition. These factors might partly explain absence of this phenomenon in our patients.
- 2. Difference in results from an earlier study [2] has been attributed to inclusion of outborn babies