Supplementary Table I Grading of Evidence [i]

Grade Quality of evidence

- A Well designed and controlled studies; meta-analysis on applicable population; true effect lies close to the estimate of the effect
- B Studies with minor limitations; consistent findings from multiple observational studies; true effect is likely to be close to estimate of the effect, but there is a possibility that it is substantially different
- C Single, few or multiple studies with inconsistent findings or major limitations; confidence in the effect estimate is limited, the true effect may be substantially different from estimate of the effect
- D Expert opinion, case reports; very little confidence in effect estimate, true effect likely to be substantially different from the estimate of effect
- X Situations where validating studies cannot be performed, and benefit or harm clearly predominates

Level Strength of recommendation

- 1 "We recommend": Most patients should receive the recommended course of action
- 2 "We suggest": Different choices will be appropriate for different patients

Author, yr	Type, N	Predniso(lo)ne	Predniso(lo)ne (Control)FollowOutcomes at 1-2 yr					
		(intervention)		up, yr	% relapsing; time to relapse; HR (95% CI)	% frequent relapsers; HR (95% CI)	Relapse rate; RRR (95% CI)	<i>Cumulative prednisone,</i> g/m²/yr; MD (95% CI)
Teeninga 2013 [ii]	Placebo controlled, randomized N=150	$\begin{array}{c} 60 \text{ mg/m}^2 \text{ D till remission;} \\ 50 \text{ mg/m}^2 \text{ D for 6-wk; 40} \\ \text{and 20 mg/m}^2 \text{ AD for 4-wk} \\ \text{each; 10 mg/m}^2 \text{ AD for 10-wk [3.4 g/m^2 in 24-wk]} \end{array}$	60 mg/m ² D for 6-wk; 40 mg/m ² AD for 6-wk; placebo for 12-wk [3.4 g/m ² in 24-wk]	≥1.5	80% vs. 77%; 8 vs. 6 months; NA	59% vs. 50%; 1.1 (0.7, 1.8)	1.0 vs. 0.6 per yr; 1.2 (0.9, 1.7)	Not available
Sinha 2014 [iii]	Placebo controlled, randomized N=181	2 mg/kg D for 6-wk; 1.5 mg/kg AD for 6-wk; 1, 0.75 & 0.5 mg/kg AD each for 4-wk [3.5 g/m ² in 24- wk]	2 mg/kg D for 6-wk; 1.5 mg/kg AD for 6-wk; placebo for 12-wk [2.8 g/m ² in 12-wk]	1	53% vs. 63%; 9 vs. 7 months; 0.57 (0.36, 1.07)	38% vs. 40% 1.0 (0.6, 1.7)	1.3 vs.1.5 per yr; 0.7 (0.5, 1.1)	2.3 vs. 1.9; 0.45 (-0.12, 1.02)
Yoshikawa 2014 [iv]	Open label, randomized N=255	60 mg/m ² D for 4-wk; then 60, 45, 30, 15, 7.5 mg/m ² AD for 4-wk each [3.9 g/m ² in 24-wk]	60 mg/m ² D for 4-wk; 40 mg/m ² AD for 4-wk [2.2 g/m ² in 8-wk]	2	~70% vs. 63%; 8 months each; 1.03 (0.76, 1.39)	~50% vs. 45%; 1.16 (0.86, 1.56)	1.3 per person-yr each; 1.1 (0.8, 1.4)	6.5 vs. 4.6 in 2-yr; <i>P</i> <0.001
Webb 2019 [v]	Placebo controlled, randomized N=237	60 mg/m ² D for 4-wk; 60, 50, 40, 30, 20, 10 mg/m ² AD, 2-wk each [3.2 g/m ² in 16-wk]	60 mg/m ² D for 4-wk; 40 mg/m ² AD 4-wk; placebo 8-wk [2.2 g/m ² in 8-wk]	2	80% vs. 81%; ~4.5 vs. 3.5 months; 0.87 (0.65, 1.17)	50% vs. 53%; 1.04 (0.81, 1.35)	3.6 vs. 4.0 at 2- yr; 1.1 (0.9, 1.4)	5.5 vs. 6.7 at 2-yr; 1.2 (- 0.1, 2.5; <i>P</i> =0.07)
Sinha 2019 [vi]	Open label, randomized N=160; <4 yr	60 mg/m ² D for 6-wk; 40 mg/m ² AD 6-wk; 30, 20, 10 mg/m ² AD, 4-wk each [4.6 g/m ²]	60 mg/m ² D for 6-wk; 40 mg/m ² AD for 6-wk [3.4 g/m ² in 12-wk]	2	Proportions with rel CTRI/2015/06/0059	apse, other outcor 939; NCT0314197	nes; results awaited	
Xu 2020	Placebo controlled, randomized N=154; 1-6 yr	Daily for 6-wk; AD for 6- wk; taper for 12-wk	Daily for 6-wk; AD for 6- wk; placebo for 12-wk	2	Proportions with fre	equent relapses, ot	her outcomes; result:	s awaited NCT04536181

Supplementary Table II Recent Randomized Controlled Trials, with Low Risk of Bias, for Initial Episode of Nephrotic Syndrome

AD alternate days; CI confidence interval; D daily; HR hazards ratio; MD mean difference; RRR relative relapse rate; wk weeks; [^]rates adjusted for stratifying variables, where reported

Author, yr	Туре	N	Prednisone (Intervention)	Prednisone (Control)	Follow up, months	Time to remission; MD (95% CI)	% Frequent relapses	Cumulative prednisone
Raja, 2017 [vii]	Retrospective	50	1 mg/kg/d until remission (minimum 7 d), tapered <1-mo	NA	6	<7 days in 70%; 7-10 days in 7%	NA; 0.9±0.8 relapses in 6-mo	0.75±0.25 mg/kg
Fujinaga, 2018 [viii]	Retrospective	49	60 mg/m ² until remission; tapered AD <6-mo	Comparison: ≤1.8, 1.8-2 and >2 mg/kg/d	12	7, 7.5 & 7 days	39%, 43%, & 55%	NA
Kainth, 2020 [ix]	Open label, randomized	114	60 mg/m ² /d until remission; 40 mg/m ² AD for 2-wk	60 mg/m ² /d until remission; 40 mg/m ² AD for 2-wk	12	Not available	23% vs. 22%; RD -1 (-17, 14); HR 1.0 (0.8, 1.2)	1.2 (0.3-1.8) vs. 1.8 (1.2-2.4) g/m ^{2***}
Borovitz, 2019 [x]	Open label, not randomized	30	1.5 mg/kg/d (A); 1 mg/kg/d (B) until remission; taper 8-10 wk	2 mg/kg/d until remission; tapered 10-12 wk (C)	6	10±5 (A) & 9±3 (B) vs. 7±1 days (C)*	NA	43±26 (A), 25±7 (B) vs. 46±3 mg/kg*
Sheikh, 2019 [xi]	Open label, randomized	60	1 mg/kg/d until remission; 1.5 mg/kg AD for 4-wk	2 mg/kg/d until remission; 1.5 mg/kg AD for 4-wk	12	9±2 vs. 9±2 days; 0.4 (0.7, 1.6) days	NA	12.5 (9-18) vs. 17 (14-21) mg/kg**
Kansal, 2019 [xii]	Open label, randomized	40	2 mg/kg/d until remission; 1 mg/kg AD for 4-wk	2 mg/kg/d until remission; 1.5 mg/kg AD for 4-wk	3	Not available	Relapse at 3 months: HR 1.1 (0.4, 3.2)	NA
Raman, 2017 [xiii]	Open label, randomized, equivalence	52#	60 mg/m ² /d until remission; 40 mg/m ² AD for 4-wk	2 mg/kg/d until remission; 1.5 mg/kg AD for 4-wk	6	6.5 vs. 6 days	Similar relapse rate	Similar cumulative prednisolone
PROPINE, [xiv]	Open label, randomized, superiority	78	60 mg/m ² /d until remission; 40 mg/m ² AD for 36 days	60 mg/m ² /d until remission; 40 mg/m ² AD for 72 days	6	5 (4-7) vs. 6 (5-8) days	Not reported; any relapse: 42% vs. 58%	1.29 (1.16-1.64) vs. 1.33 (127- 1.51) g/m ²
Schijvens, 2018 [xv]	Placebo controlled, randomized	144	60 mg/m ² /d until remission; 40 mg/m ² AD for 2-wk; placebo at 40 mg/m ² AD for 4-wk	60 mg/m ² /d until remission; 40 mg/m ² AD for 6-wk	24	Time to first relapse & STEroids in Relapsing NTR5670, EudraCT 20	other outcomes await Nephrotic syndrome, 016-002430-76]	ted [Reducing RESTERN;

Supplementary Table III Studies on Predniso(lo)ne Therapy of Infrequent Relapses

AD alternate days; /d per day; HR hazard ratio; MD mean difference; mo months; NA not applicable; RD risk difference; RR risk ratio; wk weeks; yr year P*<0.05, **<0.01 and ***<0.0001

[#]Number of infrequent relapsers among 100 patients randomized

Author, yr	Type of study	Ν	Prednisone AD	Comparator	Follow		Outcomes	at 12-24 mo		Adverse events
(reference)					up, yr	Relapses, n or rate	Proportion (%) with relapses	% with frequent relapses	Cumulative predniso(lo)ne	
APN, 1981 [xvi]	Open label RCT	64#1	35 mg/m ²	Prednisone at 40 mg/m ² on 3 consecutive days each week	0.5 (1)^	0.9±0.3 vs. 1.9±0.4 in 6 months*	43% vs. 72%*		3.9±0.2 vs. 3.8±0.2 g/m ² in 6 months	Obesity 57% vs. 52%; hirsutism 13% vs. 20%; psychosis 0% vs. 8%; infections 17% vs. 12%; 4 in each group withdrawn for steroid toxicity
Broyer, 1997 [xvii]	Open label RCT	40	15-20 mg/m ²	Deflazocort in equivalent dose AD	1	3±2 vs. 1±1**	88% vs. 42%**		5.1 vs 5.7 g/m ²	Mean change in height -0.4 vs0.2 SDS, weight 3.9 vs. 1.7 kg & BMD -12 vs6%; Cushingoid 7 vs. 11
Mattoo, 2000 [xviii]	Prospective study	36	0.5-0.8 mg/kg	Prednisolone at same dose; given daily for 5 days during URTI	2	5.5±1.3 vs. 2.2±0.9*	Non-relapsers excluded	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported
Jayantha, 2002 [xix]	Open label RCT	129 ^{#2@}	60 mg/m ² AD, tapered q 4 wk by 10 mg/m ² (total 7 months)	Prednisolone 40 mg/m ² AD for 4 wk (total 2 months)	0.5	0.4±0.5 vs. 2.1±1.5*	38% vs. 88%*	17.5% vs. 40.6%*	3.3±1.2 vs. 2.7±1.3	Hypertension 30% vs. 12.5%; slow growth 35% vs. 28.1%
Al Saran, 2006 [xx]	Open label, not randomized	56	<0.5 mg/kg	Levamisole 2.5 mg/kg AD	1	2.6±1.8 vs. 1.0±1.8*	100% vs. 37.5%*	50% vs. 9.4%*	3.9±1.2 vs. 3.1±1.9 g/m ²	None vs. gastrointestinal symptoms in one patient
Abeyagunawardena, 2008 [xxi]	Placebo- controlled cross-over RCT	40 [@]	0.1-0.5 mg/kg; given 5 mg daily for 7 days in URTI	Prednisone at same dose; given placebo daily for 7 days in URTI	2 URTI	Not reported	48% vs. 18%*	Not reported	Not reported	No significant events
Gulati, 2011 [xxii]	Open label RCT	100 <mark>-</mark>	0.5–0.75 mg/kg	Prednisolone at same dose; daily during infections	1	1.8±0.5 vs. 0.9±0.4*	85% vs. 61%*	8% vs. 4%	138±22 vs. 120±32 mg/kg	Not reported
Yadav, 2019 [xxiii]	Open label RCT	61	0.5–0.7 mg/kg	Prednisolone at 0.2- 0.3 mg/kg daily	1	1.94 vs. 0.55 per person-yr	71% vs. 40%	57% vs. 7% ^{\$*}	0.39±0.19 vs. 0.27±0.07 mg/kg/day	Cataract & glaucoma 6.5% vs. 0% each

Supplementary Table IV Controlled Trials on Efficacy of Predniso(lo)ne on Alternate Days (AD) for Frequent Relapses

BMD bone mineral density; NS not significant; RCT randomized controlled trial; SDS standard deviation score; URTI upper respiratory tract infection

[#]Outcomes reported for ¹48 and ²90 patients; [^]therapy for 6 months; follow up for 6 months more off therapy; [@]included patients with infrequent relapses; [']includes 32 patients that also received levamisole; ^{\$}includes patients with infrequent relapses with steroid toxicity

P *<0.05

Author, yr	Type of study	$N^{\#}$	Intervention:	Control	Duration	Outcome	25
			Prednisone			Relapse rate [RR (95% CI)] or %	Proportion (%) with relapses
Mattoo 2000 [xviii]	Non-randomized, prospective study	36	0.5 mg/kg daily x 5 days	Prednisolone 0.5- 0.8 mg/kg AD	2 yr	2.2±0.9 vs. 5.5±1.3*	Non-relapsers excluded
Abeyagunawardena 2008 [xxi]	Placebo-controlled cross-over RCT	40\$	5 mg daily x 7 days ^{@1}	Placebo for 7 days ^{@1}	2 URTI	Not available	18% vs. 48%*
Gulati 2011 [xxii]	Open label RCT	100^	0.5-0.8 mg/kg AD; daily x 7 days ^{@2}	Prednisolone 0.5- 0.8 mg/kg AD ^{@2}	2 yr	0.9±0.4 vs. 1.8±0.5 [0.9 (0.4, 1.4)]***	61% vs. 85%*
Abeyagunawardena 2017 [xxiv]	Placebo-controlled cross-over RCT	48#1	0.5 mg/kg daily x 5 days	Placebo for 5 days	2 yr	Not available	33% vs. 58%*
PREDNOS 2 [xxv]	Placebo-controlled RCT	300#2	15 mg/m ² x 6 days (maximum 40 mg)	Placebo for 6 days	Until first infection: 1 yr	Occurrence of relapse [ISI	RCTN10900733]

Supplementary Table V Studies on Low-dose Predniso(lo)ne Administered Daily at Onset of or During Infections[@]

AD on alternate days; CI confidence interval; RR rate ratio; URTI upper respiratory tract infection; yr year

[@]Refers to URTI, except ^{@1}viral infections and ^{@2}any infections

^{\$}While on prednisolone AD

[#]These studies included patients with frequent relapses, except two that also enrolled patients with ¹ infrequent relapses and ² relapsing nephrotic syndrome (≥ 2 relapses in previous year) while on/off maintenance immunosuppression

 Patients requiring prednisolone AD at >1 mg/kg to maintain remission additionally received levamisole at 2-2.5 mg/kg AD

P *<0.05, **<0.01, and ***<0.0001

Author, Year	Type of RCT	Comparison*	Ν	Follow up,		Outcomes at 6-12 month	hs
				monins	Proportion (%) with relapse	Frequency of relapses	Relative risk of relapse (95% CI)
BAPN, 1991 [xxvi]	Placebo controlled	Placebo	61	6	87.1 vs. 93.3	Not reported	0.93 (0.79, 1.1)
Weiss, 1993 [xxvii]	Placebo controlled	Placebo	49	12	93.4 vs. 88.9	0.7±0.2 vs. 0.6±0.3	1.05 (0.86, 1.3)
Abeyagunawardena, 2006 [xxviii]	Open label	No treatment	76	12	19.0 vs. 76.5*	Not reported	0.25 (0.13, 0.48)
Gruppen, 2018 [xxix]	Placebo controlled	Placebo	99	12	66.0 vs. 85.7*	Not reported	0.77 (0.61, 0.97)
Dayal, 1994 [xxx]	Open label	Prednisone	61	12	40.9 vs. 71.4	Not reported	0.57 (0.31, 1.05)
Rashid, 1996 [xxxi]	Open label	Prednisone	40	10	55 vs. 90*	Not reported	0.61 (0.4, 0.93)
Sural, 2001 [xxxii]	Open label	Prednisone	58	12	56.7 vs. 82.1*	Not reported	0.69 (0.48, 0.99)
Al-Saran, 2006 [xx]	Open label	Prednisone	56	12	41.2 vs. 100*	0.1±0.2 vs. 0.2±0.2*	0.42 (0.28, 0.63)
Sural, 2001 [xxxii]	Open label	Oral cyclophosphamide	57	12	56.7 vs. 37	Not reported	1.53 (0.85, 2.74)
Donia, 2005 [xxxiii]	Open label	Intravenous cyclophosphamide	40	22	64 vs. 72	Not reported	0.89 (0.68, 1.16)
Sinha, 2019 [xxxiv]	Open label	Mycophenolate mofetil	149	12	59.2 vs. 65.8	1.3 (1.1, 1.7) vs. 1.1 (0.3, 1.3)	1.11 (0.86, 1.43)

Supplementary Table VI Randomized Controlled Trials Examining Efficacy of Levamisole Administered on Alternate Days

P *<0.05

				1					
Author, Year	<i>Type of study</i>	Dose of	Comparison, if any	N	Follow		Outcomes at	6-12 months	
		levamisole, mg/kg per day			up, months	Proportion (%) with relapse; frequent relapses	Frequency of relapses	Cumulative prednisone	Adverse events (AE)
Abeyagunawardena, 2017 [xxxv]	Prospective	2.5#	AD levamisole (received historically)	58	12	79.3% vs. 100%; not reported	2.8±0.8 vs. 1.3±0.9	Median 154.1 vs. 254.2 mg/kg	No major AE
Ekambaram, 2014 [xxxvi]	Retrospective	2	Prior year	97	6-24	Effective in 77%	1.3±0.7 vs. 2.4±0.5	2.5±0.69 g/m ² vs. 4.1±0.1 g/m ²	Not reported
Chen, 2010 [xxxvii]	Retrospective	2-3.3	Other agents	12	NA	93.3%; no effect 66.7%	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported
Sumegi, 2004 [xxxviii]	Retrospective	2	Prior year	34	60	32.4% vs. 100%; not reported	0.41 vs. 4.4	1.5±1.7 g/yr; 23 off steroids	Neutropenia in 14.7%
Fu, 2004 [xxxix]	Prospective	2-3#	AD levamisole, 2-3 mg/kg	36	4-36	17% vs. 49%; response in 69% vs. 80%	1.3±2.1 vs. 2.0±2.5	0.2±0.4 vs. 0.2±0.3 mg/kg/day	Leukopenia in 20% vs. 31.3%
La Manna, 1988 [xl]	Prospective	2.5	Levamisole, 2.5 mg/kg, given 2/wk	8	2-16	Response in 25%	Not reported	Not reported	Minimal

Supplementary Table VII Non-Randomized Studies Examining Efficacy of Levamisole Administered Daily

NA not available

[#]Having failed AD levamisole

Author, yr (reference)	Type of study	Ν	MMF, mg/m ² per day	Follow up (range) vr		Outcomes	at 12-24 months		Adverse events (AE)
			per aug	(1 411.80), 91	Relapses, n or rate	Proportion with relapses	Frequent relapses	Predniso(lo)ne, mg/kg per day	
Bagga, 2003 [xli]	Prospective	19	29 (27.4- 30.7)	1	2 (1.2-2.7)	78/9%	15.8%	0.3 (0.2-0.4)	Abdominal pain 26.3%
Gellermann, 2004 [xlii]	Prospective	6	1000	2.1 (1.3-3.3)	Not reported	16.7%	0%	Not reported	Juvenile conglobate acne in 16.7%
Novak, 2005 [xliii]	Retrospective	21	1200	1±0.5	0.47±0.43 per month	80.9%	24%	Not reported	Gastrointestinal AE common but mild; varicella in 4.7%
Al-Akash, 2005 [xliv]	Retrospective	11	948 (500- 1087)	1 (0.3-2)	1.05 (0-4.5)	45.5%	18.2%	Not reported	Herpes stomatitis 9.1%; gastrointestinal AE 18.2%
Hogg, 2006 [xlv]	Prospective	33	1200	0.5	1 per 14.7 months	25%	Not reported	Not reported	Leukopenia 15.6%; varicella 3.1%; gastritis 3.1%
Okada, 2007 [xlvi]	Prospective	11	750-1000	1	Not reported	36.4%	9.1%	3.2±3.1 mg/kg/month	Gastrointestinal AE 18.2%; alopecia 9.1%
Fujinaga, 2007 [xlvii]	Prospective	12	1220±95	0.9 (0.5-6.5)	0.6±0.9	25% at 6 months	Not reported	0.21±0.11	None
Afzal, 2007 [xlviii]	Retrospective	42	26.5 (16.6- 31.3) mg/kg	1.2 (0.5-6.8)	2.2 (1.4, 2.9)	78.6%	11.9%	0.3 (0.3, 0.4)	Abdominal pain 21.4%; infections 9.5%
Fujinaga, 2009 [xlix]	Retrospective	26	34±6 mg/kg	1.6 (0.6-6.5)	0.8±1.2	Not reported	Not reported	0.17±0.11	Anemia and herpes labialis in 3.8% each
Baudouin, 2012 [1] ^{\$}	Prospective	23	1200	1	Not reported	26.1%	Not reported	264 (196–306) mg/m ^{2/} month [^]	Gastrointestinal AE or infections in 26.1%; leukopenia or anemia in 30.4%
Hasan, 2013 [li]	Retrospective	61	1200	3.2 (1.7-4.7)	0.5 (0–0.87)^	51%	38%	Withdrawn in 56%	Gastrointestinal AE 13%; leukopenia or infections 11%; arthralgia 3%

Supplementary Table VIII Non-Randomized Studies on Mycophenolate Mofetil (MMF) in Nephrotic Syndrome

Banerjee, 2013 [lii]	Retrospective	46	20-30 mg/g	3.6±1.8	Not reported	57%	No response in 33.3%	Reduced in 70%	Gastrointestinal AE 7.4%; neutropenia and elevated transaminases in 3.1% each
Jellouli, 2016 [liii]	Retrospective	30	1200	Not reported	0.45	Not reported	Not reported	0.2	Not reported
Basu, 2017 [liv]	Retrospective	130	1200	2.5	0.9±0.4	13.1% (at 1 yr)	6.1%	108.8±35.7 mg/kg	Gastrointestinal AE 3.8%; infections 6.2%; other minor 1.5%
Karunamoorthy, 2019 [lv]	Retrospective	87	28.5 mg/kg	3.3 (1.3-6.5)	Not reported	72.4%	17.2%	0.35^	Infections 12%; diarrhea 6%; leukopenia 3%; gastritis 2%

⁸Single limb Bayesian randomized controlled trial; [^]Reported only for patients with response

						Syndrome				
Author, yr	Туре	Ν	MMF	Comparator	Follow		Outcomes at 1	2-24 months		Adverse events (AE)
[ref]	of RCT		dose, mg/m² per day		up, yr	Relapses, n or rate (95% CI)	Proportion with relapses	Frequent relapses	Cumulative predniso(lo)ne, mg/kg per day	
Dorresteijn [lvi]	Open label	24	1200	Cyclosporine 4-5 mg/kg/day	1	0.83±1.3 vs. 0.08±0.3	41.7% vs. 8.3%	8.3% vs. 0%	0.13±0.16 vs. 0.08±0.12	First 3 studies: Hypertension 8.3% vs. 29.2%;
Gellermann [lvii]	Cross- over, open label	60	1000; titrated to level	Cyclosporine 150 mg/m ² per day	2	1.1±2 vs. 0.4±0.7*	42.9% vs. 30%	Not reported	1.83 vs. 0.99 g/m ²	hypertrichosis 6.9% vs. 40.3%; leukopenia 2.4% vs. 4.8%; gum hypertrophy 0% vs. 20.8%; reduced eGFR
Uddin [lviii]	Open label	60	800-1200	Cyclosporine 4-5 mg/kg/day	0.5	3±2.9 vs. 1.4±2.6	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported	0% vs. 8.3%; diarrhea 13.3% vs. 0%
Wang [lix]	Not RCT	72	24.6±3.1 mg/kg/day	Tacrolimus 0.08±0.02 mg/kg/day	1	1.43 vs. 0.83	~58% vs. ~48%	12.2% vs. 0%	0.16±0.02 vs. 0.17±0.03	Infections 11.8% vs. 7.9%; gastrointestinal AE 11.8% vs. 2.6%; leukopenia 2.7% vs. 2.6%
Sinha [xlv]	Open label	149	750-1000	Levamisole 2- 2.5 mg/kg on alternate days	1	1.1 (0.3, 1.3) vs. 1.3 (1.1, 1.7)	65.8% vs. 65.7%	16.4% vs. 14.5%	0.2 (0.1, 0.4) vs. 0.3 (0.2, 0.4)	Increased aminotransferases 2.6% vs. 2.7%; leukopenia 1.3% vs. none

Supplementary Table IX Randomized Controlled Trials (RCT) on Mycophenolate Mofetil (MMF) in Steroid Sensitive Nephrotic

AE adverse event; eGFR estimated glomerular filtration rate *P < 0.05; one Bayesian RCT is included in Web Table IX, since it lacked a comparator limb

Supplementary Table X Determinants of Response to Therapy with Cyclophosphamide

Author, yr	<i>Cyclophosphamide</i> <i>cumulative dose</i>	N	Age, yr	Follow up, yr	Proportion (%) in remission at 1, 2, 5 & 10 yr^{\wedge}	Factors associated with prolonged remission
Latta 2001 [lx]	105-588 mg/kg	1504; 38 studies	NA	NA	Frequent relapses/dependence: NA/NA; 72/40; 36/24; NA/NA	Frequent relapses*; cumulative dose of cyclophosphamide
Vester 2003 [lxi]	165±33 mg/kg	106	7.3±3.8	NA	44; 34; 24; 24	Age >5.5-yr; frequent relapses*; cumulative dose >5 g/m ² ; leukopenia
Kyrieleis 2007 [lxii]	~168 mg/kg	80	~4 (2-15)	6 (2-27)	NA; 35; ~48; ~60	Age>3-yr
Zagury 2011 [lxiii]	175 mg/kg	108	4.9	9.5 (5-29)	NA; 34; 25; 22	Relapse threshold <1.4 mg/kg; age >7-yr (univariate analysis)
Cammas 2011 [lxiv]	168 (157-197) mg/kg	143	7.9 (4.6-11.2)	7.8 (4-11.8)	44; 27; 13; 11 ^{^1}	Age >5-yr; cumulative dose >170 mg/kg
Azib 2011 [lxv]#	160 (149–170) mg/kg	90	5.3 (3.2–9.1)	5.5 (3.2-8.5)	57, 42, 31, NA ^{^2}	Age >7.5-yr
Berkane 2018 [lxvi]	168 mg/kg	50	8	1.6	52; 48; NA; NA	Age>8-yr; frequent relapses*

NA not available

*versus steroid dependence $^{Median time to relapse not reported, except ^{1}10 months and ^{2}0.8 (0.4-1.5) years$

[#]*All patients were steroid dependent*

Supplementary Table XI Controlled Studies Examining Comparative Efficacy of Rituximab in Steroid Sensitive Nephrotic Syndrome

Author, yr	Rituximab	Control	N	Follow Outcomes					
	mg/m²; n			up, yr	Relapse rate (RR)	Proportion with relapse (HR; 95% CI)	Time to relapse, mo	% off steroids	% off all agents
Randomized clinical trial	5				I		1	1	
Iijima 2014 [lxvii]	375, 4	Placebo	24; 24	1	1.5 <i>vs</i> . 4.2 per p-yr (0·37; 0·2, 0·6)	71% vs. 96% (0.27; 0.1, 0.5)	8.9 vs. 3.4	88% vs. 79%	NA
Boumediene 2018 [lxviii]	375, 2#1	Placebo ^{#1}	10; 13	0.5	NA	10% vs. 100%	NA	NA	NA
Ahn 2018 [lxix]	375, 1 ^{#1}	None ^{#1}	40; 21	0.5	3.4 <i>vs</i> . 9.4 per p-yr	26% vs. 69%	9 vs. 2.9	NA	NA
Ravani 2020 [lxx]	375, 1#	None [#]	15; 15	1	NA	13% vs. 7%	NA vs. 1.5	NA	NA
Ravani 2015 [lxxi]	375, 1#	Prednisone [#]	15; 15	0.25 (1)	NA	20% vs. 93% ^{\$} (0.02; 0.01, 0.15)	18 vs. NA	NA	NA
Ravani 2011 [lxxii]	375, 1-2	CNI alone	27; 27	0.25 (1)	NA	19% vs. 48% at 3-months	NA	78% vs. 7.4%	63% vs. 3.7%
Basu 2018 [lxxiii]	375, 2	Tacrolimus	60; 60	1	NA	10% vs. 37%	10 vs. 7	93% vs. 79%	NA
Single arm clinical trials		L	1	1	I		I		
Ruggenenti 2014 [lxxiv]	375, 1	None	30^	1	0.5 (0-1)	70% in children	7.5	NA	60%
Non-randomized prospect	tive (P) or retr	ospective (R) compariso	ns	I	1	1	1	1	
Kari 2020 (P) [lxxv]	375, 2	Cyclophosphamide	19; 27	1	NA	16% vs. 41% (0.36; 0.1, 1.5)	NA ^{\$}	74% vs. 30%	NA
Webb 2016 (R) [lxxvi]	750, 2	Cyclophosphamide	42; 79	≥1	NA	50% vs. 60% ^{\$}	14 vs. 7	NA	69% vs. 84%

Sinha 2012 (R) [lxxvii]	375, 2-3	Tacrolimus	10; 13	1	0.8±1.0 vs. 0.9±1.1	50% vs. 54% ^{\$}	8.5 vs. 9.8	80% vs. 46%	80% vs. 46%					
Ongoing randomized clin	Ongoing randomized clinical trials													
Nagano [lxxviii]	375, 2	Placebo	20; 20	1	Awaited; JMA-IIA00380									
Ravani [lxxix]	375, 1#1	Ofatumumab 1500 mg/m ² , 1 ^{#1}	70; 70	2	Awaited; NCT02394119; Eudra-CT 2015-000624-28									
Mathew	375, 2	Tacrolimus	21; 20	1	Awaited; CTRI/2018/	11/016342								

NA not available; p-yr person-year; yr year

[#]Steroids and ^{#1}CNI tapered; [^]Includes 10 children; [§]Based on Kaplan Meier estimates of relapse-free survival at 1-yr

Supplementary Table	e XII Strategies to Maintai	n Remission Following Rituximab Administration
11 2	8	8

Author, year	RTX* doses	Immunosuppression	Ν	Follow up, yr	Results						
Maintenance immunosuppression (mIS)											
Ito 2011 [lxxx]	1	MMF vs. none	9 vs. 7	1 yr	MMF therapy led to fewer relapses (0.4 vs. 2.3) and relapsers (33% vs. 86%) at 1-yr						
Fujinaga 2013 [lxxxi]	1	CsA vs. MMF	13 vs. 16	1.5 yr	CsA vs. MMF led to fewer relapses (0.6±1.4 vs. 1.0±0.9); lower rates of relapse (25% vs. 45%) and lower treatment failure (15% vs. 44%); steroid sparing						
Hourinouchi 2018 [lxxxii]	4	MMF vs. placebo	40 vs.40	1.4 yr	Awaited; UMIN000014347						
Number of doses		L									
Hogan 2019 [lxxxiii]	1 ^{*1} vs. 1 vs. 2	None	8 vs. 35 vs. 18	≥1 yr	Proportions in sustained remission at 1-yr higher by dose: 50 (58–77) % for 100 mg/m ² ; 59 (42-76) % for 375 mg/m ² and 72 (46-87) % for 750 mg/m ²						
					Low vs. high dose associated with risk of relapse: HR 5.0 (1.2, 21.6)						
Maxted 2019 [lxxxiv]	$1 vs. 2-3 vs. 4^{*2}$	Details not available	40 vs. 5 vs. 15	≥1 yr	1, 2-3 or 4 dose equivalents: Similar proportions in sustained remission at 1-yr (47%, 71%, 53%); similar time to relapse (334, >720, 344 days)						
Number of doses and	maintenance im	munosuppression (mIS)									
Chan 2020 [lxxxv]	1 vs. 2 vs. 3-4	Prednisone, CNI or MMF [Continued vs. stopped]	191 vs. 208 vs. 112	≥0.5 yr	Time to relapse: <i>(i)</i> Similar for 1, 2 or 3-4 doses (11.8, 11.9, 13 months); <i>(ii)</i> similar among patients on mIS (11.8, 11.9, 13 months); <i>(iii)</i> lower for 1 vs. 2 or 3-4 doses if not given mIS (8.5, 12.7, 14.3 months); adjusted HR 0.5 & 0.6 (0.3-0.9)						
Sequential administra	tion of doses										
Takei 2013 [lxxxvi]	1 q 6 mo; 2 doses	Prednisone; CNI, MMF or mizoribine	25 adults [^]	1 yr	Before <i>vs.</i> after: Fewer relapses (62 <i>vs.</i> 4) and reduced prednisone (8.2±3.4 vs. 3.3±2.3 g/yr); 80% off prednisone and mIS; increased serum IgG (P=0.0005)						
Miyabe 2016 [lxxxvii; lxxxviii]	1 q 6 mo; 4 doses	Prednisone; CNI, MMF or mizoribine	25 ^{&} 54 ^{adults}	2 yr	Before <i>vs.</i> after: Fewer relapses and reduced prednisone; all off prednisone and mIS; increased IgG; improved bone mineral density and blood pressure						
Iwabuchi 2018 [lxxxix]	1 q 6 mo;4 doses	Prednisone; CNI, MMF or mizoribine	32 children & 19 adults^	2 yr	In children vs. adults: Few relapses and minimal prednisone dose ($P < 0.001$); similar frequency of adverse reactions (21% vs.20%)						
Papakrivopoulou 2016 [xc]	1 q 6 mo; 2- 3 doses	Prednisone off by 3-mo; CNI tapered at >1-yr	15 adults	1.7 yr	Before vs. after: Fewer relapses (P < 0.001); median remission 25 months; IgG levels unchanged						

Taguchi 2020 [xci]	1 q 6 mo; 2-		13 adults	2 (1-5) yr	Before vs. after: Reduced relapses, and prednisone and cyclosporine dosage
	4 doses				
Kim 2018 [xcii]	At B cell	Details NA	12 children	2±1 yr	Before vs. after: Fewer relapses and off mIS ($P < 0.01$)
	recovery ^{@1}				
Sellier-Leclerc 2012	At B cell	MMF off; prednisone and	30 children	≥2 yr	Sustained remission in 63% at 3.2±0.1 yr; 37% relapsed 4.3 months after B cell
[xciii]	recovery@2	CNI off by 3-mo			recovery; 100% off mIS; transient adverse effects
	-	-			-

CNI calcineurin inhibitor; HR hazards ratio; IgG immunoglobulin G; MMF mycophenolate mofetil; mo months; NA not available; yr year *Each dose was 375 mg/m² except^{*1} where it was 100 mg/m²or *2750 mg/m² x 2 or 375 mg/m² x 4 doses ^Overlap of patients between studies is unclear ^{(@}Total doses and frequency were ¹3.9±1.6 doses q 6±2 months and ²5±1.4 doses over 15 months

Supplementary Figure I Meta-analyses of Randomized Controlled Trials on Prednisone Therapy for First Episode of Nephrotic Syndrome

	3 months or I	onger	2 mont	ths		Risk Ratio	Ris	sk Ratio	Risk of Bias
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Rai	ndom, 95% Cl	ABCDEFG
APN 1993	13	34	24	37	7.3%	0.59 [0.36, 0.96]	_	-	
Bagga 1999	16	22	21	23	10.8%	0.80 [0.60, 1.06]			
Jayantha 2002a	16	35	43	53	9.0%	0.56 [0.38, 0.83]	-	-	
Ksiazek 1995	36	72	32	44	10.6%	0.69 [0.51, 0.92]	-	-	
Moundekhel 2012	15	46	33	46	7.8%	0.45 [0.29, 0.72]		-	
Norero 1996	15	29	13	27	6.8%	1.07 [0.63, 1.82]			
Paul 2014	30	47	20	46	8.8%	1.47 [0.99, 2.18]			
PREDNOS 2019	91	114	88	109	13.4%	0.99 [0.87, 1.13]		+	
Satomura 2001	23	36	19	37	8.7%	1.24 [0.84, 1.85]		+- -	
Ueda 1988	5	17	18	29	4.1%	0.47 [0.22, 1.04]			
Yoshikawa 2014	83	122	80	124	12.7%	1.05 [0.88, 1.26]		+	
Total (95% CI)		574		575	100.0%	0.83 [0.69, 1.01]		•	
Total events	343		391						1
Heterogeneity: Tau² = Test for overall effect:	0.06; Chi² = 38 Z = 1.91 (P = 0.	.65, df = .06)	10 (P ≺ 0	.0001);	I ² = 74%		0.01 0.1	1 10	100
						Fav	ors ≥3 months	Favo	rs 2 months

Comparison 1.1.1 3-months or longer versus 2-months: Occurrence of relapse (all studies)

Comparison 1.1.2 3-months or longer versus 2-months: Occurrence of relapse in studies at low risk of bias

	3 months or	longer	2 mon	ths		Risk Ratio	Ri	sk Ratio			Risk of Bias
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Ra	ndom, 95%	6 CI		ABCDEFG
APN 1993	13	34	24	37	9.7%	0.59 [0.36, 0.96]	_	•			
Bagga 1999	16	22	21	23	20.6%	0.80 [0.60, 1.06]					
PREDNOS 2019	91	114	88	109	37.9%	0.99 [0.87, 1.13]		•			
Yoshikawa 2014	83	122	80	124	31.7%	1.05 [0.88, 1.26]		+			
Total (95% CI)		292		293	100.0%	0.92 [0.77, 1.09]		•			
Total events Heterogeneity: Tau² = Test for overall effect:	203 0.02; Chi² = 6. Z = 0.99 (P = 0	79, df = 3 .32)	213 (P = 0.0)	3); I 2 = 6	56%	H-0.	01 0.1	1	10	100	
		Favors	s ≥3 months		Favors	2 mon	ths				

Comparison 1.2.1 3-months or longer versus 2-months: Occurrence of frequent relapses (all studies)

	3 months or	onger	2 mon	ths		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio				Risk of Bias
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl		M-H, Randor	n, 95% Cl		ABCDEFG
APN 1993	6	34	12	37	7.9%	0.54 [0.23, 1.29]					
Bagga 1999	7	22	8	23	8.4%	0.91 [0.40, 2.10]					
Jayantha 2002a	8	48	26	70	10.7%	0.45 [0.22, 0.91]					
Norero 1996	3	29	4	27	3.5%	0.70 [0.17, 2.84]					
Paul 2014	20	47	14	46	14.6%	1.40 [0.81, 2.42]		+	-		
PREDNOS 2019	60	114	55	109	26.4%	1.04 [0.81, 1.35]		+			
Ueda 1988	3	17	15	29	5.5%	0.34 [0.12, 1.01]					
Yoshikawa 2014	45	122	46	124	23.1%	0.99 [0.72, 1.38]		+			
Total (95% CI)		433		465	100.0%	0.86 [0.65, 1.13]		•			
Total events	152		180					.			
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.06; Chi ² = 12.50, df = 7 (P = 0.09); I ² = 44%											
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.09 (P = 0	.28)					0.01	U.I I	10	100	
		ors ≥3	months	Favor	s 2 mor	nths					

Comparison 1.2.2 3-months or longer versus 2-months: Occurrence of frequent relapses in studies at low risk of bias



	>5-6 r	nonths	3 m	onths		Risk Ratio		Risk Ratio		Risk of Bias		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M	H, Random, 95	5% CI	ABCDEFG		
Al Talhi 2018	41	60	51	60	14.2%	0.80 [0.66, 0.98]						
Anand 2013	6	30	23	30	7.0%	0.26 [0.12, 0.55]	-	- -				
Hiraoka 2003	15	36	21	34	10.5%	0.67 [0.42, 1.08]						
Ksiazek 1995	36	72	54	68	13.5%	0.63 [0.49, 0.82]						
Mishra 2012	8	37	26	37	8.1%	0.31 [0.16, 0.59]						
Pecoraro 2004	6	16	12	16	7.6%	0.50 [0.25, 1.00]				0000000		
Sharma 2000	18	70	44	70	11.0%	0.41 [0.26, 0.63]		_				
Sinha 2014	48	92	56	89	13.6%	0.83 [0.64, 1.07]						
Teeninga 2013	51	64	48	62	14.5%	1.03 [0.86, 1.24]		+				
Total (95% CI)		477		466	100.0%	0.61 [0.47, 0.79]		•				
Total events	229		335									
Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0	.12; Chi² = 45	5.10, df=	8 (P ≺ 0.0)0001);	I² = 82%		0.01 0.1	1	10	100		
Test for overall effect: Z	= 3.65 (P = 0	.0003)					0.01		.0			
						Fav	Favors ≥5-6 months			Favors 3 months		

Comparison 2.1.1 5-6 months or longer versus 3 months: Occurrence of relapse (all studies)

Comparison 2.1.2 5-6 months or longer versus 3-months: Occurrence of relapse in studies at low risk of bias





Comparison 2.2.1 5-6 months or longer versus 3-months: Occurrence of frequent relapses (all studies)

Comparison 2.2.2 5-6 months or longer versus 3-months: Occurrence of frequent relapses in studies at low risk of bias



Legend for risk of bias assessment

Risk of bias legend

(A) Random sequence generation (selection bias)

(B) Allocation concealment (selection bias)

(C) Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias)

(D) Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)

(E) Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)

(F) Selective reporting (reporting bias)

(G) Other bias

References for Supplementary material

ⁱAmerican Academy of Pediatrics Steering Committee on Quality Improvement and Management. Classifying recommendations for clinical practice guidelines. Pediatrics. 2004;114:874–7

ⁱⁱⁱSinha A, Saha A, Kumar M, Sharma S, Afzal K, Mehta A, *et al.* Extending initial prednisolone treatment in a randomized control trial from 3 to 6 months did not significantly influence the course of illness in children with steroid-sensitive nephrotic syndrome. Kidney Int. 2015;87:217-24

^{iv}Yoshikawa N, Nakanishi K, Sako M, Oba MS, Mori R, Ota E, *et al*; Japanese Study Group of Kidney Disease in Children. A multicenter randomized trial indicates initial prednisolone treatment for childhood nephrotic syndrome for two months is not inferior to six-month treatment. Kidney Int. 2015;87:225-32 ^vWebb NJA, Woolley RL, Lambe T, Frew E, Brettell EA, Barsoum EN, *et al*; PREDNOS Collaborative Group. Long term tapering versus standard prednisolone treatment for first episode of childhood nephrotic syndrome: Phase III randomized controlled trial and economic evaluation. BMJ. 2019;365:11800

^{vi}Sinha A, Gipson D, Wong C, Massengil S, Ahmed A, Hari P, *et al.* 6-months versus 3-months prednisolone for initial therapy of steroid sensitive nephrotic syndrome: Open label RCT (abstract). Pediatr Nephrol. 2019;34:2076

^{vii}Raja K, Parikh A, Webb H, Hothi D. Use of a low-dose prednisolone regimen to treat a relapse of steroid-sensitive nephrotic syndrome in children. Pediatr Nephrol. 2017;32:99-105

^{ix}Kainth D, Hari P, Sinha A, Pandey S, Bagga A. Short-duration prednisolone in children with nephrotic syndrome relapse: A noninferiority randomized controlled trial. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2021; 16: 225-32

^xBorovitz Y, Alfandary H, Haskin O, Levi S, Kaz S, Davidovits M, *et al.* Lower prednisone dosing for steroid-sensitive nephrotic syndrome relapse: a prospective randomized pilot study. Eur J Pediatr. 2020;179:279-83

ⁱⁱTeeninga N, Kist-van Holthe JE, van Rijswijk N, de Mos NI, Hop WC, Wetzels JF, *et al*. Extending prednisolone treatment does not reduce relapses in childhood nephrotic syndrome. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2013;24:149-59

^{viii}Fujinaga S, Sakuraya K. Initial prednisolone dosing for the first relapse of steroid-sensitive nephrotic syndrome in Japanese children. Pediatr Nephrol. 2018;33:2205-6

xⁱSheikh S, Mishra K, Kumar M. Low versus conventional dose prednisolone for nephrotic syndrome relapses: Randomized-controlled, non-inferiority-trial. Pediatr Nephrol. 2019; 34:1980

xⁱⁱKansal A, Mantan M, Yadav S. Effectiveness of a low dose prednisolone regimen for treatment of relapses in children with SSNS. Pediatr Nephrol. 2019; 34:1871

xⁱⁱⁱRaman V, Krishnamurthy S, Manta KT. Body weight-based prednisolone versus body surface area-based prednisolone regimen for induction of remission in children with nephrotic syndrome: a randomized, open-label, equivalence clinical trial. Pediatr Nephrol. 2016; 31: 595–604

^{xiv} Gargiulo A, Massella L, Ruggiero B, Ravà L, Ciofi Degli Atti M, Materassi M, *et al.* Results of the PROPINE randomized controlled study suggest tapering of prednisone treatment for relapses of steroid sensitive nephrotic syndrome is not necessary in children. Kidney Int. 2020; S0085-2538(20)31232-1; doi: 10.1016/j.kint.2020.09.024

^{xv}Schijvens AM, Dorresteijn EM, Roeleveld N, Ter Heine R, van Wijk JAE, Bouts AHM, *et al.* REducingSTEroids in Relapsing Nephrotic syndrome: The RESTERN study- protocol of a national, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, non-inferiority intervention study. BMJ Open. 2017;7:e018148 ^{xvi}Arbeitsgemeinschaft fur Padiatrische Nephrologie. Alternate-day prednisone is more effective than intermittent prednisone in frequently relapsing nephrotic syndrome. Eur J Pediatr. 1981;135:229-237

^{xvii}Broyer M, Terzi F, Lehnert A, Gagnadoux MF, Guest G, Niaudet P. A controlled study of deflazacort in the treatment of idiopathic nephrotic syndrome. Pediatr Nephrol. 1997;11:418-422

^{xviii}Mattoo TK, Mahmoud MA. Increased maintenance corticosteroids during upper respiratory infection decrease the risk of relapse in nephrotic syndrome. Nephron. 2000;85:343-5

xixJayantha UK. Prolonged versus standard steroid therapy for children with relapsing course of nephrotic syndrome [abstract no: P026]. Pediatr Nephrol. 2004;19:C99

^{xx}Al-Saran K, Mirza K, Al-Ghanam G, Abdelkarim M. Experience with levamisole in frequently relapsing, steroid-dependent nephrotic syndrome. Pediatr Nephrol. 2006;21:201-5

^{xxi}Abeyagunawardena AS, Trompeter RS. Increasing the dose of prednisolone during viral infections reduces the risk of relapse in nephrotic syndrome: a randomized controlled trial. Arch Dis Child. 2008;93:226-8

^{xxii}Gulati A, Sinha A, Sreenivas V, Math A, Hari P, Bagga A. Daily corticosteroids reduce infection-associated relapses in frequently relapsing nephrotic syndrome: a randomized controlled trial. Clin J Amer Soc Nephrol. 2011;6:63-9

^{xxiii}Yadav M, Sinha A, Khandelwal P, Hari P, Bagga A. Efficacy of low-dose daily versus alternate-day prednisolone in frequently relapsing nephrotic syndrome: An open-label randomized controlled trial. Pediatr Nephrol. 2019;34:829-35

^{xxiv}Abeyagunawardena AS, Thalgahagoda RS, Dissanayake PV, Abeyagunawardena S, Illangasekera YA, Karunadasa UI, *et al.* Short courses of daily prednisolone during upper respiratory tract infections reduce relapse frequency in childhood nephrotic syndrome. Pediatr Nephrol. 2017;32:1377-82 ^{xxv}Webb NJ, Frew E, Brettell EA, Milford DV, Bockenhauer D, Saleem MA, *et al*; PREDNOS 2 study group. Short course daily prednisolone therapy during an upper respiratory tract infection in children with relapsing steroid-sensitive nephrotic syndrome (PREDNOS 2): Protocol for a randomized controlled trial. Trials. 2014;15:147

^{xxvi} Levamisole for corticosteroid-dependent nephrotic syndrome in childhood. British Association for Paediatric Nephrology. Lancet. 1991;337:1555-7
^{xxvii} Weiss R. Pediatric Nephrology Study Group. Randomized, double-blind, placebo controlled trial of levamisole for children with frequently
relapsing/steroid dependent nephrotic syndrome. J Amer Soc Nephrol. 1993;4:289

^{xxviii}Abeyagunawardena AS, Trompeter RS. Efficacy of levamisole as a single agent in maintaining remission in steroid dependant nephrotic syndrome. Pediatr Nephrol. 2006;21:1503

^{xxix}Gruppen MP, Bouts AH, Jansen-van der Weide MC, Merkus MP, Zurowska A, Maternik M, *et al.* A randomized clinical trial indicates that levamisole increases the time to relapse in children with steroid-sensitive idiopathic nephrotic syndrome. Kidney Int. 2018;93:510-8

^{xxx}Dayal U, Dayal AK, Shastry JC, Raghupathy P. Use of levamisole in maintaining remission in steroid-sensitive nephrotic syndrome in children. Nephron. 1994;66:408-12

^{xxxi}Rashid HU, Ahmed S, Fatima N, Khanam A. Levamisole in the treatment of steroid dependent or frequent relapsing nephrotic syndrome in children. Bangladesh Renal J. 1996;15:6-8

^{xxxii}Sural S, Pahari DK, Mitra K, Bhattacharya S, Mondal S, Taraphder A. Efficacy of levamisole compared to cyclophosphamide and steroid in frequently relapsing minimal change nephrotic syndrome. J Amer Soc Nephrol. 2001;12:126A

xxxiiiDonia AF, Ammar HM, El-Agroudy A, Moustafa F, Sobh MA. Long-term results of two unconventional agents in steroid-dependent nephrotic children. Pediatr Nephrol. 2005;20:1420-5

^{xxxiv}Sinha A, Puraswani M, Kalaivani M, Goyal P, Hari P, Bagga A. Efficacy and safety of mycophenolate mofetil versus levamisole in frequently relapsing nephrotic syndrome: an open label randomized controlled trial. Kidney Int. 2019;95:2010-8

^{xxxv}Abeyagunawardena AS, Karunadasa U, Jayaweera H, Thalgahagoda S, Tennakoon S, Abeyagunawardena S. Efficacy of higher-dose levamisole in maintaining remission in steroid-dependant nephrotic syndrome. Pediatr Nephrol. 2017;32:1363-7

xxxviEkambaram S, Mahalingam V, Nageswaran P, Udani A, Geminiganesan S, Priyadarshini S. Efficacy of levamisole in children with frequently relapsing and steroid-dependent nephrotic syndrome. Indian Pediatr. 2014;51:371-3

xxxviiChen SY, Wu CY, Tsai IJ, Tsau YK. Treatment course of steroid-dependent nephrotic syndrome: emphasized on treatment effect. Nephrology (Carlton). 2010;15:336-9

xxxviiiSümegi V, Haszon I, Iványi B, Bereczki C, Papp F, Túri S. Long-term effects of levamisole treatment in childhood nephrotic syndrome. Pediatr Nephrol. 2004;19:1354-60

^{xxxix}Fu LS, Chi CS. Levamisole in steroid-sensitive nephrotic syndrome children with steroid-dependency and/or frequent relapses. Acta Paediatr Taiwan. 2000;41:80-4

xlLaManna A, Polito C, Del Gado R, Foglia AC. Levamisole in children's idiopathic nephrotic syndrome. Child Nephrol Urol. 1988-1989;9:200-2

^{xli}Bagga A, Hari P, Moudgil A, Jordan SC. Mycophenolate mofetil and prednisolone therapy in children with steroid-dependent nephrotic syndrome. Am J Kidney Dis. 2003;42:1114-20

xliiGellermann J, Querfeld U. Frequently relapsing nephrotic syndrome: treatment with mycophenolate mofetil. Pediatr Nephrol. 2004;19:101-4

^{xliii}Novak I, Frank R, Vento S, Vergara M, Gauthier B, Trachtman H. Efficacy of mycophenolate mofetil in pediatric patients with steroid-dependent nephrotic syndrome. Pediatr Nephrol. 2005; 20:1265-8

x^{liv}Al-Akash S, Al-Makdama A. Mycophenolate mofetil in children with steroid-dependent and/or frequently relapsing nephrotic syndrome. Ann Saudi Med. 2005;25:380-4

^{xlv}Hogg RJ, Fitzgibbons L, Bruick J, Bunke M, Ault B, Baqi N, *et al.* Mycophenolate mofetil in children with frequently relapsing nephrotic syndrome: a report from the Southwest Pediatric Nephrology Study Group. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2006;1:1173-8

^{xlvi}Okada M, Sugimoto K, Yagi K, Yanagida H, Tabata N, Takemura T. Mycophenolate mofetil therapy for children with intractable nephrotic syndrome. Pediatr Int. 2007;49:933-7

^{xlvii}Fujinaga S, Ohtomo Y, Umino D, Takemoto M, Shimizu T, Yamashiro Y, *et al.* A prospective study on the use of mycophenolate mofetil in children with cyclosporine-dependent nephrotic syndrome. Pediatr Nephrol. 2007;22:71-6

^{xlviii}Afzal K, Bagga A, Menon S, Hari P, Jordan SC. Treatment with mycophenolate mofetil and prednisolone for steroid-dependent nephrotic syndrome. Pediatr Nephrol. 2007;22;2059-65

^{xlix}Fujinaga S, Ohtomo Y, Hirano D, Nishizaki N, Someya T, Ohtsuka Y, *et al*. Mycophenolate mofetil therapy for childhood-onset steroid dependent nephrotic syndrome after long-term cyclosporine: extended experience in a single center. Clin Nephrol. 2009;72:268-73

¹Baudouin V, Alberti C, Lapeyraque AL, Bensman A, André JL, Broux F, *et al.* Mycophenolate mofetil for steroid-dependent nephrotic syndrome: a phase II Bayesian trial. Pediatr Nephrol. 2012;27:389-96

^{li}Hassan AV, Sinha MD, Waller S. A single-centre retrospective study of the safety and efficacy of mycophenolate mofetil in children and adolescents with nephrotic syndrome. Clin Kidney J. 2013;6:384-9

^{lii}Banerjee S, Pahari A, Sengupta J, Patnaik SK. Outcome of severe steroid-dependent nephrotic syndrome treated with mycophenolate mofetil. Pediatr Nephrol. 2013;28:93-7

^{liii}Jellouli M, Fitouhi S, Abidi K, Hammi Y, Naija O, Zarrouk C, *et al.* Mycophenolate mofetil in treatment of childhood steroid-dependent nephrotic syndrome. Tunis Med. 2016;94:221-5

livBasu B, Babu BG, Mahapatra TK. Long-term efficacy and safety of common steroid-sparing agents in idiopathic nephrotic children. Clin Exp Nephrol. 2017;21:143-51

¹^vKarunamoorthy S, Thanigachalam D, Jeyachandran D, Ramanathan S, Natarajan G, Thoppalan B. The safety and efficacy of mycophenolate mofetil in children and adolescents with steroid-dependent nephrotic syndrome: a single-centre study. Clin Kidney J. 2019;13:179-83

^{lvi}Dorresteijn EM, Kist-van Holthe JE, Levtchenko EN, Nauta J, HopWC, van der Heijden AJ. Mycophenolate mofetil versus cyclosporine for remission maintenance in nephrotic syndrome. Pediatr Nephrol. 2008; 23:2013-20

^{1vii}Gellermann J, Weber L, Pape L, Tonshoff B, Hoyer P, Querfeld U. Mycophenolate mofetil versus cyclosporin A in childrenwith frequently relapsing nephrotic syndrome. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2013;24:1689-97

^{1viii}Uddin GM, Rahman MA, Rahman MH, Roy RR, Begum A, Huque SS. Comparative efficacy of mycophenolate mofetil and cyclosporine in children with frequently relapse nephrotic syndrome. Pediatr Nephrol. 2016;31:1852-3

^{lix}Wang J, Mao J, Chen J, Fu H, Shen H, Zhu X, *et al.* Evaluation of mycophenolate mofetil or tacrolimus in children with steroid sensitive but frequently relapsing or steroid-dependent nephrotic syndrome. Nephrology (Carlton). 2016; 21:21-7

^{lx}Latta K, von Schnakenburg C, Ehrich JH. A meta-analysis of cytotoxic treatment for frequently relapsing nephrotic syndrome in children. Pediatr Nephrol. 2001;16:271-82

^{kvi}Vester U, Kranz B, Zimmermann S, Hoyer PF. Cyclophosphamide in steroid-sensitive nephrotic syndrome: outcome and outlook. Pediatr Nephrol. 2003;18:661-4

^{kii}Kyrieleis HA, Levtchenko EN, Wetzels JF. Long-term outcome after cyclophosphamide treatment in children with steroid-dependent and frequently relapsing minimal change nephrotic syndrome. Am J Kidney Dis. 2007;49:592-7

^{1xiii}Zagury A, de Oliveira AL, de Moraes CA, de Araujo Montalvão JA, Novaes RH, de Sá VM, *et al.* Long-term follow-up after cyclophosphamide therapy in steroid-dependent nephrotic syndrome. Pediatr Nephrol. 2011;26:915-20

^{kviv}Cammas B, Harambat J, Bertholet-Thomas A, Bouissou F, Morin D, Guigonis V, *et al.* Long-term effects of cyclophosphamide therapy in steroiddependent or frequently relapsing idiopathic nephrotic syndrome. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2011;26:178-84

^{lxv}Azib S, Macher MA, Kwon T, Dechartres A, Alberti C, Loirat C, *et al.* Cyclophosphamide in steroid-dependent nephrotic syndrome. Pediatr Nephrol. 2011;26:927-32

^{lxvi}Berkane M, Adarmouch L, Amine M, Bourrahouat A, Ait Sab I, Sbihi M. Le cyclophosphamide dans le syndrome néphrotique idiopathique: résultats et perspectives [Cyclophosphamide in idiopathic nephrotic syndrome: Outcome and outlook]. Nephrol Ther. 2018;14:85-90

^{lxvii}Iijima K, Sako M, Nozu K, Mori R, Tuchida N, Kamei K, *et al.* Rituximab for childhood-onset, complicated, frequently relapsing nephrotic syndrome or steroid-dependent nephrotic syndrome: a multicenter, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet. 2014;384:1273-81

^{lxviii}Boumediene A, Vachin P, Sendeyo K, Oniszczuk J, Zhang SY, Henique C, *et al.* NEPHRUTIX: A randomized, double-blind, placebo vs rituximabcontrolled trial assessing T-cell subset changes in minimal change nephrotic syndrome. J Autoimmun. 2018;88:91-102

^{lxx}Ravani P, Lugani F, Pisani I, Bodria M, Piaggio G, Bartolomeo D, *et al*. Rituximab for very low dose steroid-dependent nephrotic syndrome in children: a randomized controlled study. Pediatr Nephrol. 2020;35:1437-44

^{lxxi}Ravani P, Rossi R, Bonanni A, Quinn RR, Sica F, Bodria M, *et al.* Rituximab in children with steroid-dependent nephrotic syndrome: a multicenter, openlabel, non-inferiority, randomized controlled trial. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2015;26:2259-66

^{lxxii}Ravani P, Magnasco A, Edefonti A, Murer L, Rossi R, Ghio L, *et al.* Short-term effects of rituximab in children with steroid- and calcineurin-dependent nephrotic syndrome: a randomized controlled trial. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2011;6:1308-15

^{lxxiii}Basu B, Sander A, Roy B, Preussler S, Barua S, Mahapatra TKS, *et al.* Efficacy of rituximab vs tacrolimus in pediatric corticosteroid-dependent nephrotic syndrome: A randomized clinical trial. JAMA Pediatr. 2018;172:757-64

^{lxxiv}Ruggenenti P, Ruggiero B, Cravedi P, Vivarelli M, Massella L, Marasà M, *et al*; NEMO Study Group. Rituximab in steroid-dependent or frequently relapsing idiopathic nephrotic syndrome. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2014;25:850-63

^{lxxv}Kari JA, Alhasan KA, Albanna AS, Safdar OY, Shalaby MA, Böckenhauer D, *et al.* Rituximab versus cyclophosphamide as first steroid-sparing agent in childhood frequently relapsing and steroid-dependent nephrotic syndrome. Pediatr Nephrol. 2020;35:1445-53

^{lxxvi}Webb H, Jaureguiberry G, Dufek S, Tullus K, Bockenhauer D. Cyclophosphamide and rituximab in frequently relapsing/steroid-dependent nephrotic syndrome. Pediatr Nephrol. 2016;31:589-94

^{lxxvii}Sinha A, Bagga A, Gulati A, Hari P. Short-term efficacy of rituximab versus tacrolimus in steroid-dependent nephrotic syndrome. Pediatr Nephrol. 2012;27:235-41

^{1xix}Ahn YH, Kim SH, Han KH, Choi HJ, Cho H, Lee JW, *et al.* Efficacy and safety of rituximab in childhood-onset, difficult-to-treat nephrotic syndrome: A multicenter open-label trial in Korea. Medicine (Baltimore). 2018;97:e13157

^{lxxviii}Nagano C, Sako M, Kamei K, Ishikura K, Nakamura H, Nakanishi K, *et al.* Study protocol: multicenter double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial of rituximab for the treatment of childhood-onset early-stage uncomplicated frequently relapsing or steroid-dependent nephrotic syndrome (JSKDC10 trial). BMC Nephrol. 2019;20:293

^{lxxix}Ravani P, Bonanni A, Ghiggeri GM. Randomised controlled trial comparing of atumumab to rituximab in children with steroid-dependent and calcineurin inhibitor-dependent idiopathic nephrotic syndrome: study protocol. BMJ Open. 2017;7:e013319

^{lxxx}Ito S, Kamei K, Ogura M, Sato M, Fujimaru T, Ishikawa T, *et al.* Maintenance therapy with mycophenolate mofetil after rituximab in pediatric patients with steroid-dependent nephrotic syndrome. Pediatr Nephrol. 2011; 26:1823-8

^{lxxxi}Fujinaga S, Someya T, Watanabe T, Ito A, Ohtomo Y, Shimizu T, *et al.* Cyclosporine versus mycophenolate mofetil for maintenance of remission of steroid-dependent nephrotic syndrome after a single infusion of rituximab. Eur J Pediatr. 2013;172:513-8

^{lxxxii}Horinouchi T, Sako M, Nakanishi K, Ishikura K, Ito S, Nakamura H, *et al.* Study protocol: mycophenolate mofetil as maintenance therapy after rituximab treatment for childhood-onset, complicated, frequently-relapsing nephrotic syndrome or steroid-dependent nephrotic syndrome: a multicenter double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial (JSKDC07). BMC Nephrol. 2018;19:302

^{lxxxiii}Hogan J, Dossier C, Kwon T, Macher MA, Maisin A, Couderc A, *et al*. Effect of different rituximab regimens on B cell depletion and time to relapse in children with steroid-dependent nephrotic syndrome. Pediatr Nephrol. 2019;34:253-9

^{lxxxiv}Maxted AP, Dalrymple RA, Chisholm D, McColl J, Tse Y, Christian MT, *et al.* Low-dose rituximab is no less effective for nephrotic syndrome measured by 12-month outcome. Pediatr Nephrol. 2019;34:855-63

^{lxxxv}Chan EY, Webb H, Yu E, Ghiggeri GM, Kemper MJ, Ma AL, et al. Both the rituximab dose and maintenance immunosuppression in steroid-

dependent/frequently-relapsing nephrotic syndrome have important effects on outcomes. Kidney Int. 2020;97:393-401

^{lxxxvi}Takei T, Itabashi M, Moriyama T, Kojima C, Shiohira S, Shimizu A, *et al*. Effect of single-dose rituximab on steroid-dependent minimal-change nephrotic syndrome in adults. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2013;28:1225-32

^{lxxxvii}Miyabe Y, Takei T, Iwabuchi Y, Moriyama T, Nitta K. Amelioration of the adverse effects of prednisolone by rituximab treatment in adults with steroiddependent minimal-change nephrotic syndrome. Clin Exp Nephrol. 2016;20:103-10

^{lxxxviii}Iwabuchi Y, Takei T, Moriyama T, Itabashi M, Nitta K. Long-term prognosis of adult patients with steroid-dependent minimal change nephrotic syndrome following rituximab treatment. Medicine (Baltimore). 2014;93:e300 ^{lxxxix}Iwabuchi Y, Miyabe Y, Makabe S, Nakano M, Manabe S, Karasawa K, et al. Comparison of the response of frequently relapsing steroid-dependent minimal change nephrotic syndrome to rituximab therapy between childhood-onset and adult-onset disease. Medicine (Baltimore). 2018;97:e12704 xePapakrivopoulou E, Shendi AM, Salama AD, Khosravi M, Connolly JO, Trompeter R. Effective treatment with rituximab for the maintenance of remission in frequently relapsing minimal change disease. Nephrology (Carlton). 2016;21:893-900 xciTaguchi S, Ohtake T, Mochida Y, Ishioka K, Moriya H, Hidaka S, et al. Efficacy of repeat-dose rituximab maintenance therapy for minimal change disease in adults. Clin Exp Nephrol. 2020; 24; 1132-9 x^{cii}Kim SH, Lim TJ, Song JY, Kim SY. Effects of rituximab including long-term maintenance therapy in children with nephrotic syndrome in a single center of Korea. Child Kidney Dis. 2018; 22:1-6 xciiiSellier-Leclerc AL, Baudouin V, Kwon T, Macher MA, Guérin V, Lapillonne H, et al. Rituximab in steroid-dependent idiopathic nephrotic syndrome in childhood: Follow-up after CD19 recovery. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2012;27:1083-9 Ehrich JH, Brodehl J. Long versus standard prednisone therapy for initial treatment of idiopathic nephrotic syndrome in children. 94. Arbeitsgemeinschaft für Pädiatrische Nephrologie. Eur J Pediatr. 1993;152:357-61 Bagga A, Hari P, Srivastava RN. Prolonged versus standard prednisolone therapy for initial episode of nephrotic syndrome. Pediatr Nephrol. 95. 1999;13:824-7 Jayantha UK. Comparison of ISKDC regime with a 7 months steroid regime in the first attack of nephrotic syndrome [abstract]. Pediatr Nephrol. 96. 2004;19:C81 Ksiazek J, Wyszynska T. Short versus long initial prednisone treatment in steroid-sensitive nephrotic syndrome in children. Acta Paediatr 97. 1995:84:889-93

98. Moundekhel S, Khan GS, Afridi U. Management of nephrotic syndrome: ISKDC versus APN. Pak J Med Health Sci. 2012;6:212-5

99. Norero C, Delucchi A, Lagos E, Rosati P. Long term evaluation of two prednisone treatments in initial idiopathic nephrotic syndrome in children. Preliminary findings [abstract]. Pediatr Nephrol. 1995;9:C88

INDIAN PEDIATRICS

100. Paul SK, Muinuddin G, Jahan S, Begum A, Rahman MH, Hossain MM. Long versus standard initial prednisolone therapy in children with idiopathic nephrotic syndrome. Mymensingh Med J. 2014;23:261-7

101. Webb NJA, Woolley RL, Lambe T, Frew E, Brettell EA, Barsoum EN, *et al*; PREDNOS Collaborative Group. Long term tapering versus standard prednisolone treatment for first episode of childhood nephrotic syndrome: Phase III randomised controlled trial and economic evaluation. BMJ. 2019;365:11800

102. Satomura K, Yamaoka K, Shima M, Tanaka Y, Ashino N, Nakagawa K, *et al.* Standard vs low initial dose of prednisolone therapy for first episodes of nephrotic syndrome in children. Pediatr Nephrol. 2001;16:C117

103. Ueda N, Chihara M, Kawaguchi S, Niinomi Y, Nonoda T, Matsumoto J, *et al.* Intermittent versus long-term tapering prednisolone for initial therapy in children with idiopathic nephrotic syndrome. J Pediatr. 1988;112:122-6

104. Yoshikawa N, Nakanishi K, Sako M, Oba MS, Mori R, Ota E, *et al*; Japanese Study Group of Kidney Disease in Children. A multicenter randomized trial indicates initial prednisolone treatment for childhood nephrotic syndrome for two months is not inferior to six-month treatment. Kidney Int. 2015;87:225-32

105. Al Talhi A, Al Saran K, Osman ET, Al Shatri A, Osman M, Mirza K. A randomized study on a 3-month versus a 7-month prednisolone regimen for the initial episode of childhood idiopathic nephrotic syndrome at a large Saudi center. Int J Pediatr Adolesc Med. 2018;5:18-23

106. Hiraoka M, Tsukahara H, Matsubara K, Tsurusawa M, Takeda N, Haruki S, *et al*; West Japan Cooperative Study Group of Kidney Disease in Children. A randomized study of two long-course prednisolone regimens for nephrotic syndrome in children. Am J Kidney Dis. 2003;41:1155-62

107. Mishra OP, Thakur N, Mishra RN, Prasad R. Prolonged versus standard prednisolone therapy for initial episode of idiopathic nephrotic syndrome. J Nephrol. 2012;25:394-400

108. Sharma RK, Ahmed M, Gupta A, Gulati S, Sharma AP. Comparison of abrupt withdrawal versus slow tapering regimens of prednisolone therapy in management of first episode of steroid responsive childhood idiopathic nephrotic syndrome [abstract]. J Amer Soc Nephrol. 2000;11:97A

109. Sinha A, Saha A, Kumar M, Sharma S, Afzal K, Mehta A, *et al.* Extending initial prednisolone treatment in a randomized control trial from 3 to 6 months did not significantly influence the course of illness in children with steroid-sensitive nephrotic syndrome. Kidney Int. 2015;87:217-24

110. Teeninga N, Kist-van Holthe JE, van Rijswijk N, de Mos NI, Hop WC, Wetzels JF, *et al.* Extending prednisolone treatment does not reduce relapses in childhood nephrotic syndrome. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2013;24:149-59