

## Off-label Drug Use in Neonatal Intensive Care Unit

SANDEEP B BAVDEKAR

9, A2 Worli Seaside CHS, KAG Khan road,  
Worli, Mumbai, India.  
sandeep.bavdekar@gmail.com

The research article on off-label use of drugs in neonates [1] brings an important issue of drug safety in neonates into a sharp focus. I request the authors to clarify certain methodological issues.

The authors have stated that the accuracy of dose was checked from standard neonatal formularies, *viz.* British National Formulary 2005 and Neofax 2008, and stated that 75% of medications were not approved by FDA for neonatal use. They have not mentioned the document or the source that they relied upon to classify the drug as off-label or otherwise. It may not be advisable to decide if use of a particular drug constitutes off-label use or not on the basis of information included in a Formulary [2]. Considering USFDA licensing information as a basis to determine off-label status may also not be appropriate, as such a status should be determined on the basis of marketing authorization issued by the Indian licensing authority, the Directorate of Drug Controller General of India (DCGI)/ Central Drug Standards Control Organization (CDSCO).

Unlike in the USA (where the USFDA website provides detailed licensing information), labeling information for drugs approved by DCGI is not easily available. Of late, lay press and judiciary have started paying attention to the issue of off-label drug use [3-5], and some parents expect that such drugs be used in their children only with their knowledge and consent [6]. Under these circumstances, it is imperative that information about the drug license is available in the public domain. This would enable the practitioners and parents to take an informed decision.

Authors' exclusion of inotropes from the analysis has led to lower estimates of off-label use, as dopamine and dobutamine are being used in neonates for several years as off-label drugs. The practitioners may consider their use in neonates as safe and effective on the basis of published data and clinical experience. Nevertheless, such use is considered off-label, as no efforts are made to get the licensing information updated on the basis of evidence generated after the drug is marketed. This 'once off-label; always off-label' situation leads to many time-tested drugs being continued to be classified as off-label. This puts unnecessary onus on the practitioners, and one can only imagine a situation where parents voice their reluctance to use these drugs in their newborn child, because they consider off-label use as experimental or dangerous. Inclusion of these drugs in the analyses could have helped authors flag this important issue.

### REFERENCES

1. Jain S, Saini SS, Chawla D, Kumar P, Dhir S. Off-label use of drugs in neonatal intensive care units. *Indian Pediatr.* 2014;51:644-6.
2. Preface. Neofax 2011. Montavale, New Jersey, Thomson Reuters. P v
3. Chand SB. Off-label use of drugs widely prevalent. Available from: <http://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/city/ahmedabad/Off-label-use-of-drugs-widely-prevalent/articleshow/16892931.cms> Accessed September 8, 2014
4. Off-label drug use sparks off an ethical debate. Available from: <http://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/india/Off-label-drug-use-sparks-off-an-ethical-debate/articleshow/1027845.cms> Accessed September 8, 2014.
5. Big Pharma wins a battle on off-label prescribing. Available from: <http://scienceblogs.com/insolence/2012/12/06/big-pharma-wins-a-battle-on-off-label-prescribing/> Accessed September 8, 2014.
6. Bang V, Mallad A, Kannan S, Bavdekar SB, Gogtay NJ, Thatte UM. Awareness about and views of parents on the off-label drug use in children. *Int J Risk Saf Med.* 2014;26:61-70.

### Off-label Drug Use: Author's Reply

The first query is pertaining to use of Neofax and British formulary for checking dosage of various drugs used in newborn. There was no separate neonatal drug formulary available by Indian regulatory body like DCGI at the time when this study was conducted. So, we had to use Neofax and British neonatal drug formulary for bedside consultation of drug dose, route, frequency and duration, and USFDA drug list of approval for neonatal use for the particular indication. Data were cross checked randomly by an independent specialist, who was not the part of this study. Most of the units in India confirm the drug dose, route, frequency and duration from latest Neofax edition or standard text books.

Second query is regarding source/document relied upon to classify the drug as off-label. Definition of off-label used in this study was as per the definition used by Turner, *et al.* [1].

We deliberately did not include inotropes in the list of off-label drugs. Inotropes are used for clinical shock without functional echocardiography and without intra-arterial blood pressure monitoring. This was prospective chart audit, without interfering with practice; so we decided to use only common drugs in this audit.

The concern regarding informing parents before using off-label drug is quite right. Various neonatal intensive care units inform parents before using postnatal corticosteroids.

**SUKSHAM JAIN**

*Department of Pediatrics,  
Government Medical College Hospital, Chandigarh  
dr.sukshamj@gmail.com*

## Bronchoscopic Removal of Unusual Foreign Body Through Tracheostomy

Tracheobronchial foreign body aspiration can occur in all age groups but is more common in children. A previously healthy 5-month-old child presented to our hospital with aspiration of a metallic hair pin, one day before. As per the parents, the elder male sibling (3 years old) was playing with the child when the patient accidentally aspirated the hair pin. This was immediately followed by cough.

The child was restless with minimal respiratory distress, pulse rate 140/min and SpO<sub>2</sub> 95%. A posteroanterior chest radiograph (**Fig. 1**) revealed a hair pin in the right main bronchus. Rigid bronchoscopy was done under general anesthesia and the foreign body was removed from the right main bronchus but got stuck into base of tongue as open prongs of hair pin were facing the surgeon. There was oozing of blood and fall in the oxygen saturation (SpO<sub>2</sub> 65%). The hair pin was pushed back into the trachea again to facilitate ventilation. Tracheostomy was done for extraction of the foreign body. A 3.5 mm bronchoscope was then passed into the trachea and endoscopic forceps were used to pull out a 6 cm long hair pin via the tracheostomy. There were no immediate post operative complications and a post-operative posteroanterior chest X-ray was normal. The patient was weaned off the tracheostomy after 3 days.

Airway foreign bodies lead to significant morbidity and pose a risk of death in the pediatric population because of their small airways [1]. Rigid bronchoscopy is the gold standard for diagnosis and treatment of inhaled foreign body in children [2]. Even in the hands of experienced endoscopists, there may be occasions when an endoscopic approach to airway foreign bodies should be abandoned in favour of an open surgical procedure [3]. The need for open surgical intervention ranges from 0.3-4% in various published series [3,4]. Tracheostomy for removal of tracheobronchial foreign bodies, as described for our patient, is reported in very few cases in



**Fig. 1** Chest radiograph revealing hair pin in the right main bronchus.

the literature [5,6]. The child being 5 months old and the foreign body being large, with pointed sharp ends facing the glottis and base of tongue, made this case surgically challenging.

**\*AVANI JAIN AND SUNIL SAMDANI**

*Department of Otolaryngology,  
Sawai Man Singh Medical College and  
attached group of Hospitals, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India.  
\*avanijain87@hotmail.com*

### REFERENCES

1. Tan HKK, Brown K, McGill T, Kenna MA, Lund DP, Healy GB. Airway foreign bodies: A 10-year review. *Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol.* 2000; 56:91-9.
2. Farrell PT. Rigid bronchoscopy for foreign body removal: Anaesthesia and ventilation. *Paediatr Anaesth.* 2004;14:84-9.
3. Ulku R, Onen A, Onat S, Ozcelik C. The value of surgical approaches for aspirated pen caps. *J Pediatr Surg.* 2005;40:1780-3.
4. Zhijun C, Fugao Z, Niankai Z, Jingjing C. Therapeutic experience from 1428 patients with pediatric tracheobronchial foreign body. *J Pediatr Surg.* 2008;43:718-21.
5. Marks SC, Marsh BR, Dudgeon DL. Indications for open surgical removal of airway foreign bodies. *Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol.* 1993;102:690-4.
6. Fraga JC, Neto AM, Seitz E, Schopf L. Bronchoscopy and tracheotomy removal of bronchial foreign body. *J Pediatr Surg.* 2002;37:1239-40.