

**PHYSICAL GROWTH IN
INDIAN AFFLUENT
CHILDREN (BIRTH - 6 YEARS)**

**D.K. Agarwal
K.N. Agarwal**

ABSTRACT

Growth characteristics, viz., height, weight and circumferences of head, chest and mid-arm were measured on urban affluent children from seven centres (Bangalore, Calcutta, Delhi, Kota, Ludhiana and Varanasi—Nutrition Foundation of India study). On each age and sex point there were 200 observations except at 18 and 72 months. The percentiles on pooled data were calculated by smoothed cubic spline least square method. This pooled data showed values lower than European and NCHS (American) standards. Centrewise comparison showed that Ludhiana children approached the latter. The differences in growth seem to be possibly due to lower velocity in Indian children of present study in the first 18 months as compared to American children.

Keywords: *Affluent, Growth, Preschool, Percentiles, Velocity.*

From the Department of Pediatrics, Institute of Medical Sciences, BHU, Varanasi.

*Reprint requests: Prof. (Mrs) D.K Agarwal,
New E/10, Jodhpur Colony, BIIU, Varanasi
221 005.*

*Received for publication: July 21, 1993;
Accepted: October 26, 1993*

The WHO(I) has recognized the need for a growth chart which could be used internationally particularly by primary health care workers. Reference values for these charts examined by the WHO group were derived from data collected in Mexico, Netherlands, Sweden, Switzerland, UK and USA(2-5), in consultation with experts from various parts of the world and field-tested in 10 centres of different countries in 1974. It was later decided that the WHO chart should be based on data from the United States National Child Health Examination Survey(6) because they better fitted the criteria required. When devising these charts for international use, the WHO group recognized that countries or regions might eventually develop local standards, but the reference values presented by WHO(I) should be effective in the interim.

The objective of the present study was to investigate the growth performance of Indian children below six years of age, not subject to any obvious socio-economic constraints that could be expected to impair growth, only children (below six years) belonging to the affluent population segments (urban) in these cities were selected. The study was carried out in seven different cities of India: Bangalore, Bombay, Calcutta, Delhi, Kota, Ludhiana, and Varanasi.

Material and Methods

The study was carried out for two years (1985-1987) as two different cohorts. Cohort-I consisted of infants from birth to one year and Cohort-II included children from one to six years of age. The mixed longitudinal study design was adopted and the data were analysed on the basis of this format.

The two cohorts were simultaneously selected. Cohort-I included infants at birth + 15 days up to three months of age and followed at three monthly intervals till their first birthday. Cohort-II was of children from 12 to 72 months of age. Children could enter in the study at any time but the anthropometric readings of each child were recorded at fixed age points, *i.e.*, 12, 18, 24, 30, 36, 42, 48, 54, 60, 66 and 72 months. Four hundred eighteen boys and 332 girls were thus included in Cohort-I. while 1011 boys and 874 girls were subjects for Cohort-II. The registration for both cohorts was contained for one full year and those children who had minimum of three follow ups at three consecutive time points alone were included for data analysis.

In *Table I* a consolidated statement indicating the number and percentage distribution of children from various centres of both cohorts has been presented. The distribution of registered children and number of observations at different age points is given in *Table II*.

Affluence in each family was identified by the income level, life style (as directly observed by the investigators), educational status, occupational status and awareness of basic principles of health, personal hygiene, sanitation and child rearing on the part of parents.

For the selection of subjects of Cohort-I, cooperation from Pediatricians and Obstetricians of leading nursing homes and private hospitals was obtained so that children born in these hospitals could be recruited for the study. Similarly, children for Cohort-II were taken from nurseries and private schools or private clinics.

Date of Birth: The exact date of birth of each subject was confirmed and verified

from parents and from birth certificates. In case of doubt regarding the exact age, the child was excluded from the study.

Anthropometric Measurements: Weight, height/length, mid-arm circumference, head circumference and chest circumference measurements were taken for each subject (in both the cohorts) in the study(7,8). The investigators were centrally trained and tools used were calibrated periodically.

Data Analysis: After combining the data for two cohorts from seven centres, we have analysed for percentiles (3rd, 5th, 10th, 25th, 50th, 75th, 90th, 95th and 97th) at different age points according to sex for five parameters, *viz.*, weight, height and circumferences of head, chest and midarm using cubic spline method(9).

Estimation of Norms at Specified Ages: The estimate of norms or population mean values and their standard errors at specified ages for growth parameters were obtained from the mixed longitudinal series of observations(10,11).

Velocity: Since the percentile curves show only growth from one age point to another, therefore to estimate the rate of growth velocities were calculated. It was calculated by simply taking increment between birth to six months, 3 months to 9 months and so on. This was done for each child separately and for all the 5 parameters. After calculating the velocity at two age intervals, the velocity percentiles were also calculated for each age interval.

Pooling of Data

Height: Before pooling data from six centres, Bangalore, Calcutta, Delhi, Kota, Ludhiana and Varanasi, the variation was calculated from the 50th percentile of pooled data which is under 3% for all the study

TABLE I—Number and Percentage Distribution of Children from Various Centres for Both Cohorts

Cohort	Bangalore		Bombay		Calcutta		Delhi		Ludhiana		Varanasi		Kota		No. of measurements								
	B	T	B	T	B	T	B	T	B	T	B	T	B	T									
I	24	48	39	78	93	85	178	49	42	91	136	75	211	77	67	144	-	-	1977	1556			
	(6.4)		(10.4)		(23.7)		(12.1)		(28.11)		(19.2)												
II	105	75	180	22	13	35	140	120	260	143	104	247	248	193	441	210	220	430	143	149	292	3396	2896
	(9.5)		(1.8)		(13.7)		(13.1)		(23.3)		(22.8)		(15.4)										
	129	99	228	61	52	113	233	205	438	192	146	338	384	268	652	287	287	574	143	149	292	-	-
	(8.65)		(4.28)		(16.62)		(12.82)		(24.74)		(21.78)		(11.04)										

B=Boys; G=Girls. Percentage distributions are given in parentheses.

TABLE III—Per cent Variation Between the 50th Percentile of Pooled Height Smooth Curve with Different Centres According to Age

Age (mo)		Ludhiana	Calcutta	Varanasi	Delhi	Kota
Bi	B	0.238	1.548	1.945	0.238	-
	G	0.458	1.333	2.128	0.934	-
3	B	0.067	1.078	1.584	2.594	-
	G	0.338	1.523	1.523	1.522	-
6	B	3.128	0.061	0.516	2.490	-
	G	3.284	0.688	0.229	0.228	-
9	B	1.416	0.001	0.142	1.841	-
	G	2.342	-	-	-	-
12	B	0.296	0.780	1.050	1.086	-
	G	0.395	1.102	1.510	1.646	-
18	B	0.831	0.719	0.397	0.719	2.269
	G	0.226	0.351	0.100	3.338	0.651
24	B	1.081	0.581	1.895	0.000	1.314
	G	0.024	0.012	1.047	0.035	0.670
30	B	0.155	0.486	2.342	0.155	1.668
	G	0.011	1.056	2.980	1.745	2.146
36	B	0.032	0.283	1.484	0.509	1.272
	G	0.900	0.922	2.294	0.525	0.493
42	B	0.246	0.082	1.668	0.860	1.944
	G	1.138	0.228	2.513	0.703	0.455
48	B	0.984	0.050	1.388	1.091	0.298
	G	1.973	0.431	1.622	0.862	1.071
54	B	0.974	0.231	1.002	1.494	0.473
	G	2.702	0.000	0.427	1.001	0.427
60	B	1.724	0.038	1.349	1.677	1.087
	G	2.349	1.443	1.028	1.207	1.528
66	B	1.537	0.264	1.419	0.646	0.191
	G	2.213	2.259	2.591	1.527	0.301
72	B	1.197	0.079	1.796	0.000	0.960
	G	0.813	2.732	1.405	0.000	0.521

B=Boys; G=Girls; Bi=Birth.

TABLE IV—Height Percentiles (cm) (Cubic Spline Least Square Method) for Boys

Age (mo)	Percentile														
	3rd	5th	10th	20th	25th	30th	40th	50th	60th	70th	75th	80th	90th	95th	97th
Bi	47.6	47.7	40.2	49.1	50.3	49.4	49.8	50.4	50.7	50.9	51.2	51.4	52.2	52.7	53.2
3	56.3	56.7	57.4	58.0	58.2	58.4	56.9	(50.5) 59.4	59.6	60.2	60.7	60.8	62.0	62.9	63.8
6	62.5	63.0	63.7	64.3	64.9	65.9	65.9	(61.1) 65.9	66.1	66.4	67.2	67.5	68.7	69.9	70.8
9	66.8	67.4	68.1	68.9	69.3	69.6	70.1	(67.8) 70.6	70.9	71.6	72.0	72.3	73.4	74.6	75.4
12	70.1	70.7	71.4	72.5	72.9	73.3	72.8	(72.5) 74.3	74.7	75.6	75.3	76.0	77.0	79.2	78.8
18	75.6	76.2	77.0	78.5	79.0	79.5	90.1	(76.1) 80.7	81.2	81.7	82.0	82.3	83.3	84.4	84.9
24	80.1	80.9	81.8	83.6	84.1	84.6	85.3	(82.4) 86.0	86.6	87.1	87.5	87.8	88.9	90.1	90.5
30	84.0	84.9	86.0	87.8	88.3	88.9	99.8	(85.6) 90.5	91.2	91.7	92.2	92.6	93.9	95.3	95.9
36	87.3	88.3	89.6	91.3	91.9	92.5	93.6	(90.4) 94.4	95.1	95.8	96.3	96.9	93.5	100.0	100.8
42	90.2	91.3	92.8	94.5	95.1	95.7	96.9	(94.9) 97.7	98.5	99.3	100.0	100.6	102.6	104.3	105.2
48	92.8	94.0	95.6	97.4	90.8	98.7	99.9	(99.1) 100.8	101.6	102.6	103.4	104.1	106.4	108.2	109.3
54	95.3	96.5	98.3	100.2	100.9	101.5	102.8	(102.9) 103.7	104.6	105.0	106.7	107.4	110.0	111.8	113.1
60	97.9	99.1	100.9	103.1	103.9	104.6	105.8	(106.6) 106.2	107.7	109.0	109.9	110.8	113.4	115.1	116.4
66	100.7	101.9	103.6	106.4	107.2	108.0	109.0	(109.9) 110.0	111.1	112.4	113.3	114.2	116.7	118.3	119.5
72	103.9	104.9	106.5	110.1	111.0	111.9	112.6	(113.1) 113.6	114.9	116.2	117.0	117.9	120.0	121.3	122.2
								(116.1)							

Bi = Birth. Mean values are given in parentheses.

TABLE V—Height Percentiles (cm) for Girls

Age (mo)	Percentile														
	3rd	5th	10th	20th	25th	30th	40th	50th	60th	70th	75th	80th	90th	95th	97th
Bi	47.5	47.9	48.4	48.9	49.3	49.5	49.9	50.3 (49.9)	50.6	50.9	51.3	51.5	52.1	52.7	53.1
3	55.8	56.4	57.1	57.9	58.1	58.2	58.7	59.1 (59.5)	59.4	60.0	60.3	60.6	61.5	62.2	62.9
6	61.6	62.3	63.2	64.1	64.3	64.5	65.0	65.5 (65.9)	66.0	66.4	66.8	67.1	68.1	68.9	69.7
9	65.6	66.4	67.5	68.5	67.8	69.1	69.6	70.0 (70.4)	70.5	71.0	71.5	71.7	72.3	73.7	74.5
12	68.6	69.5	70.7	71.8	72.2	72.6	73.2	73.5 (74.3)	74.1	74.6	74.9	75.3	76.5	77.4	78.0
18	73.8	74.8	76.2	77.6	78.1	78.5	79.3	79.8 (80.9)	80.2	80.8	81.2	81.5	82.9	83.9	84.4
24	78.2	79.3	80.9	82.0	83.0	83.6	84.5	85.0 (85.7)	85.4	86.1	86.6	86.9	88.4	89.6	90.2
30	82.0	83.2	84.9	86.6	87.2	87.8	88.8	89.9 (90.0)	90.0*	90.8	91.3	91.7	93.2	94.6	95.3
36	85.3	86.6	88.4	90.2	90.9	91.5	92.6	93.3 (94.1)	94.0	94.9	95.4	95.8	97.5	99.0	99.9
42	88.3	89.7	91.5	93.5	94.1	94.7	95.8	96.7 (97.9)	97.5	98.5	99.0	99.5	101.2	102.9	104.0
48	91.2	92.6	94.5	96.5	97.1	97.7	98.9	99.8 (101.6)	100.8	101.9	102.4	102.9	104.6	106.4	107.6
54	94.1	95.5	97.3	99.5	100.1	100.7	101.8	102.9 (105.0)	104.0	105.1	105.5	106.1	107.8	109.6	110.9
60	97.2	98.6	100.3	102.5	103.1	103.7	104.8	106.0 (108.4)	107.1	108.2	108.8	109.3	110.9	112.6	113.8
66	100.6	102.0	103.5	105.8	106.5	107.1	108.1	109.3 (111.6)	110.5	111.4	112.0	112.6	114.1	115.4	116.4
72	104.5	105.9	107.1	109.6	110.2	110.9	111.8	113.1 (114.6)	114.1	114.9	115.5	116.1	117.4	118.3	118.7

Bi = Birth. Mean values are given in parentheses.

TABLE VI—Centrewise Mean* and SE of Boys Height (cm) for Age Estimated from Mixed Longitudinal Series

Age (mo)	Ludhiana		Varanasi		Calcutta		Delhi		Bangalore	
	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE
Bi	50.5	0.1	49.3	0.2	50.0	0.1	49.9	0.2	51.5	0.6
3	59.2	0.2	60.4	0.3	60.1	0.2	60.5	0.2	60.9	0.5
6	63.3	0.1	65.8	0.3	66.0	0.1	66.5	0.3	66.0	0.7
9	69.4	0.1	70.4	0.2	70.4	0.1	71.2	0.2	70.9	0.6
12	74.0	0.1	74.7	0.2	75.0	0.1	75.4	0.3	74.9	0.6
18	80.5	0.2	80.2	0.4	84.4	0.2	81.1	0.6	79.4	1.0
24	85.0	0.2	84.7	0.4	87.7	0.1	86.1	0.6	85.7	0.7
30	90.5	0.2	89.4	0.3	91.5	0.3	91.1	0.5	90.7	0.5
36	94.6	0.2	92.9	0.5	94.5	0.4	95.1	0.5	94.2	0.4
42	98.5	0.2	96.5	0.4	97.5	0.4	98.0	0.4	97.9	0.4
48	102.2	0.3	99.8	0.4	100.9	1.4	100.7	0.4	101.3	0.4
54	105.3	0.3	102.9	0.4	104.1	0.4	103.9	0.4	104.6	0.4
60	108.8	0.3	106.3	0.4	107.1	0.4	107.6	0.5	107.5	0.4
66	112.2	0.3	108.9	0.5	110.1	0.2	110.1	0.5	110.1	0.3
72	115.9	0.4	112.1	0.7	112.7	0.4	109.7	0.6	—	—

Bi=Birth; SE=Standard error.

* Means estimated at the specific ages using observations made at that age as well as at all preceding ages and at the immediately succeeding age.

The observed velocity percentile for boys and girls at different ages for 6 monthly intervals are presented in *Tables VIII and IX*. It appears that increments are higher in the early age period (first 12 months) and progressive deceleration was observed upto 6 years of age. The boys had marginally better gains than the girls. The total gain (50th percentile) in length/height (cm) from birth to 72 months was 63.2 and 62.8 cm for boys and girls, respectively.

Weight

The weight percentile data are presented in *Table X and XL*. Boys have marginally

higher weight by around 0.3 kg at all age points except for birth weight.

The weight means with standard error (SE) for age and sex for different centres are given in *Tables XII and XIII*. The means were similar for Bangalore, Calcutta, Delhi and Varanasi for girls as well as boys. The Ludhiana means were significantly higher for girls as compared to other centres.

The weight velocity at 6 monthly interval in percentiles is given in *Table XIV and XV* for boys and girls, respectively. The total gain (50th percentile) in weight (kg) from birth to 72 months of age for boys and girls

TABLE VIII—Velocity (6 Monthly Increments) Percentile of Height in cm (Boys)

Age (mo)	Percentile														
	3rd	5th	10th	20th	25th	30th	40th	50th	60th	70th	75th	80th	90th	95th	97th
6	8.9	9.9	11.7	13.4	14.0	14.6	15.5	16.3	17.3	18.8	18.3	19.3	21.0	22.8	24.5
9	4.3	5.3	6.9	8.5	9.1	9.5	10.1	10.8	11.6	12.5	13.0	13.5	15.2	16.7	17.9
12	3.2	4.2	5.5	7.0	7.5	7.9	8.5	9.0	9.5	10.1	10.7	11.2	12.8	14.5	15.3
18	2.6	2.8	3.4	4.9	5.3	5.5	5.8	6.0	6.3	6.5	6.7	7.0	7.6	8.2	8.4
24	2.2	2.4	2.8	3.4	3.7	4.0	4.5	4.9	5.3	5.7	5.9	6.2	6.9	8.4	9.4
30	2.0	2.4	3.2	3.8	4.1	4.4	4.9	5.3	5.8	6.4	6.8	7.1	7.7	8.0	9.2
36	1.6	2.0	2.4	3.0	3.2	3.3	3.7	4.0	4.7	5.3	5.7	6.0	6.9	7.7	8.3
42	0.5	0.9	1.4	2.4	2.5	2.8	3.2	3.5	3.8	4.3	4.6	4.9	5.7	6.7	8.3
48	0.7	1.1	2.1	2.5	2.7	2.9	3.2	3.5	3.9	4.3	4.5	4.8	5.6	5.6	7.1
54	1.1	1.4	2.0	2.5	2.7	2.9	3.2	3.5	3.8	4.2	4.4	4.6	5.3	6.3	6.8
60	1.1	1.3	1.6	2.2	2.5	2.7	3.1	3.5	3.8	4.3	4.7	5.0	5.9	6.7	7.2
66	0.5	0.9	1.4	2.1	2.3	2.5	2.9	3.2	3.6	3.9	4.2	4.6	6.1	7.2	8.1
72	1.0	1.2	1.7	2.2	2.4	2.5	2.8	3.1	3.4	3.8	4.0	4.3	5.3	6.3	7.0

TABLE IX-Velocity (6 Monthly Increments) Percentile of Height in cm (Girls)

Age (mo)	Percentile														
	3rd	5th	10th	20th	25th	30th	40th	50th	60th	70th	75th	80th	90th	95th	97th
6	8.5	9.8	11.1	12.8	13.4	14.0	15.1	16.0	16.8	17.7	18.3	19.1	21.2	23.2	24.7
9	4.9	5.4	6.3	7.5	8.3	8.8	9.6	10.3	11.1	11.9	12.4	13.1	14.9	16.1	17.8
12	4.5	5.0	5.9	7.0	7.5	7.9	8.5	9.1	9.7	10.4	10.9	11.5	13.1	14.4	15.6
18	2.1	2.3	2.7	3.6	4.1	4.3	5.1	5.3	5.7	5.9	6.1	6.4	6.9	8.4	8.8
24	1.7	2.1	2.7	3.7	4.1	4.3	4.6	5.0	5.4	5.8	6.0	6.4	7.5	8.7	9.4
30	2.1	2.5	3.1	3.6	3.8	4.1	4.5	4.8	5.4	6.1	6.4	7.0	7.9	8.6	8.9
36	0.9	1.2	1.6	2.5	2.9	3.2	3.6	4.0	4.6	5.3	5.6	5.9	6.6	7.0	7.7
42	1.5	1.9	2.2	2.6	3.0	3.1	3.4	3.7	4.0	4.4	4.6	4.9	5.7	6.4	7.2
48	0.8	1.3	2.1	2.6	2.8	3.0	3.3	3.7	4.1	4.5	4.8	5.1	6.0	7.0	7.0
54	0.9	1.2	1.8	2.4	2.6	2.8	3.2	3.5	3.7	4.1	4.3	4.6	5.2	6.0	6.6
60	0.7	1.1	1.5	2.2	2.4	2.7	3.1	3.5	3.8	4.3	4.6	4.9	6.4	7.4	8.1
66	0.6	1.0	1.7	2.3	2.4	2.6	2.9	3.2	3.6	3.8	4.0	4.4	5.6	7.6	8.9
72	1.2	1.6	2.1	2.4	2.5	2.7	2.9	3.2	3.5	3.4	4.0	4.3	5.0	5.6	5.8

TABLE X-Percentile for Weight (kg) of Boys

Age (mo)	Percentile														
	3rd	5th	10th	20th	25th	30th	40th	50th	60th	70th	75th	80th	90th	95th	97th
Bi	2.5	2.6	2.7	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.1 (3.3)	3.2	3.4	3.5	3.5	3.7	3.9	4.0
3	4.8	4.9	5.0	5.2	5.3	5.4	5.5	5.7 (6.0)	5.8	5.9	6.0	6.2	6.4	6.6	6.7
6	6.2	6.3	6.5	6.8	6.9	7.0	7.2	7.4 (7.8)	7.5	7.7	7.8	8.0	8.2	8.5	8.6
9	7.2	7.3	7.6	7.9	8.0	8.1	8.3	8.5 (9.2)	8.6	8.8	8.9	9.2	9.5	9.8	10.1
12	7.8	8.0	8.3	8.7	8.8	8.9	9.1	9.3 (10.2)	9.4	9.7	9.8	10.1	10.4	10.9	11.2
18	8.9	9.1	9.6	10.0	10.1	10.2	10.5	10.7 (11.5)	10.8	11.1	11.3	11.5	12.0	12.6	13.1
24	9.8	10.0	10.6	11.1	11.2	11.3	11.7	11.9 (12.3)	12.0	12.4	12.5	12.8	13.4	14.1	14.7
30	10.6	10.9	11.4	12.0	12.1	12.3	12.5	12.9 (13.5)	13.1	13.5	13.7	14.0	14.7	15.4	16.0
36	11.3	11.6	12.1	12.7	12.9	13.1	13.5	13.8 (14.6)	14.1	14.4	14.7	15.0	15.8	16.6	17.2
42	11.9	12.3	12.8	13.4	13.6	13.8	14.3	14.6 (15.7)	14.9	15.3	15.6	16.0	16.9	17.7	18.3
48	12.5	12.9	13.4	14.1	14.4	14.6	15.0	15.4 (16.7)	15.9	16.2	16.5	17.0	17.9	18.7	19.3
54	13.1	13.6	14.1	14.9	15.1	15.3	15.8	16.2 (17.7)	19.7	17.1	17.4	17.9	18.9	19.8	20.3
60	13.8	14.3	14.9	15.8	16.0	16.1	16.6	17.1 (18.7)	17.6	18.0	18.4	18.9	19.9	21.0	21.5
66	14.5	15.0	15.8	16.8	17.0	17.0	17.6	18.1 (19.7)	18.6	19.1	19.5	19.9	21.0	22.3	22.7
72	15.3	15.8	17.0	18.1	18.1	18.1	18.7	19.2 (20.7)	19.7	20.2	20.7	22.1	22.1	23.8	24.2

Bi = Birth; Mean values are given in parentheses.

TABLE XI—Percentile for Weight (kg) of Girls

Age (mo)	Percentile														
	3rd	5th	10th	20th	25th	30th	40th	50th	60th	70th	75th	80th	90th	95th	97th
Bi	2.5	2.5	2.7	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.2	3.2	3.3	3.4	3.5	3.8	3.9	3.9
3	4.5	4.6	4.8	4.9	5.0	5.1	5.3	5.4 (3.2)	5.6	5.8	5.9	6.0	6.3	6.5	6.6
6	5.9	6.0	6.3	6.4	6.5	6.6	6.8	7.0 (5.4)	7.2	7.4	7.6	7.7	7.9	8.2	8.4
9	6.8	7.0	7.2	7.5	7.6	7.7	7.9	8.1 (7.2)	8.3	8.5	8.7	8.8	9.1	9.4	9.6
12	7.5	7.7	8.0	8.3	8.5	8.6	8.8	9.0 (8.6)	9.2	9.3	9.5	9.6	10.0	10.3	10.5
18	8.6	8.8	9.1	9.7	9.8	10.0	10.1	10.4 (9.5)	10.6	10.7	10.9	11.0	11.5	11.9	12.2
24	9.4	9.7	10.1	10.7	10.9	11.1	11.4	11.6 (10.8)	11.8	12.0	12.2	12.3	12.9	13.3	13.7
30	10.1	10.4	10.9	11.6	11.8	12.0	12.3	12.6 (11.8)	12.9	13.1	13.3	13.5	14.2	14.8	15.2
36	10.8	11.1	11.6	12.3	12.4	12.8	13.1	13.5 (13.0)	13.9	14.1	14.4	14.6	15.3	16.0	16.7
42	11.3	11.7	12.2	12.9	13.2	13.5	13.9	14.3 (14.1)	14.8	15.0	15.4	15.7	16.4	17.1	18.0
48	11.9	12.3	12.9	13.6	13.9	14.2	14.6	15.1 (15.1)	15.6	16.0	16.4	16.7	17.5	18.2	19.4
54	12.6	13.0	13.6	14.3	14.6	14.9	15.4	15.9 (16.0)	16.5	16.9	17.3	17.7	18.5	19.3	20.6
60	13.4	13.9	14.5	15.1	15.5	15.7	16.2	16.8 (16.8)	17.4	17.8	18.3	18.6	19.6	20.2	21.9
66	14.4	14.9	15.6	16.1	16.5	16.8	17.2	17.8 (17.7)	18.3	18.9	19.3	19.6	20.6	21.1	23.1
72	15.7	16.3	17.0	17.5	17.8	18.0	18.3	18.9 (18.6)	19.3	20.0	20.3	20.5	21.7	22.0	24.3
								18.9 (19.5)							

Bi = Birth.

TABLE XII—*Centrewise Mean and SE of Boys Weight (kg) for Age Estimated from Mixed Longitudinal Series*

Age (mo)	Ludhiana		Varanasi		Calcutta		Delhi		Bangalore	
	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE
Bi	3.15	0.03	3.14	0.04	3.15	0.04	3.26	0.08	3.05	0.10
3	5.75	0.05	5.74	0.08	5.62	0.06	6.23	0.08	5.88	0.13
6	6.72	0.05	7.17	0.07	7.17	0.07	7.64	0.10	7.29	0.23
9	8.21	0.04	8.37	0.09	8.37	0.07	8.82	0.10	8.46	0.27
12	9.57	0.04	9.40	0.10	9.38	0.13	9.79	0.13	9.15	0.23
18	10.87	0.08	10.56	0.18	11.27	0.07	11.12	0.32	10.80	0.38
24	11.79	0.06	11.68	0.17	12.46	0.06	12.57	0.30	12.15	0.38
30	12.89	0.06	12.88	0.15	12.54	0.19	13.16	0.20	12.80	0.19
36	13.89	0.07	13.88	0.15	13.66	0.19	13.82	0.19	13.50	0.16
42	14.88	0.11	14.83	0.16	14.54	0.17	14.65	0.16	14.41	0.15
48	16.03	0.11	15.48	0.16	15.58	0.16	15.59	0.16	15.14	0.17
54	17.00	0.11	16.47	0.16	16.63	0.19	16.78	0.19	15.97	0.18
60	18.04	0.15	17.60	0.17	17.70	0.20	17.73	0.20	17.09	0.20
66	18.96	0.13	18.94	0.22	18.63	0.20	19.17	0.26	17.78	0.18
72	19.85	0.16	20.35	0.33	19.93	0.25	—	—	—	—

centres are also given in *Tables XXII* and *XXIII*. Ludhiana children show more gain and remain higher as compared to other centres. Chest overtook head circumference by 11.4 and 12.0 months in boys and girls, respectively. The earliest take over was observed in Delhi children by 9.24 and 9.85 months for boys and girls, respectively (*Table XXII*). At all age points upto 66 months the 50th percentile values for chest circumference were higher in boys as compared to girls, except for the value at 72 months where both boys and girls had similar 50th percentile value. The increments for chest circumference in case of boys were higher, upto 36 months of age; thereafter, the girls had marginally higher increments.

The total gain (median) in chest circumference from birth to 72 months of age for boys and girls was 23.3 and 23.0 cm, respectively.

Midarm Circumference

The percentiles are presented in *Tables XXV* and *XXVI* for boys and girls. The 50th centile values for boys and girls were similar; only marginal difference was noted at 3rd and 97th centiles. The means with SE for various centres are presented in *Tables XXVII* and *XXVIII*. The means were higher for Ludhiana as compared to other centres.

In velocity, the maximum increment appeared to be in the first 9 months of life which was marginally higher for boys than

TABLE XIII—Centrewise Mean and SE of Girls Weight (kg) for Age Estimated from Mixed Longitudinal Series

Age (mo)	Ludhiana		Varanasi		Calcutta		Delhi		Bangalore	
	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE
Bi	3.11	0.03	3.16	0.05	3.12	0.04	3.15	0.06	3.09	0.15
3	5.45	0.07	5.53	0.10	5.51	0.06	5.86	0.07	5.46	0.11
6	6.30	0.06	6.97	0.08	7.18	0.07	7.31	0.10	6.80	0.12
9	7.61	0.05	8.13	0.09	8.26	0.07	8.48	0.11	7.86	0.15
12	8.91	0.06	9.15	0.12	9.20	0.07	9.43	0.11	8.85	0.19
18	10.63	0.08	10.43	0.14	10.78	0.07	11.11	0.42	9.60	0.35
24	11.68	0.08	11.30	0.15	11.45	0.05	12.13	0.39	11.31	0.42
30	12.73	0.06	12.27	0.15	12.20	0.16	12.82	0.21	11.94	0.29
36	13.79	0.07	13.27	0.14	13.47	0.20	13.38	0.19	12.99	0.22
42	14.84	0.09	14.24	0.15	14.61	0.19	14.12	0.17	13.52	0.18
48	15.94	0.10	15.12	0.15	15.52	0.21	15.32	0.19	14.38	0.19
54	17.01	0.11	16.24	0.15	16.54	0.21	16.48	0.22	15.34	0.21
60	17.99	0.14	17.02	0.17	17.27	0.20	17.61	0.26	16.38	0.28
66	18.92	0.18	18.08	0.16	17.66	0.13	18.50	0.31	17.27	0.39
72	19.71	0.17	18.94	0.23	18.69	0.14				

Bi=Birth; SE=Standard error.

girls. Thereafter, the increments were small and similar upto 66 months of age (Tables XXIX and XXX).

Discussion

Habicht *et al.* (12) stated that there are small differences 3% for height and 6% for weight in different ethnic groups with similar socio-economic status. In contrast, the varying socio-economic status can have higher difference (12% for height and 30% for weight). Therefore, these workers recommended that both genetic and ecologic background as well as their mutual interaction be taken into account in the construction of growth references. Similarly,

Goldstein and Tanner(13), Tanner(14) have argued for local standards, which need to be updated from time to time to account for changing socio-economic level. The use of western standards set unattainable goal and overestimate degree of under nutrition among children. The same could be avoided by using local attainable as standards(15-19). Vanloon *et al.*(20) working in 4 different geographical areas showed that growth curves had heterogeneity as well as the values had varying differences as compared to the NCHS standard for individual age points. Presently in India, growth charts/road to health cards/child health card/weight for age charts in practice are

TABLE XIV--Velocity (6 Monthly Increment) Percentile of Weight in kg (Boys)

Age at end of interval	Percentile														
	3rd	5th	10th	20th	25th	30th	40th	50th	60th	70th	75th	80th	90th	95th	97th
6	2.35	2.59	2.91	3.34	3.53	3.71	4.03	4.28	4.52	4.76	4.88	5.03	5.59	3.91	3.98
9	0.99	1.18	1.50	2.01	2.21	2.35	2.62	2.85	3.08	3.29	3.40	3.58	4.15	4.46	4.58
12	0.67	0.97	1.23	1.64	1.82	1.96	2.19	2.39	2.59	2.78	2.89	3.06	3.59	3.93	4.07
18	0.61	0.68	0.87	1.02	1.09	1.15	1.28	1.38	1.46	1.55	1.50	1.64	1.81	3.00	2.07
24	0.19	0.32	0.61	0.74	0.81	0.87	1.01	1.14	1.30	1.17	1.53	1.65	1.92	2.29	2.65
30	0.13	0.21	0.42	0.67	0.73	0.79	0.91	1.03	1.15	1.35	1.49	1.62	2.04	3.41	3.02
36	0.17	0.28	0.57	0.66	0.74	0.81	0.95	1.09	1.22	1.35	1.42	1.48	1.84	1.89	3.62
42	0.30	0.49	0.57	0.70	0.76	0.84	0.96	1.11	1.26	1.41	1.48	1.63	3.96	2.36	3.64
48	0.16	0.27	0.51	0.67	0.75	0.83	0.99	1.12	1.24	1.37	1.43	1.50	3.52	3.14	3.61
54	0.16	0.26	0.51	0.71	0.81	0.91	1.10	1.22	1.35	1.49	1.64	1.80	3.24	3.78	3.24
60	0.20	0.33	0.54	0.69	0.76	0.84	0.98	1.14	1.30	1.46	3.70	3.70	3.26	3.73	3.18
66	0.16	0.26	0.51	0.63	0.70	0.76	0.89	1.03	1.24	1.46	3.65	3.88	3.34	3.83	3.15
72	0.26	0.41	0.51	0.71	0.81	0.86	0.97	1.07	1.18	1.39	1.51	1.71	2.20	2.34	2.40

TABLE XV—Velocity (6 Monthly Increment) Percentile of Weight kg (Girls)

Age at end of interval	Percentile														
	3rd	5th	10th	20th	25th	30th	40th	50th	60th	70th	75th	80th	90th	95th	97th
6	1.18	1.33	1.76	2.58	2.98	3.16	3.48	3.80	4.14	4.45	4.61	4.77	5.37	6.24	6.58
9	0.23	0.38	0.77	1.38	1.67	1.86	2.19	2.51	2.81	3.12	3.26	3.42	4.39	5.01	5.26
12	0.21	0.36	0.70	1.18	1.34	1.50	1.82	2.13	2.40	2.68	2.81	2.96	3.79	4.21	4.39
18	0.45	0.48	0.56	0.73	0.81	0.88	1.03	1.18	1.29	1.40	1.45	1.51	2.10	2.50	2.62
24	0.49	0.55	0.69	0.85	0.89	0.93	1.01	1.09	1.17	1.30	1.39	1.49	1.82	2.27	2.50
30	0.20	0.33	0.47	0.63	0.72	0.80	0.91	1.02	1.13	1.26	1.35	1.43	1.59	1.99	2.30
36	0.22	0.37	0.51	0.74	0.82	0.87	0.96	1.05	1.14	1.26	1.36	1.46	1.99	2.31	2.47
42	0.14	0.24	0.47	0.65	0.73	0.81	0.96	1.10	1.22	1.35	1.41	1.47	1.90	2.39	2.63
48	0.40	0.52	0.60	0.76	0.84	0.92	1.07	1.20	1.33	1.47	1.58	1.75	2.15	2.46	3.02
54	0.14	0.23	0.47	0.69	0.79	0.90	1.07	1.20	1.33	1.46	1.56	1.71	2.05	2.40	2.68
60	0.12	0.21	0.41	0.62	0.70	0.77	0.92	1.10	1.29	1.49	1.67	1.86	2.33	2.82	3.13
66	0.28	0.47	0.57	0.71	0.79	0.86	1.00	1.15	1.30	1.45	1.55	1.70	2.00	2.40	2.77
72	0.35	0.42	0.50	0.66	0.73	0.81	0.91	1.02	1.12	1.28	1.43	1.57	1.95	2.44	2.85

TABLE XVI—Percentile for Head Circumference (cm) of Boys

Age (mo)	Percentile														
	3rd	5th	10th	20th	25th	30th	40th	50th	60th	70th	75th	80th	90th	95th	97th
Bi	33.2	33.4	34.1	34.1	34.2	34.3	34.5	34.8	34.9	35.1	35.4	35.5	36.0	36.5	36.7
3	38.0	38.2	38.6	39.1	39.2	39.4	39.6	39.8	39.9	40.1	40.4	40.6	41.0	41.5	41.9
6	40.8	41.1	41.3	42.1	42.2	42.4	42.6	42.8	42.9	43.2	43.4	43.6	44.0	44.4	44.9
9	42.4	42.7	43.0	43.7	43.8	44.0	44.2	44.4	44.6	44.9	45.1	45.2	45.6	46.1	46.5
12	43.3	43.6	44.0	44.6	44.7	44.8	45.1	45.3	45.6	45.9	46.0	46.1	46.5	47.0	47.4
18	44.6	44.9	45.4	45.8	45.9	46.0	46.4	46.6	47.0	47.3	47.4	47.4	47.9	48.3	48.7
24	45.5	45.8	46.4	46.8	46.9	46.9	47.3	47.6	48.0	48.4	48.4	48.4	48.8	49.4	49.7
30	46.2	46.4	47.0	47.4	47.5	47.6	48.1	48.4	48.8	49.2	49.2	49.3	49.7	50.2	50.5
36	46.6	46.9	47.4	47.9	48.0	48.2	48.6	49.0	49.3	49.7	49.8	49.9	50.4	50.8	51.1
42	46.9	47.2	47.7	48.2	48.4	48.6	49.0	49.4	49.7	50.1	50.2	50.4	50.9	51.4	51.6
48	47.0	47.4	47.8	48.5	48.6	48.9	48.3	49.7	50.0	50.4	50.6	50.8	51.4	51.8	52.1
54	47.2	47.5	47.9	48.7	48.9	49.1	49.6	50.0	50.3	50.7	50.9	51.1	51.8	52.3	52.6
60	47.4	47.7	48.1	48.9	49.1	49.4	49.9	50.3	50.6	51.0	51.3	51.5	52.2	52.8	53.1
66	47.6	48.1	48.5	49.2	49.5	49.8	50.3	50.7	51.0	51.4	51.7	52.0	52.8	53.5	53.8
72	48.1	48.5	49.2	49.7	49.9	50.2	50.9	51.2	51.6	52.0	52.4	52.5	53.5	54.3	54.6

Bi = Birth; Mean values are given in parentheses.

TABLE XVII—Percentile for Head Circumference (cm) of Girls

Age (mo)	Percentile															
	3rd	5th	10th	20th	25th	30th	40th	50th	60th	70th	75th	80th	90th	95th	97th	
Bi	33.0	33.1	33.5	33.9	34.1	34.2	34.4	34.6	34.9	35.1	35.3	35.4	35.7	36.1	36.5	
3	37.6	37.9	38.4	38.9	39.0	39.1	39.3	39.5	39.7	39.9	40.1	40.3	40.6	41.0	41.1	
6	40.3	40.7	41.2	41.8	41.9	42.1	42.3	42.4	42.6	42.9	43.0	43.2	43.6	43.9	44.0	
9	41.8	42.2	42.8	43.3	43.5	43.7	43.9	44.1	44.3	44.5	44.6	44.8	45.2	45.5	45.6	
12	42.7	43.0	43.6	44.1	44.3	44.5	44.7	45.0	45.2	45.4	45.5	45.6	46.1	46.4	46.5	
18	43.8	44.2	44.7	45.2	45.5	45.7	46.0	46.2	46.5	46.7	46.8	46.9	47.7	47.8	48.0	
24	44.6	45.0	45.5	46.0	46.4	46.5	46.8	47.2	47.5	47.7	47.8	47.9	48.5	48.9	49.1	
30	45.2	45.6	46.0	46.6	46.9	47.1	49.4	47.8	48.1	48.4	48.6	48.7	49.1	49.8	50.0	
36	45.6	46.0	46.4	47.0	47.3	47.5	47.9	48.2	48.5	48.9	49.1	49.3	50.0	50.4	50.8	
42	45.8	46.2	46.6	47.3	47.5	47.8	48.1	48.5	48.9	49.3	49.6	49.8	50.5	51.0	51.4	
48	46.0	46.5	46.9	47.6	47.7	48.0	48.4	48.7	49.1	49.7	50.0	50.2	50.9	51.5	51.9	
54	46.2	46.7	47.1	47.8	47.9	48.3	48.6	49.1	49.5	50.0	50.4	50.6	51.4	52.0	52.5	
60	46.5	47.0	47.4	48.1	48.3	48.6	49.0	49.5	50.0	50.5	50.8	51.1	51.8	52.6	53.0	
66	47.0	47.4	47.8	48.6	48.8	49.1	49.6	50.2	50.7	51.1	51.4	51.6	52.4	53.3	53.7	
72	47.8	47.9	48.4	49.1	49.6	49.8	50.4	51.2	51.7	51.9	52.1	52.3	53.0	54.1	54.6	

Bi = Birth; Mean values are given in parentheses.

TABLE XVIII—*Centrewise Mean and SE of Boys' Head Circumference in cm Estimated from Mixed Longitudinal Series*

Age (mo)	Ludhiana		Varanasi		Calcutta		Delhi		Bangalore	
	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE
Bi	34.33	0.16	34.40	0.11	34.79	0.21	34.76	0.16	34.40	0.46
3	39.38	0.11	40.11	0.15	40.10	0.10	39.91	0.16	40.10	0.36
6	42.17	0.08	42.49	0.11	42.67	0.07	43.06	0.15	42.49	0.34
9	43.67	0.06	43.95	0.09	44.53	0.06	44.79	0.16	44.20	0.39
12	44.89	0.06	45.39	0.11	45.97	0.22	45.86	0.16	45.42	0.52
18	46.89	0.13	46.74	0.14	48.32	0.08	47.16	0.74	46.94	0.26
24	47.52	0.10	47.48	0.13	48.32	0.06	48.43	0.19	47.83	0.22
30	48.29	0.08	48.03	0.11	48.35	0.13	48.73	0.16	48.43	0.18
36	49.02	0.08	48.41	0.11	48.84	0.12	49.14	0.14	48.69	0.22
42	49.78	0.08	48.77	0.11	49.10	0.11	49.43	0.11	48.84	0.13
48	50.45	0.08	49.21	0.12	49.36	0.11	49.93	0.12	49.15	0.13
54	51.05	0.09	49.56	0.13	49.53	0.10	50.39	0.13	49.45	0.13
60	51.53	0.10	49.88	0.14	49.77	0.10	50.73	0.14	49.77	0.15
66	52.40	0.12	50.08	0.15	49.83	0.10	51.32	0.26	49.99	0.16

* Means estimated at the specified ages using observations made at that age as well as at all previous ages and at the immediately succeeding age.

basically derived from the growth charts designed showing upper line NCHS 50th centile of weight for boys, the lower line being 3rd centile for girls.

The present study meets the WHO criteria of selecting well nourished population, based on well defined sampling criteria in seven centres to cover various parts of the country. The anthropometric scales were similar and the staff was trained at Varanasi (coordinating centre). The difference has come up in the sample design, the present study is on cross linked design based on the method suggested by Rao and Rao(21). Thus, a well-nourished selected child nearing its birthday or its portion (3rd

or 6th months) has been measured three times in a period of two years of study. This is an contrast to the selection of 200 children cross-sectionally for each age and sex point. However, as far as possible only one child has been selected from a family unit. In the present study, comparison of height data for individual centre and for combined pooled data of all the centres, the 50th centile at any age point showed a maximum of 3% variation. Thus, one is justified in presenting the growth percentiles for the data by pooling the observations from different regions of the country.

In view of the variation as compared to the NGHS and Europeans but proximity to

TABLE XIX—Centrewise Mean and SE of Girls Head Circumference in cm Estimated from Mixed Longitudinal Series

Age (mo)	Ludhiana		Varanasi		Calcutta		Delhi		Bangalore	
	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE
Bi	34.54	0.08	34.12	0.11	34.73	0.09	34.74	0.17	34.59	0.26
3	38.93	0.13	39.71	0.19	39.98	0.10	39.50	0.16	38.97	0.24
6	41.76	0.14	42.24	0.16	42.56	0.07	42.26	0.13	41.54	0.29
9	43.50	0.08	43.67	0.13	44.17	0.06	44.08	0.13	43.57	0.37
12	44.55	0.08	44.96	0.11	45.38	0.06	45.22	0.11	45.02	0.52
18	46.22	0.12	46.29	0.17	46.79	0.26	46.41	0.40	46.87	0.48
24	47.13	0.11	46.93	0.14	47.58	0.06	47.00	0.20	47.57	0.33
30	47.86	0.13	47.39	0.39	47.98	0.19	48.24	0.21	47.39	0.30
36	48.72	0.10	47.69	0.12	48.03	0.16	48.46	0.19	47.54	0.19
42	49.44	0.13	48.02	0.11	48.45	0.15	48.87	0.15	47.91	0.15
48	50.43	0.11	48.31	0.14	48.59	0.18	49.43	0.17	48.12	0.13
54	50.96	0.10	48.02	0.12	49.01	0.13	49.84	0.17	48.45	0.14
60	51.36	0.11	49.02	0.12	49.10	0.15	50.19	0.17	48.83	0.13
66	52.20	0.11	49.30	0.14	49.19	0.19	50.45	0.19	49.01	0.19

Asians, it would be more appropriate to use the Indian growth data as reference instead of the NCHS standard(22). This suggestion is further strengthened by the data collected for 6 monthly increments (the height velocity). As the velocity (increment) rate was lower during the study age period as compared to the increments reported-for American children by Baumgartner(23). In contrast, it can be argued that the differences observed particularly in case of Ludhi-ana (approaching close to the NCHS and other centres having significantly lower values) may indicate: (a) regional differences, (b) population having secular trend; and (c) changing social inputs.

The recent data from European countries show that boys in Netherlands, Den-

mark and Norway are taller than NCHS by around 2.7 cm at 72 months of age. The present Indian data remain lower by 2.5 cm at this age point as compared to NCHS. The height means for Indian, Hongkong and Thailand children are very similar but lower than the means observed for Chinese by 1.0 cm. The Japanese boy is shorter by 0.7 cm as compared to Indian and other Asians (Table XXXI).

The girls in Netherlands and Denmark are taller by 3.7 and 2.6 cm, respectively as compared to those from Spain and NCHS data. Indian girls are short by 1.0 cm at 72 mo of age; however remained close to NCHS during birth to 9 mo and 30 to 36 mo. The Chinese girls are taller by 1.0-1.5 cm but Japanese are short by 1.0 cm as compared

TABLE XX—Percentile for Chest Circumference (cm) of Boys

Age (mo)	Percentile														
	3rd	5th	10th	20th	25th	30th	40th	50th	60th	70th	75th	80th	90th	95th	97th
Bi	30.9	31.1	31.3	31.8	32.1	32.2	32.4	32.6	33.0	33.4	33.6	33.7	34.3	34.5	34.8
3	36.2	36.3	36.8	37.3	37.6	37.7	38.1	38.4	38.8	39.1	39.4	39.6	40.4	41.5	42.1
6	39.4	39.6	40.3	40.8	41.1	41.3	41.7	42.0	42.4	42.7	43.0	43.3	44.0	45.8	46.5
9	41.3	41.6	42.3	42.9	43.2	43.4	43.8	44.1	44.5	44.9	45.2	45.4	46.3	48.0	48.9
12	42.4	42.9	43.5	44.2	44.5	44.7	45.1	45.4	45.8	46.2	46.5	46.8	47.8	49.3	50.2
18	44.1	44.7	45.4	46.1	46.4	46.7	47.0	47.4	47.7	48.3	48.6	48.8	50.1	51.2	52.2
24	45.4	46.0	46.7	47.6	47.9	48.2	48.5	49.0	49.2	49.9	50.2	50.5	51.8	52.7	53.7
30	46.3	47.0	47.7	48.7	48.9	49.3	49.7	50.2	50.4	51.1	51.4	51.7	53.1	53.9	55.0
36	47.0	47.7	48.5	49.4	49.7	50.1	50.5	51.1	51.3	52.0	52.3	52.6	54.1	54.9	55.9
42	47.5	48.2	49.0	49.9	50.3	50.7	51.2	51.8	52.1	52.8	53.1	53.4	54.7	55.7	56.7
48	48.0	48.6	49.4	50.4	50.8	51.1	51.8	52.4	52.8	53.4	53.8	54.1	55.3	56.5	57.5
54	48.5	49.1	49.9	50.8	51.2	51.6	52.3	53.1	53.4	54.1	54.4	54.8	56.0	57.4	58.3
60	49.2	49.8	50.5	51.3	51.8	52.2	53.0	53.8	54.2	54.9	55.2	55.6	56.7	58.3	59.1
66	50.1	50.6	51.2	52.1	52.6	53.0	53.9	54.7	55.1	55.8	56.2	56.6	57.7	59.4	60.2
72	51.4	51.9	52.3	53.2	53.6	54.2	55.0	55.9	56.1	57.1	57.4	57.9	59.2	60.8	61.6

TABLE XXI—Percentile for Chest Circumference (cm) of Girls

Age (mo)	Percentile														
	3rd	5th	10th	20th	25th	30th	40th	50th	60th	70th	75th	80th	90th	95th	97th
Bi	30.3	30.6	31.1	31.5	31.7	32.0	32.2	32.4	32.6	33.0	33.3	33.5	34.0	34.4	34.8
3	35.7	36.1	36.6	37.0	37.2	37.3	37.7	38.0	38.3	38.7	39.0	39.2	40.0	40.7	41.3
6	38.9	39.4	39.9	40.4	40.7	40.7	41.2	41.6	41.8	42.3	42.5	42.7	43.6	44.6	45.2
9	40.8	41.3	41.8	42.5	42.7	42.8	43.4	43.7	43.9	44.3	44.6	44.8	45.7	46.7	47.4
12	41.8	42.3	43.0	43.7	44.0	44.2	44.7	45.0	45.2*	45.6	45.9	46.1	46.9	47.9	48.7
18	43.3	43.9	44.6	45.6	45.9	46.2	46.7	46.9	47.3	47.6	47.9	48.6	48.9	49.9	50.7
24	44.4	45.0	45.9	46.9	47.2	47.7	48.1	48.4	48.8	49.1	49.4	49.7	50.5	51.5	52.4
30	45.1	45.8	46.7	47.8	48.2	48.7	49.1	49.4	50.0	50.3	50.7	50.9	51.8	52.9	53.5
36	45.7	46.4	47.4	48.5	48.9	49.3	49.8	50.2	50.9	51.3	51.7	51.9	53.0	54.1	54.4
42	46.1	46.9	47.9	48.9	49.3	49.8	50.4	50.9	51.7	52.1	52.5	52.8	53.9	55.1	55.9
48	46.6	47.3	48.3	49.3	49.8	50.2	50.8	51.4	52.3	52.9	53.2	53.5	54.8	56.0	56.8
54	47.1	47.8	48.7	49.8	50.2	50.7	51.4	52.1	53.0	53.7	54.0	54.3	55.6	56.8	57.7
60	47.8	48.5	49.2	50.4	50.9	51.3	52.1	52.9	53.9	54.5	54.9	55.2	56.5	57.5	58.6
66	48.8	49.3	50.0	51.4	51.8	52.3	53.1	54.0	54.9	55.6	55.9	56.3	57.4	58.5	59.5
72	50.3	50.5	51.0	52.7	53.1	53.7	54.6	55.4	56.2	56.9	57.4	57.6	58.4	59.4	60.6

TABLE XXII—Centrewise Mean and SE of Boys Chest Circumference (cm) Estimated from Mixed Longitudinal Series

Age (mo)	Ludhiana		Varanasi		Calcutta		Delhi		Bangalore	
	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE
Bi	32.75	0.08	32.04	0.15	32.16	0.06	33.19	0.18	32.86	0.29
3	38.18	0.13	38.42	0.21	38.32	0.13	40.69	0.20	39.30	0.44
6	41.18	0.11	41.23	0.16	41.47	0.10	44.22	0.23	41.33	0.56
9	43.22	0.10	43.01	0.18	44.23	0.06	46.98	0.22	43.67	0.59
12	45.04	0.08	45.22	0.53	45.75	0.06	48.49	0.26	45.34	0.43
18	48.23	0.21	47.48	0.28	48.03	0.15	48.74	0.47	48.70	0.70
24	49.04	0.15	48.75	0.25	52.02	0.96	50.57	0.49	49.20	0.93
30	50.14	0.15	49.55	0.21	50.19	0.27	51.22	0.42	49.45	0.42
36	51.26	0.10	50.54	0.20	50.99	0.25	52.14	0.32	49.90	0.18
42	52.42	0.09	50.81	0.23	51.83	0.23	52.62	0.26	51.03	0.24
48	53.46	0.08	51.46	0.19	52.56	0.22	53.68	0.29	51.55	0.22
54	54.11	0.07	52.19	0.20	53.41	0.27	54.21	0.29	52.21	0.22
60	55.18	0.10	52.97	0.22	54.76	0.31	54.93	0.26	53.10	0.26
66	56.32	0.13	53.85	0.28	55.15	0.32	55.88	0.32	53.43	0.31

* Means estimated at the specified ages using observations made at that age as well as all previous ages and at the immediately succeeding age.

to the Indians (*Table XXXI*).

The 50th weight centile for boys upto 6 mo approached around 30-40th centile and between 20-30th centile for age 9-72 mo as compared to NCHS standards. For girls, the 50th centile of the present study is near 40-50th centile upto 6 mo and thereafter between 30-40th centile of NCHS standards. The European boys and girls from Norway, Poland, Netherland, Denmark and Italy weigh significantly higher than those in NCHS data. Similar weight means are observed for Asian boys and girls. Indians are lighter by 1.5 kg and 0.6-0.9 kg for boys and girls, respectively as compared to the NCHS data (*Table XXXII*).

The comparative data for head and chest circumferences in *Table XXXIII* show that both parameters are smaller, in Indian children.

The velocity for weight and head circumference as in case of height in early years was lower in Indian children of the present study as compared to the American counterparts(23). The mid-arm circumference values are lower than those for European counterparts (*Table XXXIV*).

The height and weight data from Ludhi-ana, Delhi, Calcutta and Varanasi show that growth potential level observed in the present study continues in later life (6-18

TABLE XXIII—Centrewise Mean and SE of Girls Chest Circumference (cm) Estimated from Mixed Longitudinal Series

Age (mo)	Ludhiana		Varanasi		Calcutta		Delhi		Bangalore	
	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE
Bi	32.71	0.10	31.66	0.13	32.02	0.06	33.28	0.20	32.40	0.37
3	37.64	0.16	38.00	0.21	38.35	0.13	40.10	0.20	38.15	0.30
6	40.75	0.17	40.87	0.18	41.28	0.10	43.16	0.21	40.17	0.31
9	42.99	0.15	42.56	0.15	43.85	0.07	45.84	0.25	42.41	0.43
12	44.69	0.13	44.44	0.16	45.54	0.14	47.45	0.22	44.77	0.55
18	47.39	0.14	46.76	0.23	47.07	0.18	48.69	1.40	48.03	1.01
24	48.83	0.14	47.35	0.24	48.32	0.15	49.60	0.97	48.66	0.61
30	50.03	0.15	48.34	0.21	49.77	0.21	51.03	0.63	48.75	0.45
36	51.29	0.14	49.36	0.22	50.70	0.23	51.25	0.40	49.00	0.26
42	52.48	0.13	49.85	0.19	51.83	0.24	51.74	0.30	49.68	0.22
48	53.31	0.11	50.47	0.21	52.77	0.27	53.21	0.34	50.31	0.23
54	54.12	0.08	51.35	0.21	53.69	0.28	53.56	0.31	51.34	0.25
60	54.04	0.13	51.73	0.23	54.52	0.30	54.26	0.32	52.08	0.33
66	56.32	0.17	52.51	0.24	55.09	0.21	55.26	0.53	52.72	0.40

* Means estimated at the specified ages using observations made at that age as well as all previous ages and at the immediately succeeding age.

yr of age)(36). Thus, it could be said that growth level attainable in India be used on pooled data or on regional basis to avoid overestimates of undernutrition. The need for continuous efforts to collect data for growth parameters on longitudinal (in adolescence) or cross sectional basis in a nation wide approach will ultimately provide an assessment measure for optimal growth potential.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Dr. C. Gopalan, Chairman Nutrition Foundation of India, New Delhi, for valuable guidance and financial support.

We are also indebted to Sv. Shri Raj Seth, Laxmi Kant, U.D. Sharma, and Ms Preeta Agarwal from the Computer Science Section and NNMB Unit for help.

TABLE XXIV—Means Estimate of Age (Months) with Standard Error (SE) at which CC Overtakes HC in Children from Delhi, Ludhiana, Varanasi, Calcutta and Bangalore according to Sex by Simple Mathematical (Spearmen-Karber) Method

Sex	Delhi		Ludhiana		Varanasi		Calcutta		Bangalore		Pooled	
	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE
Male	9.240	1.123	11.210	0.443	23.580	0.950	20.040	1.179	15.120	1.676	11.400	
Female	9.850	1.865	10.680	0.532	24.520	0.916	18.780	1.086	17.280	1.735	12.000	
Total	9.660	0.950	11.090	0.338	23.920	0.625	19.140	0.759	16.380	1.146		

CC = Chest circumference; HC = Head circumference.

TABLE XXV—Percentiles for Mid-arm Circumference (cm) of Boys

Age (mo)	Percentile														
	3rd	5th	10th	20th	25th	30th	40th	50th	60th	70th	75th	80th	90th	95th	97th
Bi	8.9	8.9	9.1	9.3	7.4	9.5	9.7	9.8	9.9	10.2	10.3	10.4	10.7	11.2	11.3
3	10.0	10.1	10.4	10.8	11.1	12.1	11.9	12.3	12.5	12.8	12.9	13.0	13.4	13.9	14.2
6	10.8	11.0	11.4	11.9	12.2	12.4	13.1	13.7	14.0	14.2	14.4	14.5	14.9	15.4	15.7
9	11.4	11.6	12.1	12.6	12.9	13.1	13.8	14.3	14.6	14.8	15.1	15.2	15.6	16.1	16.4
12	11.9	12.1	12.7	13.1	13.4	13.5	14.0	14.5	14.8*	15.0	15.3	15.5	15.9	16.3	16.6
18	12.6	13.0	13.5	13.9	14.0	14.2	14.4	14.8	15.1	15.3	15.6	15.9	16.2	16.7	17.0
24	13.2	13.5	14.1	14.4	14.5	14.6	14.7	15.0	15.3	15.6	15.9	16.1	16.5	17.0	17.2
30	13.6	13.9	14.4	14.7	14.8	14.9	15.0	15.2	15.5	15.8	16.1	16.3	16.8	17.3	17.5
36	13.9	14.2	14.5	14.8	14.9	15.1	15.2	15.4	15.7	16.0	16.2	16.5	17.1	17.5	17.8
42	14.0	14.3	14.6	14.9	15.0	15.2	15.4	15.6	15.9	16.2	16.4	16.7	17.4	17.7	18.1
48	14.1	14.3	14.6	14.9	15.1	15.3	15.6	15.8	16.0	16.4	16.6	16.8	17.6	18.0	18.4
54	14.2	14.3	14.6	15.0	15.2	15.4	15.8	16.0	16.3	16.6	16.8	17.0	17.8	18.2	18.7
60	14.2	14.4	14.7	15.1	15.4	15.5	16.0	16.2	16.5	16.9	17.0	17.2	18.0	18.5	19.0
66	14.3	14.4	14.9	15.8	15.6	15.8	16.2	16.5	16.9	17.2	17.4	17.5	18.2	18.9	19.4
72	14.4	14.6	15.4	15.7	16.0	16.1	16.5	16.7	17.3	17.5	17.8	18.0	18.4	19.4	19.8

TABLE XXVI—Percentiles for Mid-arm Circumference (cm) of Girls

Age (mo)	Percentile														
	3rd	5th	10th	20th	25th	30th	40th	50th	60th	70th	75th	80th	90th	95th	97th
Bi	8.4	9.4	9.2	9.4	9.6	9.7	9.8	9.9	9.9	10.1	10.4	10.5	10.9	11.2	11.1
3	9.5	10.4	10.3	10.8	11.1	11.3	11.8	12.2	12.4	12.6	12.8	12.8	13.3	13.6	13.7
6	10.4	11.1	11.2	11.7	12.1	12.5	13.0	13.5	13.8	14.0	14.1	14.2	14.6	14.9	15.2
9	11.1	11.7	11.8	12.4	12.7	12.9	13.6	14.1	14.5	14.7	14.8	14.8	15.3	15.6	15.9
12	11.7	12.2	12.3	12.9	13.2	13.4	13.9	14.3	14.6	14.9	15.0	15.1	15.6	16.0	16.2
18	12.6	13.0	13.1	13.7	13.8	14.0	14.4	14.7	14.9	15.2	15.4	15.6	16.0	16.5	16.7
24	13.2	13.6	13.7	14.2	14.3	14.5	14.7	15.0	15.2	15.5	15.7	15.9	16.4	16.9	17.1
30	13.5	14.0	14.1	14.5	14.7	14.8	15.0	15.2	15.5	15.8	16.0	16.2	16.7	17.2	17.4
36	13.6	14.2	14.3	14.7	14.9	15.1	15.3	15.4	15.7	16.0	16.2	16.4	17.0	17.4	17.8
42	13.6	14.4	14.5	14.8	15.0	15.2	15.5	15.7	15.9	16.2	16.4	16.6	17.3	17.6	18.1
48	13.6	14.5	14.6	14.9	15.1	15.3	15.6	15.8	16.1	16.5	16.6	16.8	17.5	17.8	18.3
54	13.7	14.5	14.6	15.0	15.2	15.5	15.8	16.0	16.3	16.7	16.8	17.0	17.7	18.0	18.6
60	13.8	14.6	14.7	15.1	15.4	15.6	15.9	16.2	16.6	16.9	17.1	17.2	17.9	18.3	18.8
66	14.2	14.7	14.8	15.4	15.6	15.8	16.1	16.4	16.8	17.2	17.4	17.5	18.1	18.5	18.9
72	14.8	14.9	15.1	15.8	16.0	16.1	16.3	16.7	17.2	17.5	17.7	17.9	18.2	18.9	19.1

TABLE XXVII—Centrewise Mean and SE of Boys Midarm Circumference (cm) Estimated from Mixed Longitudinal Series

Age (mo)	Ludhiana		Varanasi		Calcutta		Delhi		Bangalore	
	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE
Bi	9.89	0.10	10.02	0.07	9.96	0.05	9.98	0.08	10.19	0.20
3	10.79	0.08	12.12	0.12	12.45	0.05	12.99	0.13	12.82	0.27
6	11.53	0.08	13.36	0.11	13.96	0.03	14.46	0.11	13.62	0.31
9	12.49	0.08	14.10	0.13	15.08	0.03	15.16	0.11	14.31	0.32
12	13.69	0.07	14.40	0.14	15.46	0.03	15.70	0.13	14.84	0.35
18	14.27	0.11	14.93	0.17	14.33	0.05	15.11	0.29	15.30	0.29
24	14.71	0.09	15.12	0.14	15.29	0.15	15.38	0.24	15.29	0.29
30	15.21	0.03	15.49	0.11	14.97	0.16	15.55	0.21	15.19	0.16
36	15.85	0.09	15.68	0.11	15.26	0.11	15.63	0.15	15.28	0.08
42	16.28	0.08	15.83	0.12	15.44	0.10	15.89	0.13	15.50	0.07
48	16.57	0.08	15.84	0.11	15.60	0.09	16.51	0.12	15.80	0.09
54	16.85	0.07	16.04	0.11	15.90	0.11	16.83	0.12	16.03	0.09
60	17.41	0.07	16.07	0.22	16.16	0.12	17.14	0.13	16.27	0.12

TABLE XXVIII—Centrewise Mean and SE of Girls Midarm Circumference (cm) Estimated from Mixed Longitudinal Series

Age (mo)	Ludhiana		Varanasi		Calcutta		Delhi		Bangalore	
	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE
Bi	9.70	0.07	10.01	0.08	9.89	0.06	10.12	0.08	10.27	0.30
3	10.56	0.08	11.58	0.13	12.35	0.06	12.80	0.13	12.26	0.15
6	11.17	0.08	12.97	0.13	13.89	0.03	14.03	0.13	13.31	0.18
9	11.93	0.07	13.77	0.14	14.86	0.05	14.85	0.14	13.97	0.21
12	12.93	0.08	14.20	0.15	15.22	0.03	15.49	0.15	14.55	0.21
18	14.03	0.14	14.86	0.12	14.27	0.05	14.96	0.74	14.91	0.36
24	14.63	0.10	15.08	0.11	14.70	0.06	15.53	0.39	15.11	0.46
30	15.26	0.09	15.29	0.12	15.24	0.12	15.68	0.22	15.05	0.33
36	15.76	0.09	15.63	0.11	15.42	0.12	15.28	0.22	15.09	0.17
42	16.12	0.07	15.86	0.09	15.68	0.12	15.87	0.14	15.28	0.12
48	16.51	0.09	16.09	0.10	15.90	0.13	16.57	0.15	15.67	0.11
54	16.63	0.07	16.29	0.10	16.89	0.12	16.79	0.14	15.95	0.12
60	17.88	0.07	16.42	0.10	16.26	0.13	17.05	0.15	16.15	0.15

TABLE XXIX-Velocity (6 Monthly Increment) Percentile of Mid-arm Circumference (cm) of Boys

Age at 3rd interval	Percentile														
	3rd	5th	10th	20th	25th	30th	40th	50th	60th	70th	75th	80th	90th	95th	97th
6	0.8	1.0	1.4	2.0	2.3	2.6	3.2	3.7	4.1	4.6	4.8	5.2	6.2	7.5	8.1
9	0.3	0.4	0.8	1.2	1.4	1.6	1.9	2.2	2.0	3.0	3.2	3.4	4.1	4.8	5.3
12	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.8	0.9	1.0	1.3	1.6	1.9	2.2	2.5	2.8	3.5	4.0	4.8
18	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.8	1.1	1.2	1.3
24	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.8	1.2	1.4
30	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.1	1.5	1.6
36	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.9	1.3	1.5
42	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.7	1.0	1.2	1.5
48	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.7	0.7	0.8	1.1	1.2	1.4
54	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.7	1.0	1.1	1.2
60	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.7	0.7	0.8	1.0	1.2	1.4
66	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.6	1.0	1.2	1.3
72	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.9	1.1	1.1

TABLE XXX—Velocity (6 Monthly Increment) Percentile of Mid-arm Circumference (cm) of Girls

Age at interval	Percentile														
	3rd	5th	10th	20th	25th	30th	40th	50th	60th	70th	75th	80th	90th	95th	97th
6	0.6	0.7	1.1	1.7	2.0	2.2	2.7	3.2	3.7	4.2	4.5	4.7	5.6	6.3	6.8
9	0.2	0.3	0.5	1.0	1.1	1.3	1.6	1.8	2.2	2.6	2.9	3.1	3.7	4.4	4.9
12	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.6	0.8	0.9	1.2	1.5	1.7	2.0	2.2	2.5	3.0	3.0	4.3
18	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.9	1.1	1.2	1.3
24	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.8	1.0	1.1
30	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.8	1.0	1.1	1.2
36	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.8	0.9	1.1
42	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.7	1.3	1.6	1.7
48	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	1.5	2.3	2.9
54	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	1.0	1.6	1.7
60	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.7	1.1	1.4	1.7
66	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.7	1.1	1.4	1.7
72	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.8	0.9	1.0

TABLE XXXI—Comparison of Height (cm) of European and Asian Children

Country	Place	Author/Year	Age (months)															
			Bi	0	3	6	9	12	18	24	36	48	60	72				
European																		
Belgium	Brussels	Vercauteren 1984 (24)	B												102.5	109.5	116.1	
			G												101.0	109.0	115.0	
Denmark	National	Andersen <i>et al.</i> 1982 (25)	B							78.0		88.5			97.2	104.7	111.2	118.8
			G							78.0		87.1			96.5	103.5	111.0	117.2
Hungary	National	Eiben & Panto 1986 (26)	B												97.5	103.0	109.3	116.2
			G												97.2	102.0	109.0	116.0
Netherlands	National	Roede & Van Weiringen 1985 (27)	B							76.4		88.6			98.2	105.7	112.4	118.8
			G							74.8		87.7			97.0	104.8	111.9	118.3
Norway	Bergen	Waaler 1983 (28)	B												99.4	105.8	112.4	118.7
			G												97.3	104.3	110.6	117.3
Poland	Warsaw	Kurniewicz-Witczakowa <i>et al.</i> 1983 (29)	B							77.2		86.5			95.5	103.8	110.0	117.8
			G							77.5		85.2			94.9	103.0	109.8	116.1
Spain	Bibao	Hernandez <i>et al.</i> 1985 (30)	B							75.0		86.7			95.2	102.5	108.7	114.1
			G							73.3		85.4			94.1	101.5	108.9	114.0
NCHS	USA	Hamill <i>et al.</i> 1977 (6)	B	50.5	61.1	67.8	72.3	76.1	82.4	87.6	94.9	102.9	109.9	116.1	121.5	128.5	135.5	142.5
			G	49.1	58.9	65.3	69.4	73.3	79.9	85.4	94.0	101.5	108.9	114.0	121.0	128.0	135.0	142.0
Asian																		
China (PRC)	Urban	Zhang & Huang 1988 (31, 32) Zhang 1977	B							76.5		87.9			95.1	102.1	108.6	114.7
			G							75.1		86.6			94.2	101.2	107.6	113.9
Hong Kong	Chinese	Leung <i>et al.</i> 1987 (33)	B												101.1	107.6	113.8	120.0
			G												100.6	106.8	113.0	119.2
Japan	National	Kikuta & Takaishi 1987 (34)	B									85.0			92.0	99.0	106.0	113.0
			G									85.0			92.0	97.0	105.0	112.0
Thailand	Bangkok	Khanjanasthiti <i>et al.</i> (35)	B							74.9		86.3			95.6	102.8	108.0	113.8
			G							72.8		85.0			94.6	101.3	107.4	112.1
Present Study			B	50.4	59.4	65.9	70.6	74.3	79.8	86.0	94.4	100.8	106.7	113.6	120.6	127.6	134.6	141.6
			G	50.3	59.1	65.5	70.0	73.5	79.8	86.0	94.4	100.8	106.7	113.6	120.6	127.6	134.6	141.6

TABLE XXXI—Comparison of Weight (kg) of European and Asian Children

Country	Place	Author/Year	Age (months)																	
			Bi	3	6	9	12	18	24	30	36	42	48	54	60	66	72			
European																				
Belgium	Brussels	Vercauteren 1985 (24)																17.0	19.0	21.0
																		16.5	19.0	21.0
																		19.3	21.4	
Denmark	National	Andersen <i>et al.</i> 1982 (25)		3.4	5.8	7.6	9.1	10.2	10.9	13.4	12.6	14.9	15.4	17.4	16.7	16.1	18.0	19.1	21.2	21.4
																		15.0	16.1	20.5
Hungary	National	Eiben & Panto 1986 (26)							10.1	13.1	13.1	15.4	17.6	17.6	17.2	19.3	19.3	19.6	21.7	
Netherlands	National	Roede & Van Weiringen 1985 (27)						9.5	9.5	12.6	12.6	15.0	15.0	17.2	17.2	19.3	19.3	19.6	21.7	
									10.2	13.1	13.1	15.3	17.5	17.5	19.7	19.7	19.7	19.7	21.9	
Netherlands	Oosterwolde	Gerber, 1988 (23)						9.8	9.8	12.6	12.6	14.8	17.4	17.4	19.7	19.7	19.7	19.7	21.9	
Norway	Bergen	Knudtson <i>et al.</i> 1989 (23)						10.3	10.3	13.0	13.0	16.1	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	21.9	
								9.7	9.7	12.2	12.2	15.1	16.7	16.7	16.7	16.7	16.7	16.7	21.0	
Norway	Bergen	Waaler 1983 (28)										16.1	17.6	17.6	17.6	17.6	17.6	17.6	21.9	
												15.1	17.1	17.1	17.1	17.1	17.1	17.1	21.0	
Poland	Warsaw	Kurniewicz-Witzakowa 1983 <i>et al.</i> (29)						10.7	10.7	13.0	13.0	14.8	16.9	16.9	16.9	18.5	18.5	19.2	21.8	
								9.9	9.9	12.3	12.3	14.5	16.4	16.4	16.4	18.5	18.5	19.2	21.8	
Spain	Bilbao	Hernandez <i>et al.</i> 1985 (30)						10.1	10.1	12.7	12.7	14.9	17.0	17.0	17.0	18.8	18.8	18.8	20.9	
								9.6	9.6	12.1	12.1	14.5	16.7	16.7	16.7	18.4	18.4	18.4	20.7	
NCHS	USA	Hamill <i>et al.</i> 1977 (6)		3.3	6.0	7.8	9.2	10.2	10.2	11.5	12.6	13.5	14.6	15.7	16.7	17.7	18.7	18.7	19.7	20.7
				3.2	5.4	7.2	8.6	9.5	10.8	11.9	13.0	14.1	15.1	16.0	16.8	17.7	18.6	18.6	19.5	
Asian																				
China (PRC)	Urban	Zhang & Huang 1988						9.9	9.9	12.2	12.2	14.0	15.6	15.6	15.6	17.4	17.4	17.4	19.2	
		Zhang 1977 (31, 32)						9.2	9.2	11.7	11.7	13.4	15.2	15.2	15.2	16.8	16.8	16.8	18.7	
Hong Kong	Chinese	Leung <i>et al.</i> 1987 (33)											15.2	15.2	15.2	16.9	16.9	16.9	18.7	
													14.8	14.8	14.8	16.4	16.4	16.4	18.2	
Japan	National	Kikuta & Takaishi 1987 (34)								13.0	13.0	14.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	17.5	17.5	17.5	20.0	
										11.0	11.0	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	19.0	
Thailand	Bangkok	Khanjanasthiti <i>et al.</i> (35)						9.6	9.6	12.3	12.3	14.6	16.1	16.1	16.1	17.2	17.2	17.2	19.9	
								9.1	9.1	11.7	11.7	14.0	15.6	15.6	15.6	17.6	17.6	17.6	18.8	
Present Study				3.1	5.7	7.4	8.5	9.3	9.3	11.9	11.9	13.8	15.4	15.4	15.4	17.1	17.1	17.1	19.2	
				3.2	5.4	7.0	8.1	9.0	9.0	11.6	11.6	13.5	15.1	15.1	15.1	16.8	16.8	16.8	18.9	

TABLE XXXIII—Head and Chest Circumference (cm) of European Children

Country	Place	Author/Year	Age (months)														
			0	3	6	9	12	18	24	30	36	42	48	54	60	66	72
Netherlands		Roede & Van (27) Weiringen 1985	Head Circumference														
			Mean	39.7	42.8	45.6	45.6	45.6									
Spain	Bilbo	Hernandez et al. 1985 (30)	Median	34.0	40.1	42.8	44.7	46.0	47.3								
Poland	Warsaw	Kurniewicz- Witczakowa et al. 1983 (29)	Mean	34.3	40.0	43.2	45.3	46.3	47.3								
Poland	Warsaw	Kurniewicz- Witczakowa et al. 1983 (29)	Chest Circumference (cm)														
			Mean	32.9	41.0	44.6	46.7	47.4	48.1								

TABLE XXXIV—Comparison of Midarm Circumference (cm) of European and Asian Children

Country	Place	Author/Year	Age (months)																		
			Bi	0	3	6	9	12	18	24	30	36	42	48	54	60	66	72			
European																					
Hungary	National	Eiben & Panto 1986 (26)																17.4			
Netherlands	Oosterwolde	Gerver 1988 (23)					16.3		17.0			16.7	16.8	17.0	17.7			17.9			
Norway	Bergen	Waaler 1983 (28)									16.4	16.8	16.8	17.3				17.6			
Poland	Warsaw	Kurmiewicz-Witczakowa <i>et al.</i> 1983 (29)					16.0	*	16.5		16.6	16.8	16.8	17.3				17.9			
Spain	Bilbao	Hernandez <i>et al.</i> 1985 (30)					16.0		16.3		16.7	17.0	17.0	17.2				17.4			
Asian																					
Nepal	Terai region	Martorell <i>et al.</i> 1984 (23)									13.9	14.1	14.1	14.0				14.0			
Present Study			Mean	B	G																
																			Median	B	G
											9.8	12.3	13.7	14.3	14.5	15.0	15.2	15.4	16.2	16.5	16.7
											9.9	12.2	13.5	14.1	14.3	15.0	15.2	15.4	16.2	16.5	16.7

REFERENCES

1. World Health Organization. A Growth Chart for International Use in Maternal and Child Health Care. Guidelines for Primary Health Care Personnel. Geneva, 1978.
2. Gomez F, Ramos Galvan R, *et al.* Morality in third degree malnutrition. *J Trop Pediatr* 1956, 2: 77-83.
3. Waterlow JC, Buzina R, Keller W, *et al.* The presentation and use of height and weight data for comparing the nutritional status of groups of children under the age of 10 years. *Bull WHO* 1977, 55: 489-498.
4. Karlberg P, Taranger J, Engstrom T, *et al.* The somatic development of children of a Swedish Urban Community. A prospective longitudinal study. *Acta Pediatr Scand* 1976, Suppl 258: 7-31.
5. Van Wieringen JC, Wafelbaker F, Verbrugge HP, de Haas J. Growth diagrams, 1965, Netherlands, Wolters-Noordhoff Groningen.
6. Hamill PVV, Johnson CL, Red RV, Roche AF. NCHS Growth Curves for Children, Birth—18 years. Hyattsville, MD, National Center for Health Statistics. 1977. Publication Number DHS 878-1650.
7. Weiner JS, Lourie JA. A Guide to Field Methods. Oxford, Blackwell Scientific Publication, 1969.
8. Falkner F, Tanner JM. Methodology, ecological, genetic and nutritional effect on growth. *In: Human Growth. A Comprehensive Treatise*, Vol. 3. New York, Plenum Press 1986. pp 241-327.
9. DeBoor C, Rice JR. Least square cubic spine approximation I—Fixed knots. Tech Rep No. 20. Computer Science Department, Purdue University, W. Lafayette, April 1968.
10. Patterson HD. Sampling on successive occasions with partial replacement of units. *JRSS(B)* 1950, 12: 240-255.
11. Tanner JM. Some notes on the reporting of growth data. *Hum Biol* 1951, 23: 93-159.
12. Hebicht JP, Martorella R, Yarbrough C, Malina RM, Klein RE. Height and weight standards for preschool children: How relevant are ethnic differences in growth potential? *Lancet* 1974. 1, 611-615.
13. Goldstein H, Tanner JM. Ecological considerations in the creation and use of child growth standards. *Lancet* 1980, 1: 582-585.
14. Tanner JM. Use and abuse of growth standards. *In: Human Growth*, Vol 3, 3rd edn. Eds Frank F, Tanner JM. New York, Plenum, 1986, pp 95-109.
15. Enesbio JS, Nube M. Attainable growth. *Lancet* 1981, ii: 1223.
16. Seckler D. Newer concept in nutrition and their implications for policy. Ed Sukhatme PV. Maharashtra Association for Cultivation of Science 1982, p 127.
17. Agarwal KN, Agarwal DK, Benakappa DG, *et al.* Growth Performance of Affluent Indian Children (under-fives). Growth Standards for Indian Children. New Delhi, Scientific Report No. 11, Nutrition Foundation of India, 1991, pp 1-70.
18. Editorial. A measure of agreement on growth standards. *Lancet* 1984, i: 142-143.
19. Indian Council of Medical Research. Growth and Physical Development of Indian Infants and Children, New Delhi, 1972.
20. Vanloon H, Sayerys V, Vuylsteke JP, Vlietinck RF, Feckels R. Local versus universal growth standards: The effect of using NCHS as universal reference. *Ann Hum Biol* 1986, 13: 347-357.
21. Rao MN, Rao CR. Linked cross-sectional study for determining norms and growth rates. A pilot study of Indian school-going boys. *Sankhya (B)* 1966, 28: 237-258.

22. Eveleth PB, Tinner JM. *Worldwide Variations in Human Growth*, 2nd edn. Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 1990, pp 17-43, 90-116.
 23. Baumgartner RYS, Roche AF, Himes JH. Incremental growth tables: Supplementary to previously published charts. *Amer J Clin Nutr* 1986, 43: 711-731.
 24. Vercauteren M. Evolution seculare et normes de croissance chez des enfants bleges. *Bull Soc Roy Blege d' Anthropol Prehistorique* 1984, 95: 109-123.
 25. Andersen E, Hutchings B, Jansen J, Nyholm N, Hojde og vaegt hos danske-born. *Ugeskrift for Laeger* 1982, 144: 1760-1765.
 26. Eiben OG, Panto E. The Hungarian National Growth Standards. *Anthropologia Kozlemenyek* 1986, 30: 1-40.
 27. Roede MJ, Van Wieringen JC. Growth Diagrams, 1980, *Tijdschr Soc Gezondheidszorg* 1985, 63: 1-34.
 28. Waaler PE. Anthrompometric studies in Norwegian children. *Acta Pediatr Scand (Suppl)* 1983, 308: 1-41.
 29. Kurniewicz-Witczakowa R, Miesowicz I, Niedzwiecka Z, Pietrazak M. *Razwoj Fizyczny Dzieci I Mlodzieizy Warszawskiej*. Poland, Institute of Mother and Child, Warsaw, 1983.
 30. Hernandez M, Castellet J, Narvaiza JL, *et al*. *Curves Y Tablas de Crecimiento*. Editorial Garsi. Madrid, 1988.
 31. Zhang X. Studies on the physical development of children and adolescents in New China. *Chinese Med J* 1977, 3: 364-372.
 32. Zhang X, Huang Z. The second national growth and development survey of children in China, 1985: Children 0-7 years. *Ann Hum Biol* 1988, 15: 289-306.
 33. Leung AAF, Lam YM, Liu S, *et al*. Height, weight and head circumference in Shatin children 3-7 years of age: Further evidence for secular changes. *Hong Kong J Pediatr* 1987, 4: 43-51.
 34. Kikuta F, Takaishi M. Studies on physical growth standards for school children in Japan. Part I. Centile curves for height and weight based on cross-sectional data and a consideration of secular trend of the centile curves. *Jpn J Child Health* 1987, 46: 27-33.
 35. Khanjanasthi P, Chawewan C, Siripat W. Growth of Bangkok Children, 0-18 years. Ma'nidol University, Bangkok.
 36. Agarwal DK, Agarwal KN, Upadhyay SK, Mittal R, Prakash R, Rai S. Physical and sexual growth pattern of affluent Indian children from 5 to 18 years of age. *Indian Pediatr* 1992, 29: 1203-1282.
-