# SPECIAL ARTICLE

# Application of the International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health - Children and Youth in Children With Cerebral Palsy

#### DEEPA JEEVANANTHAM

From Health and Rehabilitation Sciences Graduate Program, Western University, London, Ontario, Canada. Correspondence to: Deepa Jeevanantham, Health and Rehabilitation Sciences Graduate Program, Western University, London, Ontario, Canada. djeevana@uwo.ca.

The International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF) is a framework for describing health status; however, there is a gap in literature for supporting its use as a classification tool. The purpose of this paper is to provide a perspective on its use in describing children with cerebral palsy. The interconnected concepts of the ICF are more important than the classification elements itself. Further research is required to prove its use as a classification tool in clinical practice.

Keywords: Cerebral Palsy, Disability, Evaluation, Guidelines.

Published online: June 01, 2016. PII: S097475591600011

erebral palsy (CP) is a common childhood physical disability and describes a "group of permanent disorders of the development of movement and posture, causing activity limitation that are attributed to non-progressive disturbances that occurred in the developing fetal or infant brain. The motor disorders of cerebral palsy are often accompanied by disturbances of sensation, perception, cognition, communication, and behavior, by epilepsy, and by secondary musculoskeletal problems" [1]. The World Health Organization's (WHO) International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF) provides a framework to describe a wide range of information about health, and is a standard language for describing health and health-related states [2]. The ICF can be used to describe the health status of children with CP [3]. However, it requires revision and augmentation for direct use with children and adolescents as the manifestations of a disorder in children and adolescents are different from those of adults [4,5].

A version of the ICF for children and youth, the ICF-CY was published in 2007 [6]. The International Classification of Diseases (ICD) and the ICF are complementary as the ICD provides codes for diagnosis, and the ICF provides codes for describing the health and health related states [2,6,7]. The ICF is well-accepted as a framework; however, its use as a classification tool is still unclear. The WHO encourages the ICF/ICF-CY and the ICD-10 classifications to be used together [2,6,7]. A health professional can diagnose a health condition using the ICD-10 and describe the health status using the ICF [5]. As the use of the ICF as a classification tool warrants further development, the purpose of this paper is to offer perspectives on its use in describing children with CP.

#### **ORGANIZATION AND INTERPRETATION**

The ICF/ICF-CY can be divided into two parts: functioning and contextual factors. Functioning is in turn divided into three components: body structure/function, capacity, and participation. The contextual factors include two components: environmental factors and personal factors. The structure of the ICF is presented in *Table I*. The components consist of specific domains which refer to a meaningful state of physiological functions, anatomical structures, actions, tasks and so on.

The components of the ICF/ICF-CY are interpreted by means of constructs (i.e frame), which are operationalized by using qualifiers. The qualifiers indicate the severity of the health condition. In the absence of a qualifier, the codes are meaningless. For example, the code d415 (maintaining a body position) doesn't provide any meaningful information, rather d415.2 indicates that the person is having moderate difficulty in maintaining a body position. The qualifiers convert the domains of the ICF/ICF-CY to a classification. The domains of the ICF/ICF-CY are arranged hierarchically and are expressed via coding. The primary qualifier for body structure and body function indicates the presence of impairment on a five point scale (no impairment, mild, moderate, severe and complete). For example, b1100.0 indicates that the child has no impairment in the state of consciousness. The activity and participation component is coded using 'performance qualifier' and 'capacity qualifier'. The performance

INDIAN PEDIATRICS

	Functioning and disability		<b>Contextual factors</b>	
Components	Body structures and function	Activities and Participation	Environmental factors	Personal factors
Domains	Body functions Body structures	Life areas (tasks, actions)	External influences on functioning and disability	Internal influences on functioning and disability
Constructs	Change in body functions (Physiological ) Change in body structures (Anatomical)	Capacity (Executing tasks in a standard environment) Performance	Facilitating or hindering impact of features of the physical, social and attitudinal world	Impact of attributes of the person
		(Executing tasks in a current environment)		
Positive aspects	Functional and structural integrity	Activities and Participation	Facilitators	Not applicable
Negative aspects	Impairment	Activity limitation and participation restriction Barriers/hindrances	Not applicable	Disability

TABLE I STRUCTURE OF THE INTERNATIONAL CLASSIFIATION OF FUNCTIONING DISABILITY AND HEALTH.

(Reproduced with permission from the World Health Organization. International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2001).

qualifier refers to what an individual usually does in the typical environment whereas the capacity qualifier refers to what an individual can do in a standard environment. The qualifier for coding environmental factors indicates the extent to which a factor is a facilitator or a barrier [2, 6]. One disadvantage of the ICF is the use of the terms mild, moderate and severe. These terms are subjective and may mean differently for different people; therefore, less helpful [8]. Further work is needed to validate the use of descriptive terminologies.

#### Significant features

The ICF-CY is a universal classification system that describes and measures the health and functioning of children and youth from birth to 18 years of age. It consists of four age bands; infancy (0-2), early childhood (3-6), middle childhood (7-12) and adolescence (13-18). The ICF-CY can be widely used in administrative, clinical and research settings for children and youth. Through the documentation of child-environment interaction the ICF-CY can provide a basis for intervention planning by identifying current barriers and the required facilitators. Although the interconnected concept is more important than the classification elements itself, the classification elements can be considered as a yardstick. Another important implication of the ICF-CY is that, it can be used as a framework for selecting outcome measures, in addition to its use as an outcome measure. The ICF-CY can also serve as a standard reference for defining rights of children and adults with disabilities [9]. The ICF-CY can advance evidence-based practice in a number of ways [6,10]. The WHO is in the process of merging the ICF-CY to the ICF in order to make it make more simple and comprehensive [11].

*Limitations*. The ICF, in spite of serving as a standard conceptual framework and covering most of the dimensions of health, has certain limitations. There is lack of significant evidence on its use as a classification tool. The description of the use of the qualifiers needs to be explained more clearly in order to be used reliably. The use of subjective terminologies to describe the severity, and the reliability and validity with which these terminologies could be used effectively also limits its application [8]. In addition, the description of the core sets are also complex. Further research in this area may clarify these questions and augment the application of the ICF as a classification tool.

## ICF-CY core sets for CP

The ICF classification system contains 1685 categories. Many of these categories cannot be easily used in clinical practice and clinical research. In order to reduce the complexity and be able to apply it in practice, ICF core sets are required [10,12]. Core sets are lists of categories that serve as international standard for reporting function related to a specific health condition. There are two ICF core sets: brief core sets and comprehensive core sets. A brief core set consists of 10 to 20 categories, and can be used as a minimal standard to describe the function and health of a child with a specific health condition. The

comprehensive core set consists of 70-150 categories, offering a multidisciplinary comprehensive assessment for individuals with specific health conditions [12,13].

To date, core sets have been developed for adult populations. Recently, ICF core sets have also been developed for children with CP [12]. These core sets may serve as a reference framework and a practical tool to classify and describe functioning more efficiently in children with CP [12,14]. The overall ICF framework is robust; however, ICF-CY core sets may better characterize the functioning of children with CP.

#### APPLICATION OF ICF/ICF-CY IN CEREBRAL PALSY

The ICF/ICF-CY has a broad application in terms of describing developmental disabilities and is an effective framework for describing the health status of children with CP. The ICF-CY framework broadens clinical approaches, encouraging care plans for children with CP that consider body structure/functions, activity and participation, and environment [3,6,7,10]. The ICF-CY includes learning and playing as part of the developmental process [6]. The potential for describing CP using the ICF-CY is demonstrated below (Box I). As stated earlier, the terminologies mild, moderate, and severe are influenced by personal preferences and therefore revision of the severity terminologies in terms of levels similar to the Gross Motor Function Classification System (GMFCS), the Manual Ability Classification System (MACS) and the Communication Function Classification System (CFCS) may be more meaningful. Table II shows the description of each levels of the GMFCS, the MACS and the CFCS.

Box 1 Application of the ICF in Children with CP

The following codes could be used to classify and describe a child with CP depending on the structure and function involved, capacity and performance and the impact of environment.

- Change in body structure: Structure of brain s110, Cortical structure (s1100), Cerebellum (s1104), Basal ganglia (s1103), etc.
- *Change in body function:* Control of voluntary movement (b7600), Involuntary movement reactions (b7650) etc.
- *Capacity:* Transferring oneself, etc (d4200.\_0)
- Performance: Transferring oneself, etc. (d4200.3\_).
- *Barrier/facilitator:* General products and technology for personal use in daily living (e1150.3).

# **Body Structure and Body Functions**

In body structure component of the ICF-CY, CP is classified under the "impairments of the nervous system - Brain" which is coded as 'S110'. Classification and interpretation of body structure component involves analysis of severity (first qualifier), location (second qualifier) and nature of impairment (third qualifier) based on the scaling of qualifiers [6,7]. For example, code 'S110.373' implies a severe impairment, involving accumulation of fluid at both the sides of the brain.

The movement and posture aspects of CP can be categorized under "neuromusculoskeletal and movement related functions" [6,7]. It is crucial to evaluate the

**TABLE II** THE FIVE LEVELS OF GROSS MOTOR FUNCTION (GMFCS), MANUAL ABILITY (MACS), AND COMMUNICATION FUNCTION (CFCS) CLASSIFICATION SYSTEMS

	Classification systems					
Levels	GMFCS	MACS	CFCS			
Ι	Walks without limitations	Handles objects easily and successfully	Sends and receives with familiar and unfamiliar partners effectively and efficiently			
II	Walks with limitations	Handles most objects but with somewhat reduced quality and/or speed of achievement	Sends and receives with familiar and unfamiliar partners but may need extra time			
III	Walks using a hand-held mobility device	Handles objects with difficulty; needs help to prepare and/or modify activities	Sends and receives with familiar partners effectively, but not with unfamiliar partners			
IV	Self-mobility with limitations; may use powered mobility	Handles a limited selection of easily managed objects in adapted situations	Inconsistently sends and/or receives even with familiar partners			
V	Transported in a manual wheelchair	Does not handle objects and has severely limited ability to perform even simple actions	Seldom effectively sends and receives, even with familiar partners			

(Reproduced with permission from Cooley M, et al. Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology, Mac Keith Press; 2011).

child's movement and posture as part of the gross motor function core features of CP. In addition children with CP may have secondary problems with behaviour, musculoskeletal function, and participation in society [15]. Coding of the body functions part is done with a single qualifier that indicates the extent or magnitude of the impairment [6,7]. For example, the moderate impairment of a child with athetoid CP can be described with code 'b7650.2'. The ICF/ICF-CY might be used to compare function before and after interventions [6-8,16]; however, this has not been investigated.

#### Activities and Participation

The Activities and Participation component in the ICF/ICF-CY characterizes the function of a person with a health condition, from both an individual and a societal perspective. Children with CP may pose an activity limitation [1]. Activity limitation in CP may include limitation in walking, running and climbing stairs [17] which may affect the ability of the child to participate in desired societal roles [1]. It has been reported that among children with CP, participation restrictions in the domains of mobility, education, and social relationships were strongly influenced by activity limitation as measured by the Gross Motor Function Classification System and by learning disability [18]. Therapists are more concerned with providing best treatments for motor functioning and participation [19]. It is important to understand the difference between activity and participation. Activity refers to what the child can do at his/her maximum under a controlled environment whereas participation refers to what the child does every day on his/her usual environment. Improvement of capacity alone may not be meaningful if it does not have any impact on the child's performance. Activities and participation are coded with two qualifiers: performance qualifier and capacity qualifier. For example, codes 'd430' 'd440' and 'd450' indicate that the child experiences difficulty in lifting and carrying objects, fine hand use and walking, respectively. The performance qualifier (first qualifier) and capacity qualifier (second qualifier) denote degrees of participation restriction and activity limitation. For example, code 'd470.3\_' denotes severe restriction in using transportation, and code 'd470.\_3' indicates severe capacity limitation in using transportation [1]. The identification of these challenges might guide the rehabilitation goals and interventions [4,16]. For example, if the performance of hand functions of the child is restricted by the environment; assistive devices may be the intervention of choice. If the capacity of hand function is limited, interventional strategies such as hand function training is considered. The difference between the capacity and performance explains the impact of the environmental factors.

#### Environmental Factors

Contextual factors are a significant feature of the ICF/ ICF-CY that reflects the social construction of disablement [3]. Environmental factors are an important component of the ICF/ICF-CY that facilitates identification of environmental barriers and facilitators which guide in policy and programme development [4, 16]. The environmental factors can be physical, social, and attitudinal [2,3]. Individual and environmental factors influence the health condition of children with CP [20]. For example; environmental factors may include social supports, community resources, life style, and the availability, quality and expertise of intervention programs. The qualifiers of environmental factors denote the extent to which a factor may be facilitator (+ sign) or a barrier (a point alone). For example, the ICF code 'e120 + 3' indicates substantial facilitation of mobility and transportation. Home modifications are an effective means to facilitate indoor mobility in children with CP. The social and cultural environments such as attitudes, beliefs of others, public policies, and family support may also affect their participation in daily activities [21-27]. In children with CP, the use of augmentative interventions such as mobility aids, and alternative communication devices may have a significant role in compensating for activity limitations [3].

## **RATIONALE FOR USING THE ICF-CY IN CP**

CP is a neurodevelopmental disorder that can limit the person's optimal functioning. Such disorders are of special interest in rehabilitation, for clinical practice, conducting research, as well as for administration and assessment of services. Furthermore, data about functioning in cerebral palsy are important for determination of the efficacy and cost-effectiveness of health services. There are many interventions that could help children with CP; however, evidence of treatment on outcomes is limited. Parents, children, and medical professionals therefore struggle to choose safe and effective interventions [28]. The multi-dimensionality of the ICF and the importance of including parents in making decisions about goal-setting is supported by Wright and colleagues [29]. The ICF-CY can be used in identifying and measuring efficacy and effectiveness of the rehabilitation services by tracing the functional aspects over a period of time and comparing the effectiveness of targeted intervention [9]. The ICF-CY also reflects the interactive relationship between the health conditions and the contextual factors. The other aspects that are usually of interest for service providers in dealing with children with CP are the capacity and performance. The ICF-CY identifies these issues and

INDIAN PEDIATRICS

provides guidance for incorporating them to practice. For children with CP, the ICF-CY provides various points of entry for enhancing activity and participation and to prevent secondary impairments [3,8,16].

In summary, the ICF/ICF-CY provides a tool to describe the health status of an individual from a different perspective i.e. it helps us to think how a person with disability can live a full life in the community. From the rehabilitation perspective, the ICF/ICF-CY guides rehabilitation goal-setting. The ICF-CY with its components of body structure and body functions, activity and participation, and contextual factors has the potential to broadly describe the health status of children with CP and meaningful aspects of the child's functioning.

*Funding*: Deepa Jeevanantham was supported by a Research Assistantship from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research funded "On Track" study (MOP: 119276). No funding was secured for this work.

Competing interest: None stated.

#### References

- 1. Rosenbaum P, Paneth N, Leviton A, Goldstein M, Bax M, Damiano D, *et al.* A report: The definition and classification of cerebral palsy April 2006. Dev Med Child Neurol Suppl. 2007;109:8-14.
- 2. World Health Organization. International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2001.
- Rosenbaum P, Stewart D. The World Health Organization International Classification of Functioning, Disability, and Health: a model to guide clinical thinking, practice and research in the field of cerebral palsy. Semin Pediatr Neurol. 2004;11:5-10.
- 4. Simeonsson RJ, Leonardi M, Lollar D, Bjorck-Akesson E, Hollenweger J, Martinuzzi A. Applying the International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF) to measure childhood disability. Disabil Rehabil. 2003;25:602-10.
- Trabacca A, Russo L, Losito L, Rinaldis MD, Moro G, Cacudi M, *et al.* The ICF-CY perspective on the neurorehabilitation of cerebral palsy: a single case study. J Child Neurol. 2012;27:183-90.
- 6. World Health Organization. International classification of Functioning, Disability and Health Children and Youth. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2007.
- 7. World Health Organization. International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Health Related Problems. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization;1992.
- Rosenbaum P, Eliasson AC, Hidecker MJ, Palisano RJ. Classification in Childhood Disability: Focusing on Function in the 21st Century. J Child Neurol. 2014;29:1036-45.
- 9. World Health Organization. How to use the ICF. *http://www.who.int/classifications/drafticfpracticalmanual.pdf*. Accessed December 25, 2014.

- Simeonsson, RJ. ICF-CY: A universal tool for documentation of disability. J Policy Prac Intel Disabil. 2009;6:70-72.
- World Health Organization. http://www.who.int/classifi cations/icf/whoficresolution2012icfcy.pdf. Accessed December 25, 2014.
- Schiariti V, Selb M Cieza A O'Donnell M. International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health Core Sets for children and youth with cerebral palsy: A consensus meeting. Dev Med Child Neurol. 2015;57: 149-58.
- Stucki G, Grimby G. Applying the ICF in medicine– Foreword. J Rehabil Med. 2004;36: 5-6.
- Annual Report of the WHO Collaborating Centre for the Family of International Classifications for the German Language. 10 - 16 October 2009.
- 15. King G, Law M, Hanna S, King S, Hurley P, Rosenbaum P, *et al.* Predictors of the leisure and recreation participation of children with physical disabilities: A structural equation modeling analysis. Children's Health Care. 2006;35:209-34.
- Ustün TB, Chatterji S, Bickenbach J, Kostanjsek N, Schneider M.The International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health: a new tool for understanding disability and health. Disabil Rehabil. 2003; 25: 565-71.
- Harvey A, Robin J, Morris ME, Graham HK, Baker R. A systematic review of measures of activity limitation for children with cerebral palsy. Dev Med Child Neurol. 2008; 50:190-8.
- Beckung E, Hagberg G. Neuroimpairments, activity limitations, and participation restrictions in children with cerebral palsy. Dev Med Child Neurol. 2002; 44: 309–316.
- Diane L Damiano. Classification of cerebral palsy: clinical therapist's perspective. Work shop presentations. Dev Med Child Neurol. 2007; 49: 16-17.
- 20. dos Santos AN, Pavão SL, de Campos AC, Rocha NA. International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health in children with cerebral palsy. Disabil Rehabil. 2012; 34:1053-8.
- Law M, Haight M, Milroy B, Willms D, Stewart D, Rosenbaum, P. Environmental factors affecting the occupations of children with physical disabilities. J Occup Sci. 1999; 6:102-10.
- 22. Hammal D, Jarvis SN, Colver AF. Participation of children with cerebral palsy is influenced by where they live. Dev Med Child Neurol. 2004;46:292-8.
- 23. Shikako-Thomas K, Majnemer A, Law M, Lach L. Determinants of participation in leisure activities in children and youth with cerebral palsy. Systematic review. Phys Occup Ther Pediatr. 2008;28:155-69.
- 24. King G, Law M, Hanna S, King S, Hurley P, Rosenbaum P, *et al.* Predictors of the leisure and recreation participation of children with physical disabilities: a structural equation modeling analysis. Children hHlth Care. 2006;35:209-34.
- 25. Law M, Finkelman S, Hurley P, Rosenbaum P, King S, King G, *et al.* Participation of children with physical disabilities: relationships with diagnosis, physical

INDIAN PEDIATRICS

function, and demographic variables. Scand J Occup Ther. 2004;11:156-62.

- 26. Lawlor K, Mihaylov S, Welsh B, Jarvis S, Colver A. A qualitative study of the physical, social and attitudinal environments influencing the participation of children with cerebral palsy in northeast England. Pediatr Rehabil. 2006;9:219-28.
- 27. Majnemer A, Shevell M, Law M, et al. Participation and enjoyment of leisure activities in school-aged children with

cerebral palsy. Dev Med Child Neurol. 2008;50:751-8.

- Vargus-Adams JN, Martin, LK. Domains of Importance for Parents, Medical Professionals, and Youth with Cerebral Palsy Considering Treatment Outcomes. Child Care Health Devel. 2010;37:276-81.
- 29. Wright FV, Rosenbaum PL, Goldsmith CH, Law M, Fehlings DL. How do changes in body functions and structures, activity, and participation relate in children with cerebral palsy?. Dev Med Child Neurol. 2008;50:283-9.