

Evidence-Based Management of Nocturnal Enuresis: An Overview of Systematic Reviews

JOSEPH L MATHEW

Department of Pediatrics, PGIMER, Chandigarh, India. jlmathew@rediffmail.com

TABLE I SUMMARY OF SYSTEMATIC REVIEWS OF INTERVENTIONS FOR NOCTURNAL ENURESIS

Intervention(s) evaluated	Data updated till
Simple behavioural interventions(4)	21 Nov 2005
Complex educational and behavioural interventions(5)	19 Mar 2008
Alarms(6)	27 Feb 2007
Desmopressin(7)	09 May 2006
Tricyclic antidepressants(8)	18 Jun 2007
Other drugs(9)	18 Jun 2007
Complementary therapies(10)	15 Nov 2006
Acupuncture(11)	Not specified

Table II COMPARISON OF DIFFERENT INTERVENTIONS AGAINST NO TREATMENT OR PLACEBO

Intervention	Number of wet nights/ wk MD (95% CI)	treatment failure during treatment RR (95% CI)	Treatment failure or relapse RR (95% CI)
Behavioural interventions			
Retention control training vs control(6)	-1.90 (-3.67, -0.13); N=1, n=21	0.85 (0.63, 1.15); N=1, n=21	
Waking vs placebo(6)	-0.99 (-2.34, 0.56); N=1, n=32	1.22 (0.91, 1.64); N=1, n=32	
Dry bed training vs control(5)		0.82 (0.66, 1.03); N=2, n=60	NE; N=1
Education using CD vs nothing(5)	NE; N=1	NE; N=1	
Written education vs nothing(5)	NE; N=1	NE; N=1	
Play & supportive therapy vs placebo(10)		0.83 (0.45, 1.43); N=1, n=29	
Cognitive therapy/psychotherapy/ counselling vs control(10)		0.69 (0.56, 0.85); N=3, n=105	
Alarms			
Alarm vs control(6)	-3.34 (-4.14, -2.55); N=4, n=109	0.39 (0.33, 0.45); N=14, n=576	0.57 (0.47, 0.70); N=5, n=162
Drugs- Desmopressin(7)			
Desmopressin 10mg/d vs placebo	-2.30 (-3.42, -1.18); N=2, n=57; After treatment: 0.09 (-1.10, 1.27); N=2, n=54	0.64 (0.41, 0.98); N=1, n=32	
Desmopressin 20mg/d vs placebo	-1.34 (-1.57, -1.11); N=12, n=813; After treatment: 0.54 (-1.15, 2.23); N=1, n=22	0.84 (0.78, 0.89); N=6, n=463	NE; N=1, n=34
Desmopressin 40mg/d vs placebo	-1.33 (-1.67, -0.99); N=6, n=424	0.81 (0.74, 0.89); N=6, n=463	
Desmopressin 60mg/d vs placebo	-1.50 (-1.92, -1.08); N=2, n=164	0.94 (0.88, 1.00); N=2, n=165	
Desmopressin 80mg/d vs placebo		0.84 (0.71, 1.00); N=1, n=66	
Drugs- Tricyclic antidepressants(8)			
Imipramine vs placebo	-0.92 (-1.38, -0.46); N=3, n=329	0.77 (0.72, 0.83); N=11, n=813	0.98 (0.94, 1.03); N=5, n=416
Viloxazine vs placebo	-3.1 (-5.64, -0.56); N=1, n=24		
Desipramine vs placebo		0.83 (0.70, 0.97); N=1, n=100	
Mianserin vs placebo		0.86 (0.61, 1.22); N=1, n=55	
Amitriptyline vs placebo		0.82 (0.69, 0.98); N=2, n=98	
Nortriptyline vs placebo		NE; N=1, n=175	1.00 (0.97, 1.03); N=1, n=175

Intervention	Number of wet nights/ wk MD (95% CI)	treatment failure during treatment RR (95% CI)	Treatment failure or relapse RR (95% CI)
Other drugs(9)			
Indomethacin suppository vs placebo		-3.06 (-3.89, -2.23); N=2, n=92	0.36 (0.16, 0.79); N=1, n=38
Diclofenac vs placebo		-4.21 (-5.76, -2.66); N=1, n=40	
Diazepam vs placebo		-4.87 (-6.25, -3.49); N=1, n=50	0.22 (0.11, 0.46); N=1, n=50
Chlorprotixine vs placebo		0.95 (0.85, 1.07); N=1, n=90	
Meprobamate vs placebo		1.11 (0.92, 1.35); N=1, n=67	
Hydroxyzine vs placebo		0.83 (0.70, 0.97); N=1, n=80	
Methylphenidate		0.79 (0.67, 0.94); N=1, n=83	
Piracetam vs placebo		1.38 (0.91, 2.11); N=1, n=32	
Propantheline vs placebo		0.98 (0.89, 1.08); N=2, n=226	
Oxybutinin vs placebo		0.80 (0.52, 1.24); N=1, n=39	1.13 (0.79, 1.62); N=1, n=23
Atomoxetine vs placebo		0.81 (0.70, 0.95); N=1, n=83	
Atropine + ephedrine vs placebo		1.00 (0.71, 1.41); N=1, n=50	0.92 (0.80, 1.06); N=1, n=50
Chlordiazepoxide + amitriptyline vs placebo(5)		0.98 (0.95, 1.01); N=1, n=215	1.00 (0.97, 1.03); N=1, n=200

CI = confidence interval, MD = mean difference, N = number of trials, n = number of participants, NE = not estimable, RR = relative risk

TABLE III COMPARISON OF INTERVENTIONS AGAINST OTHER SIMILAR INTERVENTIONS

Intervention	Number of wet nights/ wk MD (95% CI)	Treatment failure during treatment RR (95% CI)	Treatment failure or relapse RR (95% CI)
Behavioural interventions(6)			
Retention control training vs Dry bed training	1.85 (0.00, 3.70); N=1, n=22; after treatment: 1.10 (-1.22, 3.42); N=1, n=22	1.67 (0.85, 3.26); N=1, n=21	
Alarms(6)			
Bed Alarm vs Body alarm		1.00 (0.39, 2.58); N=1, n=40	1.11 (0.58, 2.14); N=1, n=40
Continuous alarm vs intermittent alarm		0.76 (0.37, 1.56); N=1, n=39	1.12 (0.79, 1.60); N=1, n=39
Immediate alarm vs delayed alarm		0.70 (0.48, 1.01); N=2, n=62	0.64 (0.37, 1.11); N=1, n=26
Supervised alarm vs unsupervised alarm		0.50 (0.15, 1.64); N=1, n=30	0.64 (0.34, 1.18); N=1, n=30
Loud alarm vs quiet alarm		0.50 (0.19, 1.33); N=2, n=40	1.10 (0.62, 1.96); N=2, n=40
Drugs			
Desmopressin 10 mg vs desmopressin 20 mg(7)	NE, N=1; after treatment: 0.10 (-1.85, 2.05); N=1, n=20	NE; N=2	
Desmopressin 20 mg vs desmopressin 40 mg(7)	0.42 (-0.01, -0.84); N=3, n=202		
Desmopressin 20 mg vs desmopressin 60 mg(7)	0.72 (0.30, 1.14); N=2, n=158	NE; N=2	
Desmopressin 40 mg vs desmopressin 60 mg(7)	0.23 (-0.24, 0.69); N=2, n=162	NE; N=2	
Desmopressin 40 mg vs desmopressin 80 mg(7)		NE; N=1	
Desmopressin oral vs desmopressin nasal(7)		0.95 (0.79, 1.13); N=1, n=40	
Desmopressin vs amitriptyline(7)	1.40 (0.12, 2.68); N=1, n=31	1.20 (0.89, 1.61); N=1, n=31	NE; N=1
Desmopressin vs imipramine(7)	-0.11 (-0.62, 0.41); N=3, n=300; after treatment: 0.20 (-1.20, 1.60); N=1, n=36	0.44 (0.27, 0.73); N=2, n=103	
Desmopressin vs indomethacin(7)	-1.45 (-2.37, -0.53); N=1, n=60		
Desmopressin vs diclofenac(7)		0.52 (0.32, 0.89); N=1, n=62	
Imipramine vs viloxazine(8)	0.60 (-3.04, 4.24); N=1, n=21		
Imipramine vs clomipramine(8)	-1.20 (-3.20, 0.80); N=1, n=19		
Indomethacin (suppository) vs desmopressin (nasal) (9)	1.45 (0.53, 2.37); N=1, n=60		

CI = confidence interval, MD = mean difference, N = number of trials, n = number of participants, NE = not estimable, RR = relative risk

TABLE IV COMPARISON OF INTERVENTIONS AGAINST OTHER INTERVENTIONS IN A DIFFERENT CLASS

Intervention	Number of wet nights/ wk MD (95% CI)	Treatment failure during treatment RR (95% CI)	Treatment failure or relapse RR (95% CI)
Retention control training vs alarms(6)	2.25 (0.30, 4.20); N=1, n=21; after treatment: 2.60 (0.67, 4.53); N=1, n=21	1.15 (0.79, 2.84); N=1, n=21	
Waking vs alarm(6)	0.33 (-1.23, 1.89); N=1, n=30	1.17 (0.88, 1.55); N=1, n=30	
Dry bed training vs alarm(5)		4.56 (1.90, 10.91); N=2, n=54	1.7 (1.06, 2.73); N=1, n=40
Retention control training vs desmopressin(6)	-0.10 (-0.04, 0.20); N=1, n=114	1.25 (0.97, 1.62); N=1, n=114	0.92 (0.81, 1.05); N=1, n=114
Alarm vs cognitive treatment(6)		0.68 (0.52, 0.90); N=3, n=114	3.60 (1.18, 10.95); N=1, n=33
Alarm vs imipramine(6)	-0.65 (-2.21, 0.91); N=1, n=20	0.59 (0.32, 1.09); N=3, n=145	
Alarm vs clomipramine(6)	-1.90 (-4.14, 0.34); N=1, n=19		
Alarm vs desmopressin(6)	-0.41 (-1.20, 0.38); N=2, n=110	0.68 (0.48, 0.97); N=1, n=122	
Amitriptyline vs simple behavioural interventions(8)		0.35 (0.18, 0.71); N=1, n=40	NE
Desmopressin vs laser acupuncture(7)	0.67 (0.22, 2.01); N=1, n=65		0.71 (0.27, 1.88); N=1, n=40
Psychological counselling vs desmopressin(10)	1.42 (1.15, 1.75); N=1, n=151		
Psychological counselling + Placebo vs Psychological counselling + desmopressin(10)		1.23 (1.02, 1.48); N=1, n=145	
Psychological counselling + desmopressin vs desmopressin(10)		1.16 (0.91, 1.48); N=1, n=146	
Acupuncture vs star chart(10) (10)		0.36 (0.16, 0.80); N=1, n=40	
Cognitive therapy/ psychotherapy/ counselling vs alarm(10)		1.47 (1.11, 1.94); N=3, n=155	

CI = confidence interval, MD = mean difference, N = number of trials, n = number of participants, NE = not estimable, RR = relative risk

TABLE V COMPARISON OF MULTIPLE INTERVENTIONS OF SIMILAR OR DIFFERENT TYPE AGAINST NO TREATMENT OR ANOTHER INTERVENTION OR OTHER MULTIPLE INTERVENTIONS

Intervention	Number of wet nights/ wk MD (95% CI)	Treatment failure during treatment RR (95% CI)	Treatment failure or relapse RR (95% CI)
Star charts + rewards vs control(6)	-4.63 (-6.41, -2.85); N=1, n=30	0.71 (0.53, 0.95); N=1, n=38	
Star charts + lifting vs control(6)		0.22 (0.06, 0.78); N=1, n=20	0.22 (0.06, 0.78); N=1, n=20
Dry bed training + alarm vs control(5)	-4.09 (-5.44, -2.74); N=2, n=43; after treatment: -1.78 (-3.28, -0.28); N=2, n=43	0.19 (0.12, 0.30); N=4, n=143	NE; N=1
Full spectrum home therapy + alarm vs control(5)		0.34 (0.16, 0.72); N=1, n=24	NE; N=1
Imipramine + chlordizaepoxide vs placebo(8)		0.98 (0.95, 1.01); N=1, n=215	1.00 (0.97, 1.03); N=1, n=200
Imipramine + oxybutinin vs placebo(8)		0.43 (0.23, 0.78); N=1, n=47	0.58 (0.34, 0.99); N=1, n=36
Star chart + rewards vs cognitive treatment(6)	0.77 (-0.29, 1.83); N=1, n=32; after treatment: 3.43 (1.11, 10.59); N=1, n=32	2.80 (1.24, 6.30); N=1, n=40	
Dry bed training vs Dry bed training + alarm(5)		24.73 (6.25, 97.85); N=2, n=100	
Star chart + reward vs alarm(6)	0.70 (-0.65, 2.05); N=1, n=29; after treatment: 1.14 (0.60, 2.18); N=1, n=32	1.90 (0.99, 3.66); N=1, n=39	
Alarm vs alarm + retention control training(6)	-0.04 (-0.07, 0.61); N=2, n=40	0.39 (0.20, 0.77); N=5, n=122	1.12 (0.77, 1.64); N=4, n=98
Alarm vs alarm + dry bed training(6)	1.00 (-0.20, 2.20); N=2, n=43	1.21 (0.82, 1.81); N=5, n=234	1.29 (0.94, 1.77); N=3, n=152
Desmopressin vs desmopressin + alarm(7)	1.30 (0.25, 2.35); N=1, n=65; after treatment: 0.80 (-0.57, 2.17); N=1, n=58	1.54 (0.92, 2.60); N=1, n=70	1.33 (0.96, 1.85); N=1, n=70
Desmopressin + alarm vs alarm(7)	-0.83 (-1.11, -0.55); N=4, n=380; after treatment: 0.10 (-1.35, 1.55); N=1, n=48	0.88 (0.73, 1.05); N=5, n=486	0.91 (0.76, 1.08); N=4, n=427
Desmopresin vs desmopresin + amitriptyline(7)	1.40 (-0.14, 2.68); N=1, n=31	1.32 (0.93, 1.87); N=1, n=31	NE; N=1
Desmopresin vs desmopresin + oxybutynin(7)	0.10 (-0.51, 0.71); N=1, n=45	1.08 (0.51, 2.28); N=1, n=45	
Imipramine vs desmopressin + oxybutynin(8)	1.07 (0.06, 2.08); N=1, n=45		
Amitriptyline vs desmopressin + amitriptyline(8)	NE; N=1	NE; N=1	NE; N=1
Desmopressin + psychology + retention control training vs desmopressin(7)	-1.5 (-2.15, -0.85); N=1, n=146	1.16 (0.91, 1.48); N=1, n=146	
Imipramine vs 3-step therapy (reassurance, retention control training, wakening, parental involvement) (8)		1.83 (1.08, 3.12); N=1, n=72	NE
Imipramine vs 3 step therapy + motivation/counselling (8)		3.91 (2.30, 6.66); N=1, n=132	NE

Intervention	Number of wet nights/ wk MD (95% CI)	Treatment failure during treatment RR (95% CI)	Treatment failure or relapse RR (95% CI)
Amitriptyline vs simple behavioural interventions + amitriptyline(8)		0.55 (0.25, 1.19); N=1, n=40	NE
Multiple behavioural interventions vs amitriptyline(6)	2.00 (1.33, 3.00); N=1, n=60		
Fluid restriction + avoidance of punishment vs imipramine(6)	2.00 (1.12, 3.57); N=1, n=40		
Fluid restriction + avoidance of punishment vs Fluid restriction + avoidance of punishment + imipramine(6)			8.00 (2.11, 30.34); N=1, n=40
Retention control training + waking + parental reassurance vs same + counselling + education(5)	2.13 (1.11, 4.11); N=N=1, n=132	2.07 (1.16, 3.72); N=1, n=132	
3 step therapy (retention control training, waking, parental reassurance) vs imipramine(5)	NE; N=1	NE; N=1	
3 step therapy + counselling + educational reinforcement vs imipramine(5)		NE; N=1	
Multiple behavioural/ educational interventions vs desmopressin(5)	1.67 (0.35, 2.99); N=1, n=30	NE; N=1	

CI = confidence interval, MD = mean difference, N = number of trials, n = number of participants, NE = not estimable, RR = relative risk