Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy: Advances in Molecular Genetics and Changing Strategies in Diagnosis, Prevention and Therapeutics
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Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD) is caused by a mutation of the dystrophin gene – the largest human gene, with 79 exons – located at p21 on the X chromosome. Mutations of the dystrophin gene include deletions in 60% of the cases, duplications in 5-10% and point mutations in the rest [1]. A variation in the mutation can result in a milder form of the disease – Becker muscle dystrophy (BMD) – which has a later onset and much slower progression. Some patients with this mutation may have isolated cardiomyopathy. The dystrophin gene codes for the protein dystrophin, which is required for stabilization of the dystrophin-associated protein complex at the sarcolemma. It is the first protein to be characterized by reverse genetics, which means that the gene was discovered first and the protein was characterized thereafter [2]. Absence of dystrophin leads to destruction of the muscle fiber and progressive muscular weakness.

With the availability of molecular genetics techniques, the diagnostic workup of suspected DMD cases has been totally transformed. In this issue of Indian Pediatrics, Dey, et al. [3] have reported the genetic and clinical profile of patients diagnosed with DMD at a center in Eastern India. One hundred patients with a clinical diagnosis of DMD, and high Creatine phosphokinase (CPK) and myopathic electromyography (EMG) were evaluated for the dystrophin gene deletion; 73 tested positive. Eight out of nine patients, subjected to muscle biopsy with dystrophin staining of the muscle tissue, were confirmed to be DMD. The clinical features in the confirmed cases were studied; however, unfortunately, this study did not evaluate all the parameters in all cases. As expected, they did not find any correlation between the type/site of deletion and the clinical profile.

The clinical description of DMD, described in great clinical detail by Duchenne and Gower in the nineteenth century, remained almost unchanged for more than 100 years, till the description of the ‘Valley sign’ or ‘Pradhan sign’ in 1992 [4]. This sign describes a linear or oval depression over the posterior axillary fold, due to atrophy of the parts of the deltoid and infraspinatus muscles forming the posterior axillary fold, and hypertrophy of the adjacent muscle parts. This sign was found to be positive in 90% of cases of DMD, even when calf muscles were not hypertrophied (either due to early stage of the disease or in advanced disease) [5]. This sign was positive in 90% of patients in this study as well. The importance of clinical evaluation cannot be underestimated, especially in our country.

In DMD, CPK is raised manifold and the levels usually are in thousands, and the EMG shows myopathic changes. In this study, EMG was done in all patients, though, it needs to be emphasized that EMG is not required for evaluation of suspected DMD anymore. If the phenotype is characteristic, and if the CPK is high, one can straight away proceed for genetic testing [6,7]. However if the CPK is normal or mildly elevated, one may be dealing with Spinal muscle atrophy (SMA) type III, and only then an EMG may be done to look for neurogenic changes.

A routine muscle biopsy with a Hematoxylin Eosin (H&E) staining may only show degeneration and regeneration of muscle fibres, proliferation of connective tissue and fatty infiltration, which is a picture not specific to DMD. Thus, a routine muscle biopsy with just H&E staining is no longer recommended in any part of the workup of suspected DMD. However, when immunohistochemical staining is done for dystrophin, a complete absence of this protein suggests DMD, and a partial presence may be seen in patients with BMD. Muscle biopsy with dystrophin is thus the gold standard for the diagnosis of DMD. However, a muscle biopsy with dystrophin stain should be done only when the dystrophin gene mutation study is negative by the available methods [1], as has been done in this study.

The most easily available and common method for genetic studies for DMD diagnosis is the Multiplex PCR (the method used in the study) of the exons most
commonly known to carry the mutations. It detects 98% of deletions, but does not pick up other mutations, and cannot be used for carrier detection [8]. A quantitative analysis of all exons can be done by multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification (MLPA), which will also detect duplications as well as carriers [9]. However, this method is more expensive and not easily available, but may be required if the multiplex PCR is negative. Wherever possible, it must be done before doing a muscle biopsy. Recently, oligonucleotide-based Array comparative genome hybridization (Array CGH) has been used for higher resolution analysis of the dystrophin gene; it may become the method of choice for the diagnosis of DMD in the future [10].

In the study reported in this issue of Indian Pediatrics, only 9 of the 27 genetically undiagnosed cases underwent muscle biopsy; 18 patients refused the procedure. This is not uncommon, as it is often perceived as a painful invasive procedure. Moreover, it is easier to get molecular diagnostic tests done as compared to muscle biopsy with various immunohistochemical stains. These 18 undiagnosed patients could have been either DMD with mutations other than deletion, not picked up by multiplex PCR, or they could be limb girdle muscle dystrophy. If muscle biopsy shows normal dystrophin, staining for dystrophin-associated proteins like sarcoglycans, dysferlin, calpain and others should be done to identify the type of dystrophy. Alternatively, the molecular diagnostic studies for other muscle dystrophies may be done, before carrying out a muscle biopsy in those patients testing negative for DMD gene [1].

The study cites 22 cases with affected siblings, showing that a large number of cases are diagnosed late, or that genetic diagnosis and counseling were not available. Early diagnosis, maternal carrier detection, carrier screening of female siblings, prenatal diagnosis and suitable genetic counseling, would prevent recurrence of DMD in families. Even though the whole process may be time consuming and expensive, the benefits of preventing this progressive disease, which at present has no cure, cannot be understated. Though, there is no cure for DMD but its progression can be slowed by the use of steroids (prednisolone or deflazacort). physiotherapy and rehabilitation. Newer therapies being tried for DMD include gene therapy using viral vectors, exon-skipping methods, stem cell therapy (myoblast transfer), and delivery of dystrophin or compensatory proteins to the muscles [11,12]. Most of these therapies are likely to be successful only if started early.
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